



ASSOCIATION OF INDIAN UNIVERSITIES

AIU INFORMATION RESOURCE CENTRE IN HIGHER EDUCATION

PRESS CLIPPINGS IN EDUCATION

01-28 FEBRUARY, 2026

CONTENT

S No	Title	Author	Newspaper	Page no	Date of Publication
1.	Why have the new UGC regulations been stayed?	Abhinay Lakshman	HINDU	6	01 February 2026
2.	Education as infrastructure: Reading the 2026-27 budget's strategic shift	Raghavendra P Tiwari	DAILY GUARDIAN	7.	02 February 2026
3.	Pushing welfare towards the states	Dipa Sinha	HINDU	8.	02 February 2026
4.	India's growth roadmap, with focus on services	Chandrajit Banerjee	ASIAN AGE	9.	03 February 2026
5.	Learning, shadowed by neglect	Suruchi Kumari	HINDU	10.	03 February 2026
6.	Visible progress, invisible exclusion	Deepanshu Mohan and Ankur Singh	HINDU	11.	03 February 2026
7.	How can adaptive testing adopted be in India?	O R S Rao	HINDU	12.	03 February 2026
8.	Why only a few foreign medical degrees truly work in India	Jitin Chawla	HINDUSTAN TIMES	13.	03 February 2026
9.	It is an elitist budget, does not address people's needs	Pulapre Balakrishnan	INDIAN EXPRESS	14.	03 February 2026
10.	Hiding in UGC Regulations row is a deep fault line, social, ideological, political	Yogendra Yadav	INDIAN EXPRESS	15.	03 February 2026
11.	Strategic repositioning of higher education	Manoj Kumar Tiwari	PIONEER	16.	03 February 2026
12.	Enabling innovation without dependency: India's approach to AI compute access	Sushil Pal	PIONEER	17.	03 February 2026
13.	Reframing examinations as a journey of learning	Prachi Pandey	PIONEER	18.	03 February 2026
14.	Shaping humans, of not just minds	Tarun Goswami	STATESMAN	19.	03 February 2026
15.	Fewer students going to US could be a new beginning	V Ramgopal Rao	INDIAN EXPRESS	20.	04 February 2026
16.	Sanskrit: The language that shaped a civilisation	Raghvendra Singh	PIONEER	21.	04 February 2026
17.	Mixed signals for research funding	Dinesh C Sharma	TRIBUNE	22.	04 February 2026
18.	Equity on trial: The UGC's new regulations and the caste factor	John J Kennedy	ASIAN AGE	23.	05 February 2026
19.	Peasants to universities, nothing escaped André Beteille's lens	Dipankar Gupta	INDIAN EXPRESS	24.	05 February 2026
20.	UGC regulations on caste are narrowly framed	Aishwarya Prakash	INDIAN EXPRESS	25.	05 February 2026
21.	Odisha's case for pharma excellence	Rajat Kumar Kar	STATESMAN	26.	05 February 2026
22.	Caste on campus: A case for substantive equality	Rahul Bajaj	DECCAN HERALD	27.	06 February 2026

23.	Pioneering effort on promoting India-US academic and intellectual exchanges	Mohan Kumar	MILLENNIUM POST	28.	06 February 2026
24.	Injecting caste into the campus	Mihir Bholey	STATESMAN	29.	06 February 2026
25.	Hollow basis	Sukhadeo Thorat	TELEGRAPH	30.	06 February 2026
26.	A library that rose from the ashes	Priyanka Singh	TRIBUNE	31.	06 February 2026
27.	जीवन का अंतिम लक्ष्य नहीं हैं परीक्षाएं	संजय कुमार	DAINIK JAGRAN	32.	06 February 2026
28.	Creating a conducive learning environment	J S Rajput	PIONEER	33.	07 February 2026
29.	Lessons in school safety	Sharad S Chauhan	TRIBUNE	34.	07 February 2026
30.	The sc scholarship woes	Rajmeet Singh	TRIBUNE	35.	07 February 2026
31.	Why has Ayush got a major push in budget?	Vasudevan Mukunth	HINDU	36.	08 February 2026
32.	Beyond guides, tourism education needs reform	Alok Sharma and Jeet Dogra	STATESMAN	37.	08 February 2026
33.	School's out	Mukul Kesavan	TELEGRAPH	38.	08 February 2026
34.	Now, ensure schools follow the sc order on sanitary pads & toilets	Pushpa Girimaji	TRIBUNE	39.	08 February 2026
35.	Andre Beteille's enduring legacy	Gopa Sabharwal	DECCAN HERALD	40.	09 February 2026
36.	Kannada-medium schools at the margins	Sharoon Sunny	DECCAN HERALD	41.	09 February 2026
37.	युवाओं को लुभा रही हैं पुस्तकें	अनंत विजय	DAINIK JAGRAN	42.	09 February 2026
38.	Budget boosts domestic space research while spending lags behind	Prakash Chandra	HINDU	43.	10 February 2026
39.	When policy meets practice: Empowering India's women entrepreneurs	Priti Adani	PIONEER	44.	10 February 2026
40.	UGC: Hawkishly 'woke' or just politically motivated?	Sanjeev Ahluwalia	ASIAN AGE	45.	11 February 2026
41.	Rethinking UGC's new equity regulations	Sameer Ahmad Khan and Furqan Qamar	HINDU	46.	11 February 2026
42.	Gen Z's study flight, home landing	Editorial	PIONEER	47.	11 February 2026
43.	The wasted tablets of Haryana	Krishna Kumar	TRIBUNE	48.	11 February 2026
44.	Saving students takes more than helplines	Editorial	DECCAN HERALD	49.	12 February 2026
45.	Skilling her future	Anil Swarup	MILLENNIUM POST	50.	12 February 2026
46.	The spirit of Pariksha Pe Charcha	Sukanta Majumdar	PIONEER	51.	12 February 2026

47.	विभाजनकारी एजेंडे से बचाए जाएं विश्वविद्यालय	विकाश सारस्वत	DAINIK JAGRAN	52.	12 February 2026
48.	Plant grassroots to grow sports	Sachin K	ECONOMICS TIMES	53.	13 February 2026
49.	From sociology to journalism: A lesson from André Béteille	Sandeep Phukan	HINDU	54.	13 February 2026
50.	Fake certificates probe must go deep	Editorial	DECCAN HERALD	55.	14 February 2026
51.	In UGC regulations stir, a familiar story of privilege	D Raja	INDIAN EXPRESS	56.	14 February 2026
52.	Creamy layer quota	Editorial	TRIBUNE	57.	14 February 2026
53.	The great Indian exam marathon	Bidisha Ghosh	STATESMAN	58.	15 February 2026
54.	AI India, get fast & furious	Nishant Sahdev	ECONOMICS TIMES	59.	16 February 2026
55.	Bridging a divide with an Indian scientific service	P Ragavan	HINDU	60.	16 February 2026
56.	The equivalency trap	Sanil Raj Johnson	HINDU	61.	16 February 2026
57.	The new knowledge world: Seven signals from the artificial intelligence seismograph	Binayak Dasgupta	HINDUSTAN TIMES	62.	16 February 2026
58.	From ranking to readiness: A new vision for the higher education	Vani Aggarwal	PIONEER	63.	16 February 2026
59.	मातृभाषा के बिना मौलिक चिंतन नहीं	बुद्धिनाथ मिश्र	DAINIK JAGRAN	64.	16 February 2026
60.	Open it up and reap rewards/ Let's flip the skill switch	Mark Surman and others	ECONOMICS TIMES	65.	17 February 2026
61.	Budget's welcome focus on improving India's brain health	Rajinder K Dhamija	HINDUSTAN TIMES	66.	17 February 2026
62.	The big shift	Mark Arsenault	TELEGRAPH	67.	17 February 2026
63.	Overcome exam stress with Indic wisdom	Nayaswami Nitai Deranja and Tyagi Shivendra	TIMES OF INDIA	68.	17 February 2026
64.	AI knows how caste works in India. Here's why that's a worry	Chethan Kumar	TIMES OF INDIA	69.	17 February 2026
65.	Campus dreams, gig work realities	K M Rakesh	DECCAN KARALD	70.	18 February 2026
66.	We need a more integrated education imagination	Somak Raychaudhury	INDIAN EXPRESS	71.	19 February 2026
67.	In DU clampdown, a failure of leadership	Editorial	INDIAN EXPRESS	72.	19 February 2026
68.	AI in classrooms but no calculators in exam halls	Poojan Sahil	INDIAN EXPRESS	73.	19 February 2026
69.	More jobs with more headaches	Editorial	PIONEER	74.	19 February 2026
70.	AI बुद्धिमान है, पर हमारे जितना नहीं	सेलेस्टे रोड्रिगेज लारो	NAV BHARAT TIMES	75.	19 February 2026
71.	Bhasha' matters in India's multilingual moment	Tim Curtis	HINDU	76.	21 February 2026

72.	Real AI challenge lies in the classrooms	Editorial	INDIAN EXPRESS	77.	21 February 2026
73.	Medical AI should be as much about equity as algorithms	Shashank Joshi and Shambo Samrat Samajdar	INDIAN EXPRESS	78.	21 February 2026
74.	सींचना होगा मातृभाषाओं का स्वाभिमान	कुमुद शर्मा	DAINIK JAGRAN	79.	21 February 2026
75.	अब टहलने की जगह नहीं, 'सीखने की पाठशाला' बनेंगे दिल्ली के पार्क	संजीव गुप्ता	DAINIK JAGRAN	80.	21 February 2026
76.	Decisive action is needed to deliver on education pledges	Editorial	STATESMAN	81.	22 February 2026
77.	Viral robodog video shows why Indian universities need less spin, more substance	V Ramgopal Rao	TIMES OF INDIA	82.	22 February 2026
78.	विज्ञान पर अटल	मनीष त्रिपाठी	DAINIK JAGRAN	83.	22 February 2026
79.	Access, Exposure, Capability, Innovation	Harilal Bhaskar	HINDU	84.	23 February 2026
80.	Talent in the AI age: Code coolies to core engineers	Vivek Wadhwa	HINDUSTAN TIMES	85.	23 February 2026
81.	Old models don't serve new realities. India must reimagine PhDs	Kishore Paknikar	INDIAN EXPRESS	86.	23 February 2026
82.	AI and the transformation of India's education system	Richard Hay	PIONEER	87.	23 February 2026
83.	Mind over marks, CBSE tells schools	Jhinuk Mazumdar	TELEGRAPH	88.	24 February 2026
84.	Reclaiming intellectual freedom on varsity campuses	Shelley Walia	TRIBUNE	89.	24 February 2026
85.	Institutions fail as experience is marginalized in univ campuses	John J Kennedy	ASIAN AGE	90.	25 February 2026
86.	Attracting talent positioned abroad	Deepanshu Mohan	HINDU	91.	25 February 2026
87.	Note to JNU V-C: We seek agency, not sympathy	Aditi Narayani Paswan	INDIAN EXPRESS	92.	25 February 2026
88.	Parents help Gen Z with jobs	Editorial	PIONEER	93.	25 February 2026
89.	Sans principals	Editorial	TRIBUNE	94.	25 February 2026
90.	Universities in the age of branding	Avijit Pathak	TRIBUNE	95.	25 February 2026
91.	क्वालिटी एजुकेशन की है जरूरत	मनोज झा	NAV BHARAT TIMES	96.	25 February 2026
92.	Decolonising and de-nobelising science	Vasudevan Mukunth	HINDU	97.	26 February 2026
93.	Bots in store for us?	Editorial	TIMES OF INDIA	98.	26 February 2026
94.	Why pick on judiciary in school textbooks?	Editorial	ASIAN AGE	99.	27 February 2026
95.	Out of syllabus bits in school syllabus	Editorial	ECONOMIC TIMES	100.	27 February 2026
96.	Skill-based education bridging learning with livelihood	Editorial	TELEGRAPH	101.	28 February 2026

Why have the new UGC regulations been stayed?

Why have there been protests? How have the regulations defined caste-based discrimination? Why were new regulations introduced? What was the main thrust of the 2026 regulations on grievance redressal mechanisms as opposed to the 2012 ones?

Abhinav Lakshman

The story so far:

In January 28, the Supreme Court stayed a new set of regulations notified by the University Grants Commission (UGC) earlier this month on the promotion of equity within higher educational institutes, saying they were "vague and could be misused". These regulations were brought to replace a previous version in effect since 2012, to specifically address issues of caste discrimination in campuses.

What are the 2026 UGC equity regulations?

The University Grants Commission (Promotion of Equity in Higher Education Institutions) Regulations Bill, 2026, were notified by the higher education regulator on January 13, 2026. In these regulations, the UGC defined "caste-based discrimination" as "discrimination only on the basis of caste or tribe against the members of the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, and other backward classes". It also defined "discrimination" as "any unfair, differential, or biased treatment or any such act against any stakeholder, whether explicit or implicit, on the grounds only of religion, race, caste, gender, place of birth, disability, or any of

A significant section argued that the new regulations were not strengthening the 2012 rules on anti-discrimination

them..." The regulations provided for establishing a layered grievance redressal system for addressing discrimination. This included provisions to set up Equal Opportunity Centres, Equity Committees, and Equity Squads in each institute, and Equity Ambassadors in each unit (departments, schools) of the institutes. It also provided for an institutional accountability mechanism, where institutes found violating the regulations could be penalised.

Why were the regulations said to be biased?

Protesters argued that the regulations discriminated against "general or upper castes", hinging on the (lack of) definition of "caste-based discrimination", the dropping of the provision on "false complaints", and the ambiguity in the functioning of bodies like the 'Equity Squads'. By leaving them out of this definition, the upper caste section argued that the regulations had "presupposed" that general or upper caste students would be the perpetrators of caste discrimination at all times. Further, the protesters argued that the provision of punishment for "false complaints" had also been absent, which they said could have been useful to counter false complaints.

How did these regulations come about?

These regulations were devised under the supervision of a Supreme Court Bench that was hearing a batch of petitions filed in 2019 by the mothers of Rohith Vemula and Payal Tadvi, both of whom had died by suicide, alleging caste-discrimination in 2016 and 2019, respectively. Their petition had argued that the 2012 UGC regulations were not being implemented to address "rampant caste-discrimination" in higher education institutes. During the hearings, the UGC had told the Supreme Court that it had formed a Expert Committee to revisit the 2012 regulations under the Chairmanship of Professor Shailesh N. Zala. The committee drafted a revised version of the equity regulations, and the final regulations were notified in 2026.

What were the 2012 regulations?

In the 2012 regulations, the regulatory authority

had not defined "caste-based discrimination" separately. It had defined "discrimination" with sub-sections on depriving access to education, imposing undignified conditions, and maintaining separate educational and social spaces within the campuses on the basis of caste, creed, religion, language, ethnicity, gender, and disability. The 2012 regulations had provided for the setting up of grievance redressal mechanisms, which included the establishment of Equal Opportunity Cells, SC/ST Cells, and the appointment of an anti-discrimination officer. Further, in prohibiting discrimination against SC and ST students in higher educational institutes, the 2012 regulations had identified a total of 25 specific instances and types of discrimination in various aspects of campus life. None of these specific acts has been included in the 2026 regulations. However, the 2012 regulations did not provide the language to protect "Other Backward Classes" and did not have institutional consequences for not following through on the implementation of the regulations.

Were only upper castes upset?

No. A significant section argued that the new regulations were not strengthening the 2012 rules on anti-discrimination, principally because of the lack of specificity on what counted as discrimination. Professor Sukhadeo Thorat, former Chairperson of the UGC argued that the new regulations do not clarify if they will be applicable to institutes like IITs, IIMs, polytechnics, nursing institutions, etc., further noting that the composition of Equity Committees should mention the quantum of representation for SC, ST, and OBC members. Jadavpur University Assistant Professor Subhaji Naskar said in dropping the specific acts of discrimination, the new regulations had, in fact, diluted the 2012 regulations.

Now what?

The SC has said that the batch of petitions challenging the 2026 regulations will be heard with the petitions filed by the mothers of Rohith Vemula and Payal Tadvi, also calling for the UGC to go back to its 2012 regulations while it hears the challenges to the new version. In doing so, the SC has also framed certain legal questions that are to be addressed in the course of the hearings in these matters from now onwards. These petitions are to come up for the next hearing in March. **WVS**



Heavy pushback: A protest being held in Lucknow against the new UGC equity guidelines on January 29. **SANDEEP SHARMA**

EDUCATION AS INFRASTRUCTURE: READING THE 2026-27 BUDGET'S STRATEGIC SHIFT

OPINION

PROF. RAGHAVENDRA P. TIWARI



The Union Budget for education in FY 2026-27 allocates Rs 1.39 lakh crore, up from Rs 1.28 lakh crore in the previous year. Higher education, in particular, has seen a relatively stronger percentage increase. While earlier budgets prioritised access, infrastructure expansion, and foundational reforms, this year marks a clearer shift towards employability, future-oriented skills, and design- and technology-driven domains. The emphasis on skills and workforce relevance is therefore more pronounced than before.

This signals a quiet but consequential shift in thinking: education is no longer being treated primarily as a compensatory social service, but as core

national infrastructure. In a political culture accustomed to measuring education spending through scholarships, subsidies, or fee reductions, this is a less theatrical but more structural intervention. Precisely for that reason, it deserves close attention. The Budget combines higher funding with targeted investments in skills, technology, gender equity, institutional capacity, and stronger links between education and employment.

Rather than dispersing resources across symbolic schemes, the Budget concentrates on strengthening institutions, building research and skills ecosystems, and repairing the long-missing bridge between education and work. This is not an easy political choice. It offers little immediate gratification, but it is arguably the right one for a system that has achieved scale while continuing to struggle with quality, relevance, and outcomes.

FROM ENROLMENT TO CAPABILITY

India's problem is no longer a shortage of students, but a surplus of under-prepared graduates. Degrees

have multiplied faster than skills; universities have expanded, but research capacity remains thin; access has improved, yet employability lags behind. The Budget reflects an overdue recognition of this reality. The focus has shifted from expanding enrolment to strengthening what institutions can actually do: impart relevant skills, support meaningful research, and connect students to work.

This is most visible in the push towards creative, digital, and applied skills, including content-creator labs in schools and colleges. Critics may dismiss animation or gaming as frivolous, but such scepticism overlooks their global employment potential and India's competitive advantage in these sectors. By introducing these skills earlier in schooling, the state implicitly challenges the hierarchy that privileges abstract academic knowledge over applied capability. That is a progressive move, even if it arrives in bureaucratic language.

GENDER, ACCESS, AND THE GEOGRAPHY OF DROPOUT

The proposal to establish girls' hostels in every district may appear modest compared to grand university announcements. Yet it addresses one of the stubbornest barriers in Indian education: the sharp drop in female participation after secondary school, driven less by merit than by safety, distance, and social

constraints. This is infrastructure as social reform. A hostel does not announce empowerment, but it enables it. If implemented seriously, rather than treated as a numerical target, it could do more for women's education than another round of slogans.

UNIVERSITIES THAT DO NOT EXIST IN ISOLATION

Perhaps the most strategically significant proposal is the development of integrated university townships along industrial corridors. For decades, Indian higher education has remained spatially and intellectually discon-

nected from production, innovation, and enterprise. Campuses became islands, degrees became ends in themselves, and students paid the price. By linking universities with industry, housing, and research centres, the Budget gestures towards a different model: one in which learning, work, and innovation reinforce each other rather than exist in isolation.

This approach carries risks. Such projects can easily degenerate into real-estate ventures with academic branding. Yet the intent matters. It signals an understanding that employability is a systemic issue, not one to be solved by

adding "skill" buzzwords to outdated syllabi.

RESEARCH: FEWER ANNOUNCEMENTS, CLEARER DIRECTION

Those expecting dramatic increases in fellowships or headline-grabbing grants may be disappointed. Instead, the Budget places quieter emphasis on institution-led research funding, centres of excellence, and interdisciplinary collaboration. This reflects a more mature diagnosis of India's research deficit, which stems not only from inadequate funding to individuals, but from weak institutional ecosystems, fragmented mentoring,

and limited continuity. Strengthening universities rather than chasing publication metrics is a harder, less visible reform, but also the correct one.

A DELIBERATE REJECTION OF POPULISM

What the Budget avoids is as important as what it includes. There are no sweeping scholarship expansions, fee waivers, or performative announcements designed for instant applause. This restraint will attract criticism from those who view education primarily through a welfare lens. Yet decades of subsidy-led approaches have delivered limited results. This Budget sug-

gests a different logic: students are best served not by short-term relief, but by systems that build capability, mobility, and dignity over time.

In treating students less as welfare recipients and more as future workers, creators, and researchers, the Budget bets on infrastructure, skills, and employability rather than blanket subsidies or cosmetic exam reforms. This is a shift India has long needed.

THE RISK, AND THE OPPORTUNITY

None of this will matter if execution falters. Infrastructure-heavy budgets are vulnerable to delays, uneven implementation, and regional disparities, with better-resourced states benefiting first. That is an argument for stronger governance, not for abandoning reform. The deeper significance of this Budget lies in its underlying philosophy: education is framed as productive capacity, not moral obligation; as investment, not expenditure.

If implemented with seriousness and transparency, this approach could move Indian education beyond mass credentialism towards genuine capability building. In a country where the demographic clock is ticking, that is not merely desirable, it is necessary.

*Prof. Raghavendra P. Tiwari
Vice Chancellor, Central University of Punjab, Bathinda*



Pushing welfare towards the States

The arena of welfare spending has now shifted to the States, while the Centre continues to drive the agenda by legislating and setting norms



Uma Nishu

Professor
at Azim Premji
University,
Bengaluru

Budget 2026-27 for the social sector is minimal, as it does not contain any new flagship schemes, which had become par for the course.

Low allocations and spending

However, the neglect of the sector in actual allocations continues. Schemes targeted at the most vulnerable sections of the population — children, pregnant women, the aged, single women and the disabled — such as the National Social Assistance Programme (NSAP) providing social security pensions, SAMARTHYA, which includes maternity entitlements, PALNA for creches, PM POSHAN, which provides mid-day meals in schools, and Saksham Anganwadi, for young children have for long received low allocations, often declining in real terms. This year too, the trend continues, with allocations increasing by between 0.2% (NSAP) to 2.2% (Saksham Anganwadi) in nominal terms. Further, for all these schemes, revised estimates (RE) for 2025-26 are lower than budget estimates (BE), indicating that even what is budgeted

is not spent.

The story remains the same for bigger sectors such as health and education, where 2026-27 BE allocations increase by only 0.4% and 5.3% over 2025-26 BE. Even these minimal increases need to be taken with a pinch of salt as 2025-26 RE for both sectors fall below BE by 3.7% and 5.2%.

The RE for 2025-26 are lower than what was initially allocated across the board for most social sector heads. The largest declines are in Urban Development (4%), Rural Development (30%), Development of the North-East (24%), and Social Welfare (7%). Schemes which were hyped in previous budget announcements see poor spending. For instance, allocation for the Jal Jeevan Mission has fallen from 667,000 crore in the 2025-26 BE to just 637,000 crore in the RE. The 2025-26 BE for PMAY-Gramin was 154,832 crore and PMAY-Urban was 229,794 crore. The RE for these schemes is much lower at 132,500 crore and 17,500 crore, respectively; yet, the allocations in Budget 2026-27 are once again around the same amounts as the previous year.



Students eat lunch under the mid-day meal scheme, in Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh. UDAYA BHARGAVA

As a share of total expenditure, allocations to these sectors and schemes remain around the same. Overall, centrally sponsored schemes (CSS) show significant underspending, with total allocations falling from 15,46,850 crore in the 2025-26 BE to 14,20,070 crore in the RE. The 2026-27 BE stands at 15,46,708 crore.

Misplaced focus

The emphasis on capex to reduce

slackness in the economy continues, with over 62 lakh crore being allocated this time. A thorough assessment of its efficacy in creating employment or crowding in private investment is still missing. The challenges facing the Indian economy remain the same — lack of painful employment opportunities (especially for the educated youth), a stalled structural transformation, low productivity and hence low wages and incomes, re-

sulting in poor purchasing power. Addressing these requires more sustained policy interventions and the Budget alone cannot do much.

Yet, the priorities signalled by the Budget remain unchanged, focusing entirely on supply-side measures in the hope of a market response. While this has not happened so far, the relevance of education, nutrition, health and social security for economic policy, and hence budgets, remains unacknowledged.

Shifting the burden

A trend in the social sector that Budget 2026-27 consolidates is that spending on welfare is increasingly in the domain of state governments. Following the 2019 reforms, cost-sharing norms were changed for most CSD, with greater spending shifted to States. While some major schemes continued to be entirely centrally sponsored, with the repealing of the MGNREGA and the introduction of the VEG-RAM-G, that too has now changed drastically. The allocation of over 386,000 crore for VEG-RAM-G in this Budget, for instance, would only amount if the state

put in around 256,000 crore (with the new cost-sharing ratio of 60:40). Therefore, to get a true understanding of welfare spending in the country, a granular analysis of state budgets is required.

On the topics here the where-withal to spend? While the burden of spending is shifting, the Centre's support to the States is declining. The States' share in total tax revenue receipts is only around 34%, much less than the Finance Commission recommended 41%, because of the increasing prevalence of cesses and surcharges in the Centre's revenue receipts. The Finance Commission's grants to States have also declined slightly from 1,32,767 crore in the 2025-26 BE to 1,29,397 crore in the 2026-27 BE.

The arena of welfare spending has now shifted to the States, while the Centre continues to drive the agenda by legislating and setting norms. How are States balancing their own priorities with the requirements of spending on central schemes? And what are the implications for people's access to social services? These are the questions we should be asking.

India's growth roadmap, with focus on services



Chandrajit Banerjee

The Union Budget 2026-27, presented in Parliament by finance minister Nirmala Sitharaman on Sunday (marking yet another first), addresses India's immediate economic priorities while maintaining a firm focus on the country's long-term development objectives. In a period of global uncertainty and shifting economic priorities, the Budget balances growth with fiscal discipline while driving productivity and competitiveness focussed reforms and initiatives. Anchored in three core "Kartavyas" (duties) — accelerating growth, building capabilities and aspirations and ensuring inclusive development — it signals a youth-centric vision that places people, skills and services at the centre of India's economic transformation.

A key feature of this year's Budget is its emphasis on a threefold approach to sustaining growth over the medium and long term. First, it underscores the need to continue structural reforms, reforms that are continuous, adaptive and forward-looking to improve productivity and competitiveness across sectors. Second, it highlights the importance of a strong and resilient financial sector to mobilise savings, allocate capital efficiently and manage risks. Third, the Budget recognises the role of cutting-edge technologies, particularly applications of artificial intelligence, as force multipliers for improving governance, service delivery and decision-making. Together, these elements provide the enabling ecosystem needed to support India's growth ambitions.

Within this framework, the Budget adopts a balanced strategy to boost demand while strengthening supply and managing inflation. The continued focus is on public capital expenditure driven infrastructure creation across urban and rural areas, driving employment and economic activity. At the same time, measures to improve logistics, supply chains and productivity are expected to ease cost pressures and stabilise prices. This coordinated approach

ensures that consumption growth is also supported while maintaining overall macro-economic stability.

The services sector remains a central pillar of Budget 2026-27. Recognising services as a key driver of growth, jobs and exports, with a vision for 10 per cent global share by 2047, the Budget introduces robust institutional mechanisms designed to more effectively align education and skill development with evolving industry needs. The proposed High-Powered Standing Committee on Education to Employment and Enterprise aims to ensure that skill development remains closely aligned with industry. This reflects a clear intent to strengthen India's global position in knowledge intensive and experience driven services.

The Budget strengthens industry-academia linkages by developing university townships along major corridors and expanding STEM education, research facilities and student housing.

District-level girls' hostels and improved infrastructure aim to ensure safety, equal opportunity and readiness for future technologies and rapidly evolving job markets.

The Budget also places strong emphasis on strengthening the healthcare sector, with a clear focus on capacity building and service delivery. Expansion and upgradation of institutions for allied health professionals and caregivers will address critical gaps in the healthcare workforce while creating large-scale employment opportunities. The focus on training multi-skilled caregivers, including in wellness and assistive care, reflects a more holistic approach to healthcare delivery.

Closely linked to this is the Budget's focus on geriatric care, acknowledging the needs of India's ageing population. Investments in caregiver training, rehabilitation and wellness services aim to build a responsive and sustainable care ecosystem. These initiatives will not only improve quality of life for the elderly

Recognising services as a key driver of growth, jobs and exports, the Budget introduces mechanisms to effectively align education and skill development with evolving industry needs.

but also generate employment in long-term and community-based care services.

The healthcare vision is further strengthened through a targeted push on Medical Value Tourism (MVT). Budget 2026-27 proposes the development of regional medical hubs in partnership with state governments and the private sector. These hubs will integrate hospitals, medical education, diagnostics, research, rehabilitation and AYUSH services. This approach seeks to position India as a preferred destination for affordable, high-quality healthcare while simultaneously strengthening domestic healthcare infrastructure.

Recognising tourism's strong multiplier effect on growth and employment, the Budget focuses on improving skills, enhancing visitor experiences and promoting sustainability. The proposed

BUDGET 2026-27

National Institute of Hospitality aims to strengthen hospitality education and industry readiness. Initiatives such as training tourist guides at iconic sites, developing sustainable mountain trails, development of select archaeological sites like Sarnath and Dholavira, immersive storytelling techniques and creating a National Destination Digital Knowledge Grid reflect a more structured and technology-enabled approach to tourism development.

These measures are expected to create jobs at the local level, support heritage and cultural tourism and improve India's global tourism positioning. By linking tourism development with skills, digital tools and sustainability, the Budget strengthens the sector's role in services exports and regional development.

A forward-looking highlight of Budget 2026-27 is its focus on the "orange economy", particularly the Animation, Visual Effects, Gaming and Comics (AVGC) sector. Recognising its rapid growth and strong employment potential, the Budget proposes targeted sup-

port for talent development and institutional capacity. The establishment of AVGC Content Creator Labs across schools and colleges aims to build a robust pipeline of creative professionals and embed digital and creative skills early in the education system.

Importantly, Budget 2026-27 demonstrates consistent delivery on fiscal commitments without compromising social priorities. The government continues its journey towards globally accepted standards of fiscal management, with a clear medium-term objective of achieving a debt-to-GDP ratio of 50 (±1) per cent by 2030-31. In line with this path, the debt-to-GDP ratio is estimated to decline to 55.6 per cent in BE 2026-27, compared to 56.1 per cent in RE 2025-26, gradually freeing up resources for priority sector spending by reducing interest outgo.

The fiscal deficit remains a key operational tool in this debt consolidation strategy. The government has fulfilled its commitment to bring the fiscal deficit below 4.5 per cent of GDP by 2025-26, with the revised estimate for 2025-26 at 4.4 per cent of GDP. Continuing on this prudent path, the fiscal deficit for 2026-27 is estimated at 4.3 per cent of GDP.

Beyond macro indicators, Budget 2026-27 is designed to be felt on the ground. Investments in tourism circuits, healthcare access, education infrastructure and senior care directly touch households, districts and local economies. By strengthening service delivery where people live and work, the Budget converts national priorities into everyday outcomes — jobs, better facilities and improved quality of life especially in Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities and rural areas.

Overall, Union Budget 2026-27 presents a clear, credible and forward-looking roadmap for India's next phase of growth and development. By placing services at the centre of its strategy — and by backing the sectoral priorities with structural reforms, access to finance, technology adoption and fiscal discipline, the Budget lays the foundation for inclusive, resilient and sustainable growth. The Budget aligns well with India's long-term vision of becoming a developed nation by 2047, while responding effectively to the needs of the current times.

The writer is the director-general of the Confederation of Indian Industry

SPOTLIGHT

Suruchi Kumari

On a fog-laden Delhi morning, the staff of a Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD) school begins lining up students for assembly. The primary school is tucked into the by-lanes of Old Delhi, the Capital's walled city. With more than 100 children, the open space is so small that when they're asked to stretch their arms out, they get in each other's way. Run out of a haveli abandoned during Partition, this Urdu-medium school is attended by children who live in the congested lanes around. This school, like many others, was started in the 1960s.

Soon after assembly, conducted in Hindi, students from nursery to Class 2 are ushered into a classroom. Three empty classrooms remain locked. Children from Classes 3 to 5 take their places on benches in three rows in the arched hallway outside the classroom, facing a blackboard. Some run around. Others chat, distracted by the noise spilling out of the classroom next door. The school has an enrolment of 120 students, 90 boys and 30 girls.

Armaan (name changed to protect privacy), hired as a teacher for children with special needs (CWSN), is conducting classes for the whole school through the day. The only other teacher is out on administrative work. There are only two

CWSN students in the school, but Armaan moves between the hallway and the classroom, assigning work to different groups. He chooses a chapter on 'family'.

"On days like this, I choose a lesson everyone can follow," he says. "The senior students have studied it before, but they revise." After assigning the lesson on the board, he steps into the classroom to help younger students form letters in their books. He comes back to the hallway and calls out roll numbers, to mark attendance.

Scenes like this play out daily across MCD-run Urdu-medium primary schools, which serve as the first point of formal education for children in several densely populated neighbourhoods of Old Delhi, Shahdara, and parts of Central Delhi. Of the 1,185 primary schools run by the civic body across the Capital, 40 have Urdu as their medium of instruction, meaning Science, Maths, and Social Studies, are taught in the language.

Together, MCD schools cater to over 6.4 lakh children, ages 3 to 11, as per MCD data. Out of these, more than 15,000 children, along with 275 children with special needs, are enrolled in Urdu-medium primary schools. These schools follow National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) framework, and are neither madrasas nor minority schools.

During visits to over a

Learning, shadowed by neglect

Delhi's MCD-run Urdu-medium primary schools have seen dwindling student enrolment over a decade despite being located in highly populated areas whose residents speak the language. Indifference, many say, is at the root of the state of education in these govt.-funded institutions



Stuck in a time warp: A class being conducted at an Urdu-medium MCD school in Old Delhi; an Urdu teacher at the school. BY MOORTHY

dozen such schools, The Hindu found a recurring pattern of understaffed classrooms, with student enrolments having dropped sharply over the years, particularly in institutions with only two or three teachers. Between 2009 and 2024, only 48 Urdu teachers were appointed under specific recruitment drives. Many have since retired.

Children ask for more

Sitting in the front row is an 11-year-old Class 5 student. Irfan (name changed) says he wishes classes were taken separately. "Bahut shor machate hain (They make a lot of noise)," he says, referring to the younger children.

After Irfan finishes primary school, he will be trans-

ferred to a Delhi government Urdu-medium school from Class 6 onwards. But asks his parents to shift him to an English-medium school. "It will be better for studies," Irfan says.

Delhi-government-run Urdu-medium schools do not face the same level of neglect, say teachers. They emphasise that unlike MCD schools, which operate only at the primary level, Delhi government schools are under the Directorate of Education (DoE) from primary to senior secondary. "Once students complete Class 5 (in MCD schools), they move to DoE or aided schools, which are in better shape," a teacher says.

Beside Irfan sits another Class 5 student, Sana (name changed), whose younger

sibling studies with her in Class 3. Sana wants to become a doctor, and her sister, a teacher. "Our teacher is good, but it would be nicer if classes were separated," she says.

In Old Delhi and parts of Shahdara, Urdu-medium MCD schools are tucked deep into neighbourhoods. For families where childcare falls largely on women, that proximity shapes their choice of school. Aisha Begum, 28, a parent of two children studying in Class 3 in the Old Delhi school, says she was unhappy with the quality of education, but had little choice. "This is the closest school, 15 minutes from our house," she says.

Conversations with parents return to familiar concerns: classrooms without

enough teachers, lessons slipping between languages. Some parents say that if they could afford it, they would send their children to privately-run English-medium schools. Only 134 MCD schools are English-medium, with most of the rest functioning in Hindi.

However, some others speak of wanting their children's first years of schooling to be rooted in Urdu, the language spoken at home. A parent, who requested anonymity, says, "In an increasingly polarised climate, as a minority, I don't want my children to lose touch with their language." Parents say Urdu should continue to be taught, even if other subjects are taken up in Hindi or English.

Back in Old Delhi, as the

morning progresses, Armaan says that not all students are coming to school as classes are now in hybrid mode, due to pollution-induced Graded Response Action Plan (GRAP) measures. He sends the day's worksheet over a WhatsApp group with parents. There are no online classes. "We manage somehow," Armaan says.

Teacher troubles

He joined the school in 2023, and travels every day from north-west Delhi. On regular days, he draws a partition on the board and teaches two subjects simultaneously. "We have written letters highlighting vacancies in our school to the (education) department, but we get a response to say that if teachers are sent here, then another school will face a shortage," he adds.

Recruitment rules require primary teachers to have studied Hindi and English to at least the secondary or senior secondary level. An official says that they try and ensure that for Urdu-medium schools, teachers have studied Urdu up to Class 10, but the recruitment rules, amended and notified in 2011, do not mandate this.

According to data shared by MCD officials, out of 410 teachers in Urdu-medium schools - 370 regular and 40 special educators - only 234 had Urdu as a subject till Class 10.

The Right to Education Act, 2009, mandates a pupil-teacher ratio of 40:1 for

schools with over 200 students. While the MCD official's numbers add up, Armaan's school falls short of teachers.

In the City S.P. zone, of which Old Delhi is a part, most of the 15 Urdu-medium schools function out of ageing havelis or rented structures never meant to hold multiple classrooms. Local representatives say the issue has been flagged time and again. In Sitaram Bazar ward of City S.P. zone, Aam Aadmi Party councillor Rafia Mahir points to a thinning network of Urdu-medium schools - 6 of the 11 in the area were closed before 2022 over low enrolments and building concerns. She speaks of letters sent over three years. "I've been pushing for at least two schools to be retrofitted and reopened," she says.

A building in Raziya Begum area, constructed a decade ago, is among those she hopes to revive. She suggests adopting English as the medium, with Urdu as a mandatory subject.

MCD officials say new schools cannot be opened without approval from the Delhi government, and any decision to convert or shut Urdu-medium schools requires approval by the MCD House. "We cannot simply end Urdu-medium education in areas where people still want it," an official says. "The National Education Policy talks about multilingual education. The challenge is implementation."

suruchi.kumari@the-hindu.co.in

Visible progress, invisible exclusion

Budget 2026-27 signals a transition away from pandemic-era crisis management to what is now a borrowing-heavy doctrine for financing growth and capital expenditure (capex) spending.

By guiding fiscal deficit to 4.3% of GDP and scaling public capital expenditure to ₹12.2 lakh crore, the government aims to project a broader infra-capex enabled vision of a 'Viksit Bharat' while giving a necessary push to MSMEs in manufacturing this time. That public infrastructure and MSME growth are no longer framed as areas of temporary stimulus, but part of the structural backbone of the economy is reassuring.

And yet, beneath the veneer of macro-economic stability, the fiscal math, as projected by the Finance Minister, masks a more precarious reality. As manufacturing scales in strategic frontiers like MSMEs, semiconductors, and biopharma, the mechanism connecting this massive capital expansion to actual employment outcomes has become increasingly tenuous. While capital formation successfully drives headline GDP, absorption of labour is stalled. This suggests that India is perfecting a growth model designed to function with clinical efficiency, while quietly leaving its vast labour force behind.

Towards a growth doctrine

For much of India's fiscal history, capex played a secondary role. It expanded when revenues permitted and was restrained when deficits widened. That changed after the pandemic. From 2020-21 onwards, capex expenditure ceased to function as a counter-cyclical instrument and instead became the organising principle of fiscal policy.

The data capture this shift. Capex expenditure as a share of total expenditure rose from roughly 12% in 2020-21 to over 22% in recent estimates. The underlying logic is well established. Public infrastructure



Deepanshu Mohan

Professor and Dean,
O.P. Jindal Global
University



Ankur Singh

Research Analyst with
the Centre for New
Economics Studies

spending is expected to crowd in private investment, raise productivity, and generate employment. Yet, the labour indicators running alongside this expansion reveal a disconnect. The youth NEET rate (share of people who are not in education, employment, or training) for ages 15-29 remains in the 23%-25% range, materially higher than several peer economies. Nearly one in four young Indians is structurally outside employment, education, or training even as public investment accelerates.

Structural U-turn

Construction reflects the sector most directly fuelled by public investment in the post-2015 infrastructure push. Agriculture reflects the sector a developing economy typically sheds labour from as productivity rises elsewhere. The trajectories of the two have moved in directions opposite to what development theory would anticipate across periods.

Construction's employment elasticity declined from 0.59 in the pre-COVID period of 2011-12 to 2019-20 to 0.42 in the post-COVID years of 2021-22 to 2023-24. This occurred when infrastructure spending was at record levels. The implication is stark: each additional unit of capex is now associated with fewer construction jobs than before.

Agriculture is the more troubling story. Employment elasticity rose sharply from 0.04 during 2011-12 to 2019-20 to 1.51 during 2021-22 to 2023-24. Rather than releasing labour, the sector has been reabsorbing it. This reflects distress-driven fallback into low-productivity activity. Taken together, the pattern resembles a structural U-turn. India is modernising its physical asset base while its workforce is being pulled back towards subsistence.

The weak employment is rooted in the kind of production structure the capex turn reinforces. Public investment, as

currently configured, systematically favours capital intensity. This is visible in the widening gap between productivity and wages. Net value added per worker has risen sharply, while average emoluments remain far lower. The divergence suggests that efficiency gains enabled by infrastructure are being captured largely as profits rather than transmitted as labour income.

The industrial structure compounds this bias. The Annual Survey of Industries shows that a large majority of factories remain small, employing fewer than 100 workers, yet contribute modestly to output. Large firms, capable of integrating into new logistics and infrastructure networks, dominate value creation while remaining relatively labour light. Labour-intensive MSMEs struggle to scale, automate, or compete.

The result is a dual economy: a capital-intensive upper layer drives headline GDP growth with limited employment generation, while a vast lower layer absorbs labour through informality and self-employment with low productivity and weak income growth.

New economic citizen?

Read together, fiscal strategy and labour outcomes point to an implicit reordering of priorities. Employment no longer appears as a variable that must be directly engineered and the state is quite incapacitated in doing that at this point. It is treated as an eventual by-product of growth rather than a co-equal objective.

Official projections reinforce this orientation. Formal skills, urban location, and compatibility with automation determine inclusion. Those outside this profile adjust downward, into informal work, own-account activity, or agriculture. Even within the organised sector, wage growth remains subdued.

The economy does not stall. It simply advances without requiring broad-based labour absorption.

India is perfecting a growth model designed to function with clinical efficiency, while quietly leaving its vast labour force behind

How can adaptive testing be adopted in India?

Why has the IIT Council recommended adaptive tests over linear examinations?

O.R.S. Rao

The story so far:

The IIT Council has recommended that an expert committee evaluate the possibility of making the Joint Entrance Examination (JEE)-Advanced adaptive in order to create a "a better and less stressful assessment".

What is adaptive testing?

In a traditional competitive test, every candidate answers the same set of questions. Test scores are based largely on the number of correct answers. Most coaching centres therefore prioritise test-cracking tactics over conceptual understanding, or critical thinking.

Adaptive testing is a dynamic form of assessment which uses the Item Response Theory (IRT). This means that the questions in the test are not the same for all students. A question bank is prepared

with questions of varying difficulty covering all the items in the syllabus. The test normally begins with a question of medium difficulty. If it is answered correctly, the computer selects a more difficult question from the question bank using the scientifically established psychometric models. If incorrect, an easier question is presented. After each answer, the computer recalculates the candidate's estimated ability. The test ends when a pre-defined level of assessment is reached or the set number of items as per syllabus are tested. The goal is to assess the ability of the candidate more precisely by asking fewer but better-targeted questions. The result is that two candidates may see different questions but are assessed on the same underlying scale.

Adaptive testing builds fairness into the test design itself rather than correcting for variations subsequently. Since the next question depends on the previous

answer, a student who is not conceptually sound cannot answer the progressively high-difficulty questions, which have higher weightage. Global testing agencies have been using computerised adaptive testing for admissions to premier institutions for over 25 years.

Are there potential legal challenges?

In the Indian constitutional context, 'equality of opportunity' is often interpreted as everyone getting the same question paper. Therefore, a move towards adaptive testing may face Article 14 challenges (Right to Equality) in Indian courts, if the normalisation process is not transparent. Algorithm opacity could invite bias suits under equity mandates. However, this can be mitigated through disclosures and equity audits.

In India, where a single rank can make or mar a rewarding career, it may be difficult to convince a parent how a student who solved 20 difficult questions

correctly has scored higher than another student who solved 50 easy ones. Ensuring lag-free technical infrastructure in tier-3 cities is key, as any technical glitch during the test could be seen as maladministration in a court of law. Without clear documentation and grievance mechanisms, litigation risks rise. Data centre reliability, proctoring invigilation systems, and incident handling must be far stronger than today's baseline.

How can it be eased in?

Transitioning from the traditional linear test to adaptive tests requires building large, calibrated item question banks, pretesting questions without the possibility of leakage, and ensuring strict syllabus coverage. There are also trust challenges: candidates must believe that different questions can still be fair, and testing agencies must be prepared to defend this scientifically and legally. International experience from GRE and GMAT shows that transparency, technical documentation, and phased rollouts are essential for acceptance.

The IIT Council has proposed a two-year transition from 2026 to 2028. It includes the launch of free and optional adaptive mock tests in 2026 to calibrate the item bank and familiarise students with the interface.

Prof O.R.S. Rao is Chancellor of IITM University, Sikkim. Views are personal.

THE GIST

The goal of adaptive testing is to assess the ability of the candidate more precisely by asking fewer but better-targeted questions. The result is that two candidates may see different questions but are assessed on the same underlying scale.

In the Indian context, 'equality of opportunity' is often interpreted as everyone getting the same question paper. Therefore, a move towards adaptive testing may face Article 14 challenges.

Transitioning from the traditional linear test to adaptive tests requires building large, calibrated item question banks.

Why Only a Few Foreign Medical Degrees Truly Work in India

Every year, thousands of Indian students go abroad to study medicine, convinced that an MBBS degree regardless of where it is earned will eventually allow them to return home as practicing doctors. This assumption, though widespread, is deeply flawed. For many foreign medical graduates, the real test of their education begins not in a classroom or hospital ward, but at the gates of India's licensing system, where the Foreign Medical Graduate Examination (FMGE) decides their professional fate.

FMGE was designed as a screening mechanism. Over time, it has become a choke point. With consistently low pass rates, it delays or derails careers, often years after families have made irreversible financial and emotional investments.

However, India already recognizes that certain foreign medical systems are strong enough to make an additional screening exam redundant.

Under the National Medical Commission's current regulations, medical degrees from the United States, the United Kingdom, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand occupy a distinct and privileged position. Graduates from these countries are exempt from FMGE if they are eligible for registration or hold a license to practice medicine there. This exemption is not a concession; it is a judgment. It reflects India's confidence in the rigor, accountability, and clinical depth of medical education systems in these countries.



Jitin Chawla, Eminent Career Counsellor and Study Abroad Expert

Crucially, India does not recognise foreign degrees in isolation. It recognises processes and outcomes. In these five countries, medical education is inseparable from national licensing examinations, structured internships, and supervised clinical practice. One cannot simply graduate and claim competence. The system itself filters, tests, and trains. By the time a student is eligible for licensure, their skills have already been scrutinised at multiple levels. From India's perspective, FMGE becomes redundant. This clarity is missing in how foreign medical education is marketed to Indian families. Thousands continue to choose

cheaper and faster destinations China, Russia, the Philippines, Ukraine, and Central Asian countries without fully understanding that these degrees almost inevitably lead to FMGE. The result is a troubling paradox: students spend five or six years studying medicine, only to return to India inadequately prepared for the very exam that will decide their future.

It is also important to dispel another misconception. FMGE exemption does not mean automatic or unrestricted practice in India. Even graduates from the US, UK, Canada, Australia, and New Zealand must complete regulatory formalities, internships where applicable, and state medical council registrations. But the difference is fundamental: their careers are not hostage to a single, high-stakes screening exam.

For students and parents, the choice should no longer be framed around ease of admission or lower fees. It should be framed around certainty, credibility, and long-term professional viability.

The uncomfortable but necessary conclusion is this: for Indian students serious about becoming doctors in India, only a handful of foreign medical degrees truly work. Everything else demands a gamble one that too many families understand only when the stakes are already lost.

It is an elitist Budget, does not address people's needs



PULAPRE
BALAKRISHNAN

ACCORDING TO the latest Economic Survey, India is expected to grow by 7.4 per cent this fiscal year. This is above the average annual growth rate for the past two decades. When growth is sufficiently vigorous, economic policy should pivot towards course correction, targeting sectors that need particular attention, especially if they have been neglected in the past. In India, these sectors are health and education. The state of India's health and education is deficient both in absolute terms and in relation to the rest of the world. Health and education represent the basic capabilities of the population. Nations compete through the prowess of their people, which depends on their relative capabilities. The development of capabilities relies strongly on public spending on health and education, even when there may exist a vibrant private sector that provides these services. A comparison of the pattern of public spending on health and education across the world shows that while India is not a laggard when it comes to expenditure on education, it is severely behind countries such as China and the United States when it comes to health services. We might ask if the Union Budget for 2026-27 addresses this issue.

Clearly, the Budget's focus is on growth. To "accelerate and sustain growth by enhancing productivity and competitiveness, and building resilience to volatile global dynamics" is the first of the stated "*kartavyas*" or duties embraced by this government. While the other two, stated as fulfilment of the aspirations of the people and *sabka saath, sabka vikas*, may be interpreted as a nod to the social sector, the Budget contains little by way of concrete provisions to enhance the health and education endowments of the general population.

Though described by the Finance Minister in her speech as a *yuva shakti*-driven Budget, the initiatives on education are mostly on its uppermost segment. The highlights include the creation of five university townships, which will have multiple universities, colleges and associated housing. A new design institute is planned for eastern India and an upgraded National Institute of Hospitality will "align academic excellence with industry requirements". The only initiative for schools announced

in the Budget speech is the proposal to support the Indian Institute of Creative Technologies, Mumbai in setting up animation, visual effects, gaming, and comics (AVCG) content creator labs in 15,000 secondary schools and 500 colleges across the country. The potential of these initiatives should be evaluated bearing in mind the problem of low quality in India's public education sector.

New schemes for the health sector follow a pattern similar to what has been adopted for education. Existing institutions for allied health professionals (AHPs) are to be upgraded and new AHP Institutions established in private and government sectors. "BiopharmaSHAKTI" has been described as a strategy for healthcare advancement through knowledge, technology and innovation but its stated goal is to develop India as a global biopharma manufacturing hub, expected to build the ecosystem for domestic production of biologics and biosimilars. The strategy will include a biopharma-focused network with three new National Institutes of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER) and upgrading seven existing ones. As with the proposed university townships, a scheme has been announced to support states in establishing five regional medical hubs in partnership with the private sector. These hubs will serve as integrated healthcare complexes that combine medical, educational and research facilities. But the intention behind this is to promote India as a hub for medical tourism services.

The Budget has marginally raised the share of the social spend in the total expenditure, but the new schemes are largely aimed at the top tier in their fields. Therefore, the impact on schools and hospitals, which is where a severe shortfall of public provision of services is concentrated, is unlikely to be much. For that very reason, their impact on India's "disease burden", which the minister referred to, is also unlikely to be great. This is an elitist Budget. Its spending pattern does not address the pressing needs of the majority of the people. But it may yet raise the growth rate since capital expenditure has been hiked to its highest level in a decade.

The writer is honorary visiting professor,
Centre for Development
Studies, Thiruvananthapuram

The new schemes are largely aimed at the top tier in their fields. The impact on schools and hospitals, which have a severe shortfall of public provision of services, is unlikely to be much

Hiding in UGC Regulations row is a deep fault line, social, ideological, political



YOGENDRA YADAV

THE STORM over the UGC Regulations was not a debate about equity in higher education. It was a political force with real-life consequences. From start to finish, every scene in this drama reeked of cynicism. First came a role reversal. A regime indifferent to social justice pushed for anti-discrimination measures. Then came a manufacturing of dissent. Loyalists took to the streets against their own government. It came with an inversion of victimhood. The powerful claimed persecution. Finally, came a memory trick. The very bench that demanded the framing of such regulations now recoiled in horror and stayed them. Every step was as intriguing as it was entertaining, if only it did not portend the disruption of a delicate social contract written into the Constitution.

Let there be no doubt about it. This dispute was not about the existence, or otherwise, of social discrimination in universities and colleges. A recent book by N Sukumar, *Caste Discrimination and Exclusion in Indian Universities: A Critical Reflection* (Routledge, 2023), leaves little doubt on this score. Building on the existing knowledge on this subject and drawing on survey data, personal stories and institutional analysis, he demonstrates that caste discrimination in higher education institutions is structural. Developing Satish Deshpande's work on how caste capital was converted into modern capital, Sukumar characterises it as "social cosmology" — a caste-coded ideology of merit that presents historical caste privilege and cultural capital as individual intellectual achievement.

The kind of name-calling of Dalit students that this book records in some of the top institutions of the country should put us all to shame: "Quota children", "category wala" or "cata students", "sarkari damad" or "sarkari Brahmin", "saddus", "preppies" or plain "behenji", besides unprintable caste slurs. Caste bias permeates admission, evaluation, supervision, hostel life, administration, and disciplinary



ILLUSTRATION: C. S. SAKSHI

mechanisms. Based on 600 interviews with SC students across 10 universities, Sukumar found that around two-thirds of students report discrimination during vivas and interviews. Sadly, more than 60 per cent identify teachers as primary perpetrators, while nearly the same proportion of SC research scholars report supervisory exploitation. You could still ask how the discrimination that this book identifies is reflected in the case of other categories like the OBC, but you cannot question the brute fact that students experience universities as exclusionary rather than democratic spaces.

Let us also note that this debate was not about the remedy for this disease. There was little appetite or understanding of this challenge from either side. As someone involved in the framing of the original regulations of 2012, in my capacity then as a member of the UGC, I have no hesitation in admitting that the institutional mechanism of the earlier regulations left a lot to be desired: the Regulations of 2026 were a definite improvement in this respect. At the same time, it is also true that the new regulations had diluted the broad conceptualisation of discrimination (including harassment, victimisation and unfavourable treatment) and had

dropped its illustrative listing available in the original regulations.

However, these nuances are of little practical relevance. Anyone familiar with the university system would tell you that the real problem is not the wording of this or that regulation, but the lack of will to implement any such regulation. The UGC Regulations of 2012 remained on paper. Students did not know about them and the authorities did not care. The fate of the 2026 Regulations was not going to be any different. One can only hope that the wide publicity received during the latest episode will encourage some victims to use the anti-discriminatory mechanism, even the old one.

Let us also be clear that this was not a legal dispute, or should not have been one. The fact is that the anti-discriminatory provisions in the previous and new regulations were drawn straight from the Constitution. Both regulations offered protection against discrimination based on "caste, creed, religion, language, ethnicity, gender or disability". Both regulations laid emphasis on caste discrimination and specified SC/STs as its potential victims (the new ones added OBC). The fact is that the UGC carried out this revision on the explicit

order of the Supreme Court in a 2019 case filed by the mothers of Rohith Vemula and Payal Tadvi, both of whom had died by suicide alleging caste discrimination.

As a matter of fact, it was the bench headed by Justice (now CJD) Surya Kant and Justice Joy Mathy Rajesh that had in its order of September 15, 2025, recommended "A Grievance-Redressal Committee, where 50 per cent of the members belong to the SC/ST/OBC community, and with a Chairperson from that very community". Thus the horror expressed by the same bench four months later seems odd. There is nothing remotely draconian or anti-General Category about the new rules. As Gautam Bhatia has pointed out, the ground offered by the Supreme Court's stay order is out of line with the long-held position of the apex court on this subject.

Let us face it: This is a political battle. Hiding behind a farcical dispute about a minor regulation unlikely to be implemented is a deep fault line, at once social, ideological and political. The BJP has built a winning social coalition by combining its core vote bank, the "upper-caste" Hindus, with substantial inroads into the rest of the Hindu society. In the process, it has had to make all kinds of concessions to the "lower" orders of Hindu society, including giving in to the demand for a "caste census". So far, the BJP's core vote bank has tolerated these concessions as the necessary price for maintaining political power. But the BJP's electoral hegemony and relentless ideological campaign has empowered its "upper-caste" supporters to believe that such concessions are unnecessary. In any case, OBCs are where they draw the line. This opens up a crack the BJP has tried hard to cover up.

Let there be no doubt about it: We are looking at a thinly disguised assault on the constitutional idea of social justice. The UGC Equity Regulations are just an occasion; the target is the entire scheme of reservation. The real unease is about the rise of the OBCs. The immediate signal is about the caste census. This is the beginning of a renewed social battle for political power. The top of the pyramid has asserted itself. It is now for the bottom of the social pyramid to respond. This battle could decide the fate of our republic.

The writer is member, *Swaraj India*, and national convener, *Bharat Jodo Abhiyan*

The BJP's core vote bank has tolerated these concessions as the price for political power. But its hegemony and ideological campaign has empowered 'upper-caste' supporters to believe such concessions are unnecessary

Strategic repositioning of higher education

By embedding higher education into an integrated education-employment-enterprise continuum, the Budget recognises human capital as India's most valuable productive asset — especially at a time of geopolitical churn, disruptive technologies, and the global race for skilled talent



**MANOJ KUMAR
TIWARI**

The major highlight of this year's Union Budget, as far as higher education is concerned, is the major shift in the approach towards higher education. There is a structural shift in the perceived role of higher education, that is, from a welfare-oriented social sector to a core pillar of India's economic growth. Instead of treating higher education separately, it has been embedded within an integrated education, employment, and enterprise continuum, thereby directly linking universities with industrial growth and exports, intertwined with emerging technologies. This change in approach recognises human capital as the country's most critical productive asset, particularly in times of global uncertainty with geopolitical conflicts, the advent of disruptive technologies, reshoring as well as regionalisation of value chains, and intensifying competition for skilled talent.

University Townships: Anchoring Knowledge in Economic Geography

One of the most revolutionary interventions in this Budget is the proposal to develop, through states, five University Townships along major industrial and logistics corridors through a challenge-mode framework. These townships will be developed as an ecosystem consisting of multiple universities, colleges, research institutions, skill centres, residential facilities, along with different industries. This proposal will help in institutionalising the spatial integration of education, research, and industry, eliminating the long-standing disconnect between academic institutions and industries. Finally, it may result in developing Regional Innovation Systems, where proximity between universities, research institutions, and industries boosts innovation and ideas which can be transformed into commercially viable products.

Education-to-Employment and Enterprise Standing Committee

Another pragmatic, timely budget proposal is to form a High-Powered Education-to-Employment and Enterprise Standing Committee. It is a significant shift from fragmented skill development initiatives to system-level planning to integrate education, skills, and entrepreneurship. The proposal envisages transforming higher education institutions from a degree-granting role to



**THE BUDGET
INTRODUCES
NEW
INSTITUTIONAL
ARCHITECTURES
THAT CAN
RESHAPE INDIA'S
HIGHER
EDUCATION
ECOSYSTEM
OVER THE NEXT
TWO DECADES,
CONSIDERING
HIGHER
EDUCATION AS
THE MAJOR
SOURCE OF
LONG-HORIZON
NATIONAL
CAPITAL
FORMATION**

The writer is Director,
Indian Institute of
Management Mumbai

delipioneer

@TheDailyPioneer

manoj@iim-
5050634

dynamic suppliers of a future-ready, agile labour force and entrepreneurs to meet the evolving demand of industry. It takes into consideration the advent of emerging technologies like AI to bring the required changes in the education sector, starting from school to higher education.

STEM, Research Infrastructure, and Frontier Knowledge

The Budget also proposes strengthening the research and frontier-science ecosystem, highlighting the role of higher education institutions as engines of innovation. The establishment or upgradation of four major national telescope and astronomy facilities to enhance India's global standing in astrophysics and space sciences, as well as continued support to national research missions like AI, Quantum, Anusandhan NRF, etc., with higher education institutions as primary implementing nodes, are the major initiatives to elevate the research ecosystem in the country. This highlights the importance of sustaining innovation-led growth, which will create more value than imitation-based industrial development.

Gender-Responsive Higher Education Infrastructure

To eliminate the structural barriers faced by women in STEM education, the Budget proposes establishing one girls' hostel in every district. This may address non-academic constraints such as safety, accommodation,

and mobility faced by female students in STEM and result in greater participation. The highlight of this proposal is enhancing productivity through innovation with greater female participation rather than a mere social obligation.

Expansion of Professional and Applied Higher Education

The Budget tries to expand higher education beyond traditional universities into professional, applied, and services-linked domains. The major initiatives under this head include adding 100,000 professionals in allied health institutions by enhancing the capacities of AYUSH universities and research centres; increasing the number of veterinary and para-veterinary colleges through private participation; establishing a new National Institute of Design through challenge mode; and introducing creative technologies (AVGC) labs in colleges and schools to bring a more professional skill-oriented approach.

Overall, the Budget introduces new institutional architectures that can reshape India's higher education ecosystem over the next two decades, considering higher education as the major source of long-horizon national capital formation. If effectively implemented—especially through cooperative federalism and credible governance mechanisms—these reforms can position Indian universities as anchors of innovation-led, inclusive, and competitive growth.

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

Prab

Enabling Innovation Without Dependency: India's Approach to AI Compute Access



SUSHIL PAL

Artificial intelligence has the potential to be one of the most transformative forces of our time, driving economic growth, improving public services, and accelerating solutions to complex global challenges.

From enabling early disease detection and precision agriculture to strengthening disaster preparedness, climate modelling, and welfare delivery at scale, AI is already reshaping how societies function. As with earlier technological revolutions, however, it is also redefining competitive advantage, often shaped by access to critical resources.

Today, these differences are increasingly geographic, with uneven access to compute, data, and infrastructure influencing who can innovate at scale. India's response has been clear and constructive. Through the IndiaAI Mission, India is working to democratise access to AI capabilities by

treating compute, data, and models as digital public enablers rather than concentrated assets. By building open and scalable digital infrastructure, India aims to ensure that AI advances inclusion, supports sovereign innovation, and delivers shared progress.

This approach is underpinned by a comprehensive, end-to-end vision. At the World Economic Forum in Davos, Union Minister Ashwini Vaishnaw spoke about India's five-layer AI framework, recognising that meaningful AI deployment requires coordinated progress

across the energy layer, compute and infrastructure, chips and hardware, models, and applications. Anchoring AI development in reliable energy systems and domestic compute capacity ensures resilience, while investments in chips, models, and applications enable innovation that is scalable, secure, and aligned with national priorities. Together, these layers form the foundation of India's AI ecosystem, linking policy ambition with operational readiness.

What distinguishes India's approach is its architecture of

access. India has created a common compute platform where 38,000 GPUs are accessible through a unified portal at an affordable rate of INR 65 per hour compared to the global cost of \$2.5 to \$3 per hour. The Government, under the IndiaAI Mission, now offers 100 per cent subsidies for foundational model training and 40 per cent subsidies for inference workloads, ensuring that cost does not become a barrier to innovation. This transforms

compute from a commodity into an enabler, allowing domestic startups like Sarvam AI, Soket AI, and Gnani AI to

build indigenous large language models without the capital intensity that typically favors Big Tech.

Building indigenous large and small language models (LLMs and SLMs) is central to India's broader objective of algorithmic sovereignty. India's sovereign AI model expected to be unveiled at the India AI Impact Summit (16-20 February 2026) is being trained on Indian datasets and hosted on domestic infrastructure.

By grounding these models in India's linguistic and cultural diversity, the effort also seeks to reduce

bias and ensure AI systems are more representative, and inclusive in nature.

This approach ensures that data residency, model behaviour, and governance frameworks remain aligned with national priorities, citizen rights, and India's constitutional values. It reflects a comprehensive strategy for technological self-reliance and strategic autonomy along with the capacity to collaborate globally while retaining control over critical digital systems.

The India AI Impact Summit will shift the global AI conversation from abstract safety debates to measurable impact.

Its seven thematic pillars; democratising AI resources, enabling inclusive development, harnessing AI for planetary resilience, ensuring trustworthy systems, developing contextual multilingual AI, empowering Global South voices, and bridging the AI divide, directly address the dependencies that have historically constrained developing nations.

India's approach offers a replicable case study for the Global South. For regions facing constraints ranging from infrastructure gaps to large informal economies it demon-

strates how openness and innovation can be balanced with sovereignty. Rather than prescribing a single model, India's experience illustrates how nations can build AI ecosystems that are aligned with local realities while remaining globally interoperable.

India's sovereign AI models, being developed as open-source resources, are designed for adaptation across diverse contexts. Countries can draw lessons from this approach adapting model architectures, governance frameworks, and capacity-building strategies to suit their own linguistic, cultural, and developmental priorities. The emphasis is on ecosystem-building, where shared standards, open tools, and collaborative learning accelerate impact without creating new dependencies.

As AI becomes increasingly central to economic competitiveness and national resilience, the question is no longer whether developing nations should invest in AI capabilities, but how they do so responsibly and sustainably.

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

Reframing Examinations as a Journey of Learning



**PRACHI
PANDEY**

This year, Pariksha Pe Charcha (PPC) in its ninth edition, has seen registrations reach an unprecedented level of 4.5 crore with additional participation of 2.2 crore in PPC related activities. Behind these numbers, is a story of a deep connection forged between the students, parents and teachers across the country with the Hon'ble Prime Minister, Narendra Modi ji. In PPC 2023, the Prime Minister said that "Pariksha Pe Charcha is my test too."

Crores of students... are taking my test... It is a great fortune for me to know what the young mind of my country thinks." The initiative, itself, has transformed from a town-hall interaction into a nationwide movement with innovative modes of engagement. Each edition has strengthened dialogue around examinations, mental well-being and life skills by responding to the changing realities and aspirations of learners.

February and March are synonymous with examinations, but with their advent, often the stress begins to overshadow the true purpose of education. For decades, examina-

tions have taken on a larger-than-life persona, even going so far as to define a child's self-worth. The end of the academic year is over-ridden by dread and anxiety rather than being a celebration of achievement and fulfilment.

For a Viksit Bharat, it is necessary that our children's imagination soars with knowledge and experiential learning. Pariksha Pe Charcha has sought to reshape how examinations are viewed by students, teachers and parents by emphasising that examinations are opportunities for learning and self-reflection. Open dialogue around the various themes has been instrumental in strengthening the relationships between students and teachers as well as students and parents.

Anchored in sensitivity and common-sense, the initiative provides space to express concerns and seek guidance on examinations and on life beyond marks. PPC is not just about words or advice that we wish to hear, an easy way to address issues. Rather, the Prime Minister has responded to real-life concerns with genuine solutions. A good example is that of the relationship between parents and children which is as complex as it is simple.

Time and again, he has urged families not to impose their own unfulfilled dreams on children, but

instead to allow them to fly with their own aspirations. In 2024, Narendra Modi ji reminded parents that "Your words make your child's world-encourage, don't pressurise." Teachers are the mainstay of a student's academic life but their role extends beyond curriculum delivery to one of personality development, advice and emotional stewardship. While every human being is unique, our classrooms are often characterised by uniform expectations and excessive comparison, at the cost of ignoring learner diversity.

Teachers who recognise this individuality are better placed to lower anxiety and foster intrinsic motivation among students.

Teacher-parent relationships another critical issue. There was a time when these relationships were familial yet sometimes there is a perception of alienation or even of becoming adversarial. Isolated efforts are not the most effective when it comes to child welfare and, thus, collective and shared responsibility is the path towards holistic growth of the child. It is crucial that parents and teachers appreciate their vital roles as partners in a child's academic and emotional development rather than as pressure-builders.

PPC is a Jan Andolan rooted in the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 philosophy. NEP 2020, on its

part, recommends that assessments be indicators of learning and progress and stresses on reducing the high-stakes nature of examinations. Today, pedagogy is about joyful learning and classroom transactions encourage conceptual understanding and critical thinking. The policy views student well-being and mental health as integral to meaningful learning. Naturally, these principles would result in an assessment strategy that is a holistic evaluation of a student's competencies.

Translating this vision into practice, the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) has notified the introduction of two Board Examinations for Class X from 2026. Students will now be permitted to appear in Board examinations on up to two occasions in a single academic year, one main examination and one for improvement, if desired. This measure is aimed to reduce the 'high-stakes' character of Board examinations, offer greater flexibility and choice to learners, and position assessment as an opportunity for improvement rather than pressure and elimination.

Another reform, in pursuance of NEP 2020 objectives is to re-design assessment frameworks. CBSE is giving importance to questions that evaluate the application of knowledge rather than recall alone. Similarly, NAS Parakh, is a national assessment initiative to comprehen-

sively evaluate the progress of learners across stages.

Physical, emotional and mental well-being contribute in equal measure to a joyful and meaningful life.

Important issues of digital de-tox, cheating, competition, healthy routines, mindful practices, peer pressure, etc, have been addressed by the Narendra Modi ji to reinforce the idea that a composed mind is a student's greatest strength, not only in the examination hall, but in life. After all, skills developed to tackle examinations also prepare students to tackle other problems. Handling pressure, learning from setbacks, resilience, discipline, tenacity and self-regulation will all help students in facing challenges beyond the school.

Pariksha Pe Charcha invites us to see examinations as an "Utsav" and as meaningful moments in a larger journey of growth. PPC seeks to build an enabling and empathetic ecosystem around students to ensure that their well-being is at the centre of the examination discourse. The Prime Minister captured the essence of PPC by stating in 2023 that, "Pariksha Pe Charcha aims to transform stress into success, enabling Exam Warriors to ace exams with a smile".

Prachi Pandey is Joint Secretary in Department of School Education and Literacy

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

Shaping humans, not just minds

TARUN GOSWAMI

What we need in India today are good human beings with academic brilliance and scientific temper, opined Swami Bodhsaranandaji, an assistant general secretary of Ramakrishna Math and Mission.

Addressing a gathering in connection with the 66th annual exhibition and celebration at Ramakrishna Mission Vidyalaya in Narendrapur on Thursday, Swami Bodhsaranandaji said the country can make progress only if the people are educated. "Different state governments have been requesting the Ramakrishna Mission for its assistance because it provides the best education to the students, which is value-based. We follow Swami Vivekananda's man-making character-building education."

Revered Maharaj, who has travelled extensively in the country and abroad, said, "The students who have passed out from the RKM educational institutions across the country are a real asset to society. They have made us proud. Even in foreign countries, they have left a deep mark on their society with their intellect and hard work."

He added, "Legendary cricketer Sachin Tendulkar, Bill Gates and Steve Jobs did not follow the path of formal education, but by their sheer hard work and talent, they had reached the top. Work seriously, study hard, and you will reach the top." At the event, Maharaj gave away prizes to the students who did well in the board examinations as well as school exams.

Prof. Sanghamitra Bandyopadhyay, the former



director of the Indian Statistical Institute, a Padmashree and a Shanti Swarup Bhatnagar awardee, who is well known for her pioneering work in computational biology, was the guest of honour. In her words, "India has so far catered to the service sector, but now the time has come when we should concentrate more on the knowledge sector." According to her, Indian students who study abroad are very hard working and have a sound academic base, but in problem solving, they initially falter, but because of their brilliance, they pick up fast. She pointed out that Artificial Intelligence

(AI) should be used judiciously. "We cannot avoid AI. It is helping the academic world, but it should be used rationally. One should be aware of how to use it for our benefit."

Swami Shastragyanandaji, secretary of Narendrapur Ramakrishna Mission, recalled the vision of Swami Lokeshwaranandaji, who had dreamt of Narendrapur and executed it. He said the students who pass out from this institution are an asset to society.

Swami Ishteshanandaji, headmaster of Narendrapur school, read out the annual report. The school has bagged

the best school award from the State School Education department.

The annual exhibition of the school is a real marvel. The students make plans for the exhibition and are assisted by the teachers. It is a must-visit for everyone to see what the young generation can do. The students of class VII, under the title Nature Study, made charts to create environmental awareness. They used Rabindranath Tagore's Sahaj Path to create this awareness. The book will be celebrating its centenary four years later. The students explained how Tagore, who was deeply

involved in the preservation of the environment, had written simple poems for children so that they could become aware of the surrounding nature.

The Little Scientists' section was highly interesting, with the students of class VI who made models that were user-friendly. They made models to show how kitchens can become carbon free and how big earth movers can be made to work by using water power.

The students explained Indian tradition and culture in Sanskrit and Hindi. The four-day exhibition came to

a close on Thursday.

Swami Lokeshwaranandaji had set up Narendrapur RKM six decades ago. The students were given prizes not only for their academic brilliance but also for co-curricular activities. There were prizes for elocution, storytelling and cracking jokes. The students who had used the school library the most were also rewarded. Narendrapur has become a name in the academic world across the country. Many of the research scholars associated with the famous academic institutions of the country are alumni of RKM schools and colleges.

Reviving lost art of handwriting

STATESMAN NEWS SERVICE

In a bid to encourage students to develop their handwriting, drawing and painting traits, Barasat Gate Cultural Association, Chandannagar, organised a competition recently.

The programme was held at the premises of the association called Sri Ramakrishna Vivekananda Sri Aurobindo Nilay. 160 students took part in the hand writing competition in both English and Bengali. Sanjay Bhattacharya, secretary of the association, announced that the winners will be honoured at a function on 22 February.

According to him, "During our students' days, special attention was given to handwriting in both English and Bengali. There were workbooks, and the students were given training in cursive writing. There were teachers in our time who had excellent handwriting. However, over the recent years, neither the parents nor the teachers have given stress on the improvement of handwriting."

He added, "Drawing and poster making have become important co-curricular activities now, and if children can be taught about this from school days, then this is bound to help them in the long run. The association is there to help the students who are in need." The association organises youth conferences to propagate the message of Sri Ramakrishna, Swami Vivekananda and Sri Aurobindo. Regular lectures are held on their lives and work. The association is one of the first organisations to hold guardians' meetings to make them aware of the present day education system.



School of Global Leadership launches PostGraduate Programme in Global Leadership

The Bharatiya Engineering Science and Technology Innovation University - BESTIU (state private University of Andhra Pradesh) and India Foundation's (New Delhi) joint initiative - School of Global Leadership (SoGL), is now accepting applications for the first cohort of 30 learners for the PostGraduate Programme in Global Leadership.

Sign up for a one of its kind globe trotting learning experience across seven countries - India, China, UAE, USA, Italy, Belgium and the Netherlands - preparing you for leadership in a century in motion.

Learn from leaders and experts about public policy, governance, leadership, global affairs, technology and innovation.



How Nano MBAs could democratise business education in India

DAVIENDER NARANG

Business education in India is at an important inflection point. While traditional MBA and PGDM programmes continue to play a vital role in leadership development, the aspirations, constraints, and learning preferences of today's learners are far more diverse than before. As an academic leader, I strongly believe that the future of management education lies not in replacing existing models, but in expanding them thoughtfully. One such powerful expansion is the concept of the Nano MBA.

A Nano MBA is a compact, focused business education programme that delivers essential management knowledge in a short duration. Unlike a conventional MBA that offers comprehensive coverage across disciplines, Nano MBAs are modular, outcome-driven, and skill-specific. They are designed to address immediate learning needs, whether it is strategic thinking, business analytics, digital marketing, leadership essentials, or financial decision-making.

India's diversity is its strength, but it also presents challenges in ensuring equitable access to quality education. Nano MBAs have the potential to democratise business education in multiple ways.

The cost of a full-time MBA often becomes a barrier for talented individuals from economically diverse backgrounds. Nano MBAs, due to their short duration and focused scope, substantially reduce this financial burden while retaining academic rigour. This makes quality business education accessible to first-generation learners, early-career professionals, and aspiring entrepreneurs

who may otherwise remain excluded from formal management education.

Equally important is the issue of geographical access. High-quality business education in India is still concentrated in metropolitan cities. Nano MBAs, especially when delivered through online or blended formats, remove the need for physical relocation. Learners from Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities can access structured management education while remaining connected to their local ecosystems. This wider reach not only benefits individuals but also contributes to regional capacity building and more balanced economic development.

Another critical dimension is relevance. The business environment today is shaped by rapid technological change, data-driven decision-making, and constant disruption. Traditional programmes, by their very nature, take time to revise curricula. Nano MBAs allow institutions to design and deploy updated modules quickly, ensuring that learners acquire skills aligned with current and emerging industry needs. This agility makes business education more responsive and outcomes-oriented.

Nano MBAs also encourage a culture of lifelong learning. Careers are no longer linear, and professionals must continuously reskill and upskill to remain relevant. By enabling learners to acquire knowledge in small, meaningful modules over time, Nano MBAs support continuous professional development. Learners can stack multiple modules based on their career stage and aspirations, creating a personalised learning journey rather than a one-time educational event.

From the perspective of executive education, Nano MBAs offer exceptional



value. Working professionals and senior executives often do not require broad academic coverage; instead, they seek targeted learning that can be applied immediately. Nano MBAs cater to this need by focusing on specific managerial challenges such as leadership transitions, strategic execution, financial understanding for non-finance roles, or digital transformation. Their time-efficient format respects the constraints of busy professionals while delivering high-impact learning experiences.

For working professionals who aspire to pursue an MBA but are constrained by time, finances, or

personal responsibilities, Nano MBAs act as a meaningful bridge. They provide exposure to structured management education, build academic confidence, and create clarity about future learning paths. More importantly, the application-oriented nature of Nano MBAs ensures immediate workplace impact, often leading to visible improvements in performance and career progression. In the long run, these programmes can also serve as pathways to executive MBA or advanced management programmes, making higher education more flexible and inclusive. Nano MBAs

represent a thoughtful evolution in management education—one that places the learner at the centre while responding to the needs of a dynamic economy. As educators, our responsibility is not only to impart knowledge but to enable transformation. By integrating Nano MBAs into the broader educational ecosystem, we can truly democratise business education in India and prepare a wider, more diverse pool of leaders for the challenges and opportunities of the future.

THE WRITER IS THE DIRECTOR, JAIPURIA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT.



V RAMGOPAL RAO

Fewer students going to US could be a new beginning

THE RECENT data showing a 75 per cent decline in Indian student enrolments in the US should not be read as a temporary disruption or a statistical blip. It is a structural signal. One that reflects deeper shifts in geopolitics, attitudes towards migration, cost structures, and India's own higher education capacity and aspirations. Treating this as a short-term fluctuation would be a serious mistake.

For decades, the US was the default destination for India's best students. Rising visa uncertainties, restrictive immigration rhetoric, high tuition costs, and growing social unease for immigrants have now altered the risk-reward equation. Indian students are responding rationally to this.

This shift is not entirely negative for India, which has a chance to retain a large part of its high-calibre talent. If leveraged well, this can accelerate our transition from a service-led economy to a deep-tech and innovation-driven one. But that will require deliberate capacity building, policy coherence, and institutional reform.

The first and most binding constraint is capacity. Our top institutions remain severely supply constrained. The number of globally competitive seats available in India is a small fraction of the demand. As more students stay back, the pressure on these institutions will intensify. Without rapid expansion of physical infrastructure, labora-

tories, faculty strength, and doctoral programmes, we risk replacing one bottleneck with another.

Equally important is quality and global relevance. Students went abroad for exposure to frontier research, interdisciplinary ecosystems, and industry-linked learning. To become credible alternatives, Indian institutions must offer comparable academic depth and international integration. This means more joint degree programmes, collaborative doctoral supervision, global credit portability, faculty mobility, and moving away from insular curriculum design towards globally benchmarked outcomes.

There is a strong economic dimension to this transition. Indian families spend billions of dollars annually on overseas education. A meaningful share of this can be redirected into strengthening domestic institutions. But this requires a rethink of funding models. We need blended models combining government support, study-now-pay-later fee structures, philanthropic capital, alumni contributions, and industry partnerships. Endowments must become a pillar of institutional resilience. This will require major policy shifts, particularly in the tax treatment of philanthropy.

Industry has a critical role in this new equilibrium. As global capability centres expand rapidly in

India, there is a unique opportunity to align higher education more closely with advanced industrial needs. Industry-linked doctoral programmes, translational research funding, and shared research infrastructure can play a catalytic role. India needs PhDs who can move fluidly between academia, industry, startups, and policy. Retaining undergraduate talent is only half the story. Building a strong, employable, and globally connected doctoral workforce is where long-term competitiveness will be decided.

There is also a soft power dimension. Students educated in India but trained to global standards become ambassadors of Indian institutions. This strengthens academic reputation, attracts international students, and gradually reverses the asymmetry that has defined global higher education flows. Governance autonomy, academic freedom, and merit-based decision making are non-negotiable if this ambition is to be realised.

The decline in Indian student enrolment in the US is not a crisis to be managed but a transition to be shaped. If we see this as a moment for structural realignment, expanding capacity, enhancing quality, strengthening research, and building credible global pathways at home, it could be the start of a new phase in India's higher education journey.

The writer is vice chancellor for BITS Pilani campuses and former director of IIT Delhi.

Views are personal

This can accelerate our transition from a service-led economy to a deep-tech and innovation-driven one. But that will require capacity building, policy coherence, and institutional reform

Sanskrit: The language that shaped a civilisation

From education policy to ideological positioning, the government has been operating in a vacuum — one where rhetoric substitutes for scholarship, and optics replace understanding. The UGC fiasco is not a one-off blunder. It is a symptom of a much larger intellectual deficit that now threatens to become a political liability

**FIRST
Column**



**RAGHVENDRA
SINGH**

Sanskrit means 'perfectly made'. It is a language of extraordinary precision. Sanskrit is one of the oldest recorded languages. It developed a most comprehensive linguistic system very early in history so that it could easily be committed to memory. The earliest writings in Sanskrit, the Rig Vedic hymns, are in the form of poetry.

Before the development of script, India relied on oral transmission to preserve and disseminate knowledge, including scriptures and philosophical teachings. The Vedas, Brahmanas, Aranyakas, Upanishads and early Puranas were passed on orally from teacher to disciple, the 'Guru-Shishya parampara', over generations. This knowledge was considered Shrut, that which is heard, emphasising its oral origins. The oral transmission of knowledge in Sanskrit was a highly disciplined and precise process. The emphasis was not only on memorisation, but also on accurate pronunciation and intonation. Even after the introduction of scripts, the oral tradition of learning and reciting Sanskrit texts continued to be an integral part of the Indian educational system.

The Sanskrit texts were set into two categories: Shrut and Smrit. Shrut literally meant 'heard' or 'revealed'. The authority of these texts was of the highest order. They were considered eternal and unchangeable. The most important and foundational Shrut texts are the Vedas. Smrit, on the other hand, meant 'remembered'. These texts were human compositions. Unlike Shrut, Smrit texts were regarded as secondary to the Vedas in terms of authority. The Smrit texts include the Epics, the Puranas, Dharmashastras and other scriptures dealing with customs, moral codes et al. Smrit literature also provides practical guidelines and interpretations of the principles found in the Shrut texts. As has been said, one of the oldest and most important Shrut scriptures, the Rig Veda, is a collection of hymns dedicated to deities and natural forces. It was composed not less than four thousand



**SANSKRIT
GRADUALLY
TOOK WING. IT
BECAME A
LANGUAGE IN
WHICH GREAT
WORKS WERE
COMPOSED**

The writer is an Advisor,
Bharati Ki Seva Foundation

- @harikishore
- @harikishore
- @harikishore

years ago. It is in Sanskrit. The hymns are highly poetic and profound. The importance of both the Shrut and the Smrit texts is historical and cultural. They have played a crucial role in shaping the Indian ethos.

The Vedas have survived over millennia. The reason for it is the language of it. Vedic Sanskrit was far simpler than Classical Sanskrit. The use of phonetic patterns, metre and rhythm made memorisation and recitation easier. The use of metrical verses made them more rhythmic and melodious, aiding memorisation. The Vedic tradition included a detailed study of phonetics, which helped in preserving the correct pronunciation and accentuation of the Vedic texts. The fact that these texts were highly revered also helped them remain relevant.

Panini, the famous grammarian, created, in about 40 pages, the most complete linguistic system in history. His masterwork, 'Ashtadhyayi' (eight chap-

ters), made Sanskrit the lingua franca of the Asian continent for more than a thousand years. His work was one of the most astonishing intellectual achievements of the human mind. Panini lived around the 5th-4th century BCE in a town near Taxila. Ashtadhyayi is quite clearly the work of a single individual, fascinated with reconstructing things to understand how they worked. What Panini took apart and reconstructed, as per the rules he created, was Sanskrit, the language of the Vedas. The sacred hymns and the language itself were analysed by him. It was nothing less than grasping the nature of the cosmos. Just as in yoga, wherein we are mindful of our breath, so was the case with Panini, who became mindful of what we spoke.

What made Sanskrit perfect? Why was it a language of extraordinary precision? In English, the noun can usually be understood from the context. But in Sanskrit, eight different suffixes are

used to embed meanings into the forms of words. From a single Sanskrit noun in isolation, one can tell its syntactical function. The features of Sanskrit are an expression of subtlety. Panini set out to capture in exacting detail how Sanskrit worked. To do this, he needed a meta-language. His meta-language had to be concise, to be committed to memory;

and to be passed on orally. The Sutras that Panini created describe Sanskrit's chronology, morphology and syntax. The word used to describe Panini's work is 'Vyakaran'. Vyakaran is seen as the paradigm for other major themes of enquiry in ancient India, such as astronomy and philosophy. The derivational qualities of Panini's grammar can be compared to the deductive principles of Euclid's geometry.

Sanskrit gradually took wing. It became a language in which great works were composed. As it spread in the centuries after Panini, Sanskrit

helped give India a cultural and social position that no political entity would be able to establish. It made India an exporter of cultural capital. From the start of the Common Era, and for well over a millennium thereafter, Sanskrit bound together a huge civilisational territory, continental in scale. At its peak, a quarter of the world's population lived within it. Sanskrit became the sign across this vast space of a particular style of polity, civility and beauty. Living in India, one could be quoted in Cambodia. Cambodian princes wrote a Sanskrit no different from the poets in Tamil Nadu and Gujarat. Panini's 'Vyakaran' played a role in securing that coherent intelligibility, setting standards to which the later users of Classical Sanskrit strove to adhere. It was not that Panini and his system froze Sanskrit. Despite the normative influence that Ashtadhyayi exerted, Sanskrit continued to evolve, just as it had in Panini's days.

From its origins as a language of power, it became the language in which people sought to disrupt power, and to explore the literary imagination. Unlike other global languages like Latin, Arabic or English, Sanskrit spread not through conquest or colonisation, but because it served a purpose. Sanskrit was the language of science. Most Sanskrit texts in science and other subjects were in poetic form, aiding easy memorisation. It is a pity, though, that few scholars of Kharoshthi, Brahmi and Grantha script exist who can translate our rich Sanskrit heritage for a lay reader. It is also a tragedy that India remains largely unaware of the treasure trove of civilisational knowledge these ancient Sanskrit manuscripts contain. There is a dire need to identify institutions reputed for their large and priceless collections of Sanskrit manuscripts. Priority needs to be accorded to preserving, conserving and restoring them on a large scale with set timelines.

Creating human resources familiar with old Sanskrit scripts is equally the need of the hour. Interpreting this heritage is as important as energising our efforts at archaeological exploration, for who knows what more we may discover while delving into the Sanskrit treasure trove.

**The Pioneer
SINCE 1859**

Mixed signals for research funding

Budgetary allocations mark a clear shift towards the private sector



DINESH C SHARMA
SCIENCE COMMENTATOR

THE Union Budget, it is often said, is not just about taxes, revenues and sectoral allocations, but it is also about new policy directions and a reflection of the government's thinking in crucial sectors. Given that the world is witnessing rapid changes in the technological arena linked with new geopolitical realities, one would have expected this year's Budget to reveal India's response to these emerging challenges.

On this count, the Budget speech was disappointing. Far from being a "futuristic Budget", as is being claimed, it looks like "business as usual" on major science and technology fronts like artificial intelligence (AI) and semiconductors.

Among the key science and technology-related announcements made by Finance Minister (FM) Nirmala Sitharaman are the setting up of new telescopes and a planetarium, the creation of rare earths corridors, the launch of part two of the semiconductor mission, and a strategy for biopharma manufacturing. These are all the right things to do, but they do not amount to a policy shift as they are all projects with incremental forward movement.

The Indian Institute of Astrophysics (IIA) has been working on the development of the National Large Solar Telescope for 15 years and the National Large Optical-Infrared Telescope for over five years. These two are among the projects announced in the Budget. Major work, such as designing, site selection, development of a tested laboratory and scientific capacity-building for the solar telescope, has been underway for a long time. The FM herself visited the site selected for setting up the large solar



KEY INITIATIVE: Biopharma SHAKTI has an outlay of Rs 10,000 crore over the next five years. **STOCK**

telescope in Ladakh last year.

India has a strong scientific capability in solar observation, and the proposed solar telescope is going to complement observations from Aditya-L1 satellite and ground-based Multi-Application Solar Telescope at Udaipur.

The new telescope projects will cost an estimated Rs 3,500 crore, but the IIA has not received any extra budgetary support for the new projects announced. The IIA is one of the 25 autonomous bodies under the Department of Science — all of which together get Rs 1,623 crore in the Budget.

Another initiative the minister announced is Biopharma SHAKTI (Strategy for Healthcare Advancement through Knowledge, Technology and Innovation) with an outlay of Rs 10,000 crore over the next five years to build facilities for the production of biologics and biosimilars. However, the money would go for the creation of three new National Institutes of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPERs) and a network of over 1,000 accredited clinical trial sites — both of which are general-purpose activities and not dedicated to biopharma alone.

The third component of the pro-

The tax holiday till 2047 for foreign companies setting up data centres in India is highly problematic. These centres are power guzzlers.

posed outlay is to strengthen the Central Drugs Standard Control Organisation. It is much needed but chubbing it under the biopharma strategy is rather strange. It is unclear how much of Rs 10,000 crore would go for developing new NIPERs or strengthening the regulatory system, and the development of biopharma as such.

In any case, SHAKTI is a follow-up action of the \$250-million National Biopharma Mission launched with aid from the World Bank in 2017. It was focused on scientific research, startups and developing clinical trial sites for vaccines, biosimilars and medical devices. It pro-

moted early-stage bio-manufacturing, clinical development, training and technology transfer.

The Budget speech also touched upon the hot topics of semiconductors, rare earths and AI, but none of the mentions merit the tag of a game-changer. Reiterating that a scheme for manufacturing Rare Earth Permanent Magnets was launched in November 2025, the minister talked of a proposal to support Odisha, Kerala, Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu to establish dedicated "Rare Earth Corridors" to promote mining, processing, research and manufacturing. This is pretty much one of the broad goals of the National Critical Minerals Mission launched last year, and other initiatives to boost rare earths exploration and processing.

While announcing the India Semiconductor Mission (ISM) 2.0, the FM said it would focus on producing "equipment and materials, design, full-stack Indian Intellectual Property, and fortify supply chains". Here too, she merely said what the Minister for Electronics & IT Ashwini Vaishnaw, stated last week. He said the target for the production of advanced chips (in 2-3

nanometre mode) for use in smartphones, defence equipment and computing devices was 2032. In the previously announced second phase of the Design-Linked Incentive (DLI) scheme, the focus will be on chip categories such as compute, radio frequency, networking, power, sensor and memory.

The tax holiday till 2047 for foreign companies setting up data centres in India is highly problematic. Data centres are power guzzlers. That's why tech giants like Meta, Google and other AI companies want to set them up at offshore locations in India and elsewhere.

The other big-ticket idea that featured in the minister's speech in the past several years — the Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF) — got only a cursory mention this time. The government had promised Rs 50,000 crore for the ANRF over five years, but it got a meagre Rs 2,000 crore last year, and gets the same this year. Another big idea was the Research, Development and Innovation (RDI) scheme announced last year to fund deep tech projects in the private sector. It is supposed to get Rs 1 lakh crore over seven years. It was allotted Rs 20,000 crore last year, but only Rs 3,000 crore could be spent as the scheme was approved by the Cabinet only in July, and rules were formed by November last year.

Despite low utilisation of funds and tardy implementation of the RDI, the budgetary allocations mark a clear shift from the public to private in research funding. The ANRF, which supports research in government labs and universities, gets Rs 2,000 crore, and the RDI — set up solely for the private sector — gets 10 times more.

In effect, the research kitty for public institutions has shrunk and not grown as was promised when the ANRF was floated as an umbrella research funding agency replacing the Science and Engineering Research Board. This is a silent but worrying signal from the science and technology budget this year.

John J. Kennedy



Equity on trial: The UGC's new regulations and the caste factor

The UGC's Promotion of Equity in Higher Education Institutions Regulations 2026, notified on January 13, sought to address persistent caste discrimination on Indian campuses. Instead, they had led to protests, legal challenges and a stay order by the Supreme Court, raising concerns about the drafting and scope. The context, however, is tragically real, shaped by cases like Rohith Vemula and Payal Tadvi, whose deaths revealed how caste bias, social exclusion and widespread institutional apathy can devastate students from marginalised communities.

One must admit that the 2012 UGC regulations on caste discrimination were largely advisory and lacked enforcement. Complaints of caste-based discrimination had steadily risen, more than doubling between 2019-20 and 2023-24, showing that the old framework had failed. Against this backdrop, the 2026 regulations were inevitable. Framed following the Supreme Court's directions, they make equity mechanisms mandatory through Equal Opportunity Centres, Equity Committees and formal inquiries. From a social justice perspective, their intent was necessary and long overdue.

Although the Supreme Court has kept the regulations in abeyance, it must be realised that it is not a rejection of equity but a caution about flawed drafting and possible consequences. The court, from its observation, has highlighted a key inconsistency: While Section 3(c) narrowly defines caste-based discrimination as affecting only SCs, STs and OBCs,

Section 3(e) broadly defines discrimination as unfair treatment of any stakeholder on grounds such as caste, religion, gender or place of birth, raising the question of why a separate, narrower definition is needed at all when a more inclusive one already exists. The court has also flagged vague language, the scope for misuse and the risk of social division without proper safeguards. The apex court's call for an expert review may reflect procedural and constitutional concerns, but it is not a denial of caste realities.

However, where the debate becomes political and less evidence-based is in the claim that the regulations will lead to caste-based victimisation of general category students. The procedural concern that general category students may not have equal access to grievance redressal under the caste-specific provisions is a real drafting issue and deserves attention. But the stronger claim that upper-caste students may be systematically discriminated against on caste grounds in Indian universities has little evidence.

Decades of sociological research, court cases and campus reports show that caste discrimination in India overwhelmingly flows in one direction — from historically dominant castes towards Dalits, Adivasis and other backward classes. The lived reality of caste in India is one of entrenched power, privilege and social capital on one side, and stigma, exclusion and vulnerability on the other. Upper castes, as a group, have not historically been sites of caste-based humiliation. This does not mean that some individuals from upper castes cannot face personal

harassment, regional bias or unfair treatment. They certainly can.

But that is not the same as being subjected to structural caste discrimination. Notably, there is no body of documented evidence, from UGC data, court cases or major investigations, showing a pattern of caste-based discrimination against upper-caste students comparable to what marginalised students face. The fear of widespread "reverse caste discrimination" therefore appears more speculative than factual.

There is also a historical pattern that cannot be ignored when it comes to such issues. Almost every major social reform in India, from reservations to the Mandal Commission recommendations to anti-discrimination measures, has been met with strong resistance from the privileged sections. These reactions are often framed in the language of merit, fairness and equality, but they are also deeply shaped by anxiety over the loss of long-held advantages. The current protests against the UGC regulations fit this pattern. Isn't it obvious that many of those leading the protests come from socially and educationally privileged groups? This does not automatically invalidate the procedural concerns. But it does raise a larger question: Are these protests primarily about due process and legal clarity, or are they also driven by a deeper discomfort with stronger institutional recognition of caste injustice? When reforms seek to protect the vulnerable, those who long enjoyed unexamined privilege often experience it as a threat, even when no real penalty is being imposed on them.

The solution to this problem lies in neither abandoning the regulations nor diluting their purpose.

Protecting marginalised students is urgent and backed by ample evidence, and the failure of the 2012 regulations, seen in rising complaints and tragic outcomes, makes inaction indefensible. At the same time, the Supreme Court's demand for clearer drafting and safeguards requires attention. The UGC must avoid ambiguous language, strengthen procedural protections against misuse, clarify grievance redressal to ensure that all stakeholders are covered, and restore explicit provisions on ragging, which often overlaps with caste and cultural harassment. Far from weakening the fight against caste discrimination, these steps would make the framework more robust, fair and credible.

The central aim of the regulations must remain the protection of the marginalised, where caste oppression is most acute. This does not mean targeting upper-caste students, but it does require honest recognition of caste power and vulnerability. The real choice before the UGC and the government is not equity versus unity, but a sound, constitutional equity framework versus denial and fear. If clarified and strengthened, the regulations can serve their true purpose, not as tools of division, but as instruments of long-overdue justice in Indian higher education.

The writer is retired professor and former dean of the School of Arts and Humanities at Christ University in Bengaluru

Peasants to universities, nothing escaped André Beteille's lens



DIPANKAR
GUPTA

MODERN INDIAN sociology begins with Professor André Beteille, but his death this week does not signal its decline. This is because he opened that door wide enough for future generations to walk through and leave one-dimensional, micro-level studies behind to study India, first, and then the world.

When Professor Beteille wrote his landmark work, *Caste, Class and Power*, it was not just another village study but one that brought in Indian politics and universal theory together and in equal measure. He integrated into his study the powerful emerging currents of Dravidian politics, the dynamics of caste, economic and political power — all of this taking place vividly in a hitherto unquestioned Brahmin-dominated village in Tamil Nadu.

His work is probably the most outstanding one till now about the transition from cumulative to dispersed inequalities in village India, where the axes of ritual, political and economic dominance no longer converge. Indeed, one might even say, without exaggeration, that *Caste, Class and Power* exemplified this aspect so well that the shift in contemporary rural dynamics can be encapsulated in one phrase — “from cumulative to dispersed inequality”. This allowed him to provide a profound alternative to Louis Dumont's study of caste hierarchy, where ritual dominance was the critical factor.

Professor Beteille received numerous awards, both nationally and internationally. He was honoured with a Padma Bhushan and was also elected a Fellow of the British Academy. True to his style, he

was a celebrity both in India and the West. He lectured across the globe and was feted everywhere as a star celebrity. Yet, his heart and intellect both belonged to India. His duels with Marxists were always tinged with admiration for them, perhaps on account of his college years in Kolkata, but more because he was intrinsically a complete intellectual.

When I asked him a question, which people must have badgered him with forever, as to why he chose to teach at the Delhi School of Economics when every recognised university in the world would love to have him, he replied, rather dismissively, that he enjoyed working and teaching here — no further explanation. He did not come out with grand phrases about being nationalistic, and so on, but stated a simple truth: He felt fulfilment here. I immediately understood what he meant, and in many ways, he expressed a sentiment that many leading intellectuals in India hold dear.

My first meeting with him, in the late 1960s, was a brief and informal encounter. He had just become a Nehru Fellow and was out of active teaching. His reputation, even then, was sky high and as a pretentious young person, I instinctively kept looking out for chinks in his armour and was disappointed that I didn't find any. Much later, in the 1990s, when I came back to the Delhi School of Economics, this time as a faculty member, I realised how generous he was with those who critiqued him and did not let any of the negative comments come in the

way of personal relationships.

On one occasion, I was rather rude in my response to his critical comments on my presentation. I noticed his disappointment at my unbecoming behaviour, but he carried on as if nothing had happened. It helped me hide my embarrassment for the moment, but I truly broke out of it when I apologised to him, that too weeks later. He pretended as if he had forgotten that incident altogether, which was quite in character with the overall dignity of his bearing.

Professor Beteille broke the mould again when he began to contribute regularly to *The Times of India*. His articles were widely read and influenced a large number of readers because he successfully merged somewhat esoteric sociological subjects with popular concerns and thereby raised the standards of public debate. How can one not mention the fact that Professor Beteille wrote and spoke with charming lucidity. Whether one heard him or read him, his sentences were

crisp, meaningful and enviably elegant. I know several people would come for his public lectures just to hear him speak — he was that enthralling. If anybody truly aspired to be a good social scientist, Andre Beteille was the ultimate model.

Over a decade ago, Oxford University Press asked me to compile a list of what I took to be Professor Beteille's essential writings. In doing that, I realised fully how he had brought Max Weber to Indian sociology and demonstrated that sociological concepts

that work best should apply not just to India but should be important markers of universal knowledge. He was a prolific author whose range encompassed almost the entire sociological scape from tribes, peasants, and castes to universities, democracy and citizenship. There was nothing that escaped Professor Beteille's close attention.

I do not know how many of those who knew him and even admired him are aware of his deep interest in music, poetry and the fine arts. He could, till almost the very end, recite long verses in English and Bengali with admirable ease. His memory and retention power were amazing. I recall telling him once that I had just laboured over Walter Scott's *Ivanhoe* and how quaint I found the text and prose to be. Surprisingly, he recalled in minute detail almost every page of that book, which he must have read decades ago. I went back with a better appreciation of *Ivanhoe*. Something similar happened after I had read *Don Quixote*, and it confirmed my belief that Professor Beteille could be admired and emulated but was almost impossible to surpass.

I never called him “André da” as most Bengalis did. I addressed him as André when I got to know him better, and I told him that it was because he was as much my friend as he was my model. André smiled at my explanation and said, “A joking relationship, is it?” In sociology and social anthropology, this term signifies a playful but respectful interaction where red lines are frequently crossed but only because of lasting affection.

The writer is a sociologist

When I asked him why he chose to teach at the Delhi School of Economics when every recognised university in the world would love to have him, he replied that he enjoyed working here. He did not come out with grand phrases about being nationalistic but stated a simple truth: He felt fulfilment here

UGC regulations on caste are narrowly framed



**AISHWARYA
PRAKASH**

DEAR EDITOR I disagree

A fortnightly column in which we invite readers to tell us why, when they differ with the editorial positions or news coverage of 'The Indian Express'

If the Indian state is serious about addressing caste, it must confront it as an institution in its entirety. This requires pedagogical reform that teaches caste, including caste privilege, as a lived social reality

THE WIDESPREAD protests against the new UGC regulations have led to the Supreme Court staying their implementation, citing concerns that the regulations could "divide society". While much public debate, including this newspaper's editorial (UGC regulations force a needed reckoning, IE, January 29) has focused on the merits and flaws of specific provisions, a more fundamental question remains insufficiently examined. Why are measures aimed at correcting historical caste-based inequities consistently met with such severe backlash? Why, even more than 75 years after the adoption of the Constitution, do we still struggle to accept the need for such interventions?

The answer lies in how Indian society, backed by its socio-political institutions, continues to treat caste only as a relic of the past. We keep tripping over caste while insisting that it no longer exists. Caste atrocities are framed as isolated acts by deviant individuals rather than as manifestations of an unchallenged social order. The editorial, too, even as it acknowledges caste privilege, stops short of examining how our institutions, including the proposed UGC regulations, fail to meaningfully challenge it.

The stated objective of the draft regulations was to eradicate discrimination and promote equity and inclusion. Yet even under the section titled "Measures for the Promotion of Equity", the focus remained almost entirely on discrimination. Students are asked to submit undertakings not to discriminate and to report instances of discrimination, and institutions are directed to implement guidelines aimed at protecting socio-economically disadvantaged groups.

In keeping with longstanding policy approaches, the regulations framed caste as something affecting only disadvantaged students. Engagement expected from advantaged-caste students is limited to a commitment to "not discriminate", with little emphasis on sensitisation or pedagogical interventions that explain how privilege operates. Neutrality is assumed as the default condition, while discrimination is treated as an unfortunate but contained aberration.

Sociologist Satish Deshpande, in his writings on caste and castelessness, has

long highlighted this idea of neutrality. He shows how the privileges of advantaged castes operate invisibly, allowing their ways of being to pass off as "neutral". This invisibility is central to how caste reproduces itself in modern India.

Yet this insight has barely travelled into policy circles. Indian caste policy remains narrowly legalistic. It punishes atrocities, allocates reservations, and establishes grievance redressal mechanisms. Necessary as these measures are, caste privilege continues to go unnamed and caste pride remains socially acceptable. Even when challenged in progressive spaces, such critiques struggle to gain wider social acceptance in the absence of clear state backing.

Resistance to caste-based protections and to equity measures such as reservation are only a reflection of this continued institutional failure. Unless this is addressed, even symbolic shifts in power, however cautiously framed, will continue to be perceived as attacks on the "general category."

Useful lessons can be drawn from how gender has been addressed in policy. Alongside laws protecting women, the Indian state has invested extensively in public sensitisation around gender inequality, often in partnership with civil society, helping normalise conversations about male privilege and structural disadvantage. As a result, women's disadvantage today is broadly acknowledged, with resistance persisting largely at the margins.

No comparable effort has been made with caste. If the Indian state is serious about addressing caste, it must confront it as an institution in its entirety. This requires pedagogical reform that teaches caste, including caste privilege, as a lived social reality, from the level of basic schooling. We also need public campaigns that challenge the idea of dominant-caste norms being simply neutral culture. Unless this happens, resistance to reform will persist. The protests against the UGC regulations are not an aberration; they are the predictable outcome of a long-neglected responsibility. As B R Ambedkar warned in *Annihilation of Caste*, political reform without social reform can never lead to lasting change.

The writer is research scholar, Centre for Development Studies, Thiruvananthapuram

Odisha's case for pharma excellence

RAJAT KUMAR KAR

Odisha has reached a decisive point in its higher education and industrial development trajectory. While the State has steadily expanded its technical and professional education base, it remains without a nationally significant institution capable of anchoring advanced pharmaceutical education and research. The absence of a National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (NIPER) continues to limit Odisha's ability to fully realise its pharmaceutical potential.

The demand for a NIPER in Odisha is neither new nor opportunistic. Since 2012, academic stakeholders and professional bodies, including the Odisha Pharmaceutical Industries Forum (OPIF) and the Indian Pharmaceutical Graduates' Association (IPGA), have consistently pursued this objective. The proposal was formally placed before the Union Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers during Mr Srikant Jena's tenure as

Minister, and the case was articulated at the national level. Subsequently, former Chief Minister Naveen Patnaik conveyed the State's readiness to the Centre, assuring institutional support and the availability of suitable infrastructure.

Odisha's claim rests not merely on precedent but on proven capacity. The State has a long tradition of nurturing pharmaceutical education, with roots tracing back to the 17th century, and today hosts a substantial network of pharmacy institutions that collectively produce thousands of diploma, undergraduate and postgraduate graduates each year. Yet, the absence of a research-intensive national institution such as a NIPER has led to a sustained migration of talent to other States for advanced training and research. This continuing loss of human capital weakens local research ecosystems, limits meaningful industry academia collaboration, and constrains the growth of innovation driven pharmaceutical enterprises within Odisha. A NIPER in Odisha would

address these structural gaps. It would strengthen postgraduate and doctoral education, expand pharmaceutical and translational research, and facilitate closer linkages between academic institutions and industry. Importantly, it would also help correct regional imbalances in the distribution of national research institutions. Eastern India remains under-served in pharmaceutical education and innovation despite its growing industrial and human resource base, and Odisha is well positioned to serve as a regional anchor.

Recent developments have added momentum to this long standing demand. Union Education Minister Dharmendra Pradhan has urged the Central Government to consider the establishment of a NIPER in Odisha, citing the State's expanding educational ecosystem and strategic importance. The Centre's decision to provide budgetary support for three new NIPERs under the Biopharma SHAKTI initiative further strengthens the case for Odisha, particularly in the

context of the government's stated objective of strengthening eastern India's contribution to national growth.

At the State level, the current government has reiterated its intent to develop Odisha as a pharmaceutical and healthcare hub through policy support and research-led growth. The State Health Minister has informed the Legislative Assembly that suitable land has been proposed at the State capital for the establishment of a NIPER, signalling administrative preparedness.

The moment for deliberation has passed; what Odisha now requires is decisive action. With sustained advocacy, demonstrated preparedness, political consensus, and clear national relevance, the case for establishing a NIPER in Odisha is both compelling and complete. The Central Government must seize this opportunity to translate intent into implementation by approving and expediting the establishment of a NIPER in the state.

Doing so would not only honour long-standing commitments but also

unlock eastern India's pharmaceutical potential, retain and nurture local talent, and strengthen India's self-reliance in healthcare and life sciences. A NIPER in Odisha would stand as a powerful testament to inclusive development, where policy vision meets purposeful execution.

What remains is timely and decisive action at the Centre. With institutional readiness, political consensus, and national relevance firmly established, the case for a NIPER in Odisha is difficult to overlook. Approving and expediting the establishment of such an institution would not only honour long-standing commitments but also unlock eastern India's pharmaceutical potential, retain and nurture local talent, and strengthen India's self-reliance in healthcare and life sciences. A NIPER in Odisha would stand as a powerful testament to inclusive development, where policy vision meets purposeful execution.

(The writer is Principal, Dadhichi College of Pharmacy.)

Pioneering effort on promoting India-US academic and intellectual exchanges

The MJIAS has a number of other initiatives in mind

DR MOHAN KUMAR

Key Points

- » Asha Jadeja Motwani's latest venture is a ground-breaking one and promises to be a game changer in academic and think tank circles
- » Asha Jadeja Motwani deserves a lot of credit and praise for undertaking academic and scholarly initiatives, which will then create an intellectual ballast that will keep the US-India ties in good stead

A hugely pioneering initiative is underway to promote much needed academic and intellectual exchanges between the world's oldest democracy and its largest one. But first a bit of context. While India sends thousands of its citizens to the US for study and legal immigration, the traffic from the other side to India is not even a trickle. This leads to critical gaps in understanding each other's country, society, politics and economy. There are a variety of substantive reasons for this, but that is no reason to do nothing. Enter Ms Asha Jadeja Motwani (AJM), the venture capitalist and angel investor who has undertaken scores of philanthropic efforts.

Ms Asha Jadeja Motwani's latest venture is a ground-breaking one and promises to be a game changer in academic and think tank circles. With a generous donation she has established the Jadeja Motwani

Institute for American Studies (MJIAS) which will be housed in one of India's top and leading private Universities, namely, the O.P. Jindal Global University in Sonipat. The MJIAS has a fundamental mandate to promote academic, policy and intellectual exchanges between the US and India with a view to fostering greater understanding and knowledge between our two great countries. After all, India's relationship with the US has been described as the most consequential one for the twenty first century. If this is true, there is a critical need for scholarship on both sides. It is a matter of irony that while a number of

Indian Universities have centres of study devoted to Russia, China, ASEAN and Africa, very few of them have substantive centres devoted to the study of the US. This is where Asha Jadeja Motwani's initiative promises to be a paradigm shift.

As part of the vision of Asha Jadeja Motwani, the first batch of some 13 Motwani Fellows are already here at the O.P. Jindal Global University spending a full month experiencing, studying and absorbing India. For many of these scholars, the visit is the first to India. When they do return back to their homes in the US, they will automatically become India's brand Ambassadors

in the broadest sense of the term.

The MJIAS has a number of other initiatives in mind. One of them will be an online degree in MA in American Studies which will be launched in September this year. The courses relating to American Foreign Policy, Society and Polity, will be taken by Professors either trained in the US or those that have demonstrable expertise in American affairs. The online course will be open to all scholars around the world.

Ms Asha Jadeja Motwani's vision is to create a solid body of Indian expertise in the US and an equally sound body of American expertise in India. The importance of this exercise undertaken by Ms Asha Jadeja Motwani cannot be overstated. At a time when the world is facing unprecedented geopolitical uncertainty and economic headwinds, the role of leading democracies such as the US and India is indispensable to keep the world peaceful and prosperous. The initiatives proposed by Ms

Asha Jadeja Motwani are long term and meant to leave a lasting legacy.

Asha Jadeja Motwani has also invested her efforts in terms of resources at other leading Universities in the US to create Motwani Scholars. As part of this effort, the O.P. Jindal Global University will receive three Motwani Scholars from University of California in San Diego from February to May this year.

They will again undergo an India Immersion Course at the University, benefiting from interactions with scholars and think tanks in India. In sum, Ms Asha Jadeja Motwani deserves a lot of credit and praise for undertaking academic and scholarly initiatives, which will then create an intellectual ballast that will keep the US-India ties in good stead.

Ambassador Dr Mohan Kumar is the Director General of the Motwani Jadeja Institute for American Studies and the Dean/ Professor at O.P. Jindal Global University. He is also the former Indian Ambassador to France and Bahrain

Injecting caste into the campus

MIHIR BHOLEY

Before diving into the raging UGC controversy gripping the nation, I would like to share a personal note as a teacher—one that I often repeat to my students. Discrimination usually stems from envy or prejudice. People hate seeing others outshine them. But here's the exception: a parent beams with pride when their child surges ahead, and a teacher feels the same glow watching his students excel. In that "defeat," they spot their own victory, forged through their nurturing role.

Their shadow lingers in those triumphs. I know countless teachers like me who've shaped students like their own kids, regardless of caste or community share this pride. In a bustling classroom or years as a research mentor, students become just that: students. No caste label. They bond with you over your knowledge and dedication, not your caste background.

Contrast this with the so-called campus discrimination horror stories. They are still not as rampant as made out to be nor do they justify the UGC's one-sided, risky "equity promotion" law - a powder keg for misuse. UGC seems to have bulldozed this without campus-wide consultations-ignoring teachers, students, VCs, and stakeholders who could have flagged the lop sides early on. No town halls, no feedback loops, just top-down diktat has made it controversial. On campus students make friends and rivals, they spat and yet collaborate with each other

often without caste considerations. That is how healthy societies form.

Now imagine the scenario post-UGC regulations. A fresh 12th-pass kid, buzzing with excitement of learning new subjects and skills, ready to experiment and fail, finds "Equal Opportunity Centers" and "Equity Squads" more prevalent than innovation centres or "Equity Committees" more visible than cultural clubs or sports committees. Can his personality bloom in the shadow of fear? Will he vibe freely with peers, his faculty, or campus folk? Amid prowling Equity Squads and 24x7 Hotlines, can he hone questioning, creative and critical thinking - the skills to uplift himself and society? The UGC guides and boosts higher education, free thought, and research, backed by India's top academics. How can one expect it to make regulations that breed fear, stifle teaching and dissuade scholarship? Do we want our campuses to turn into police states? Seems UGC has rushed this without giving sufficient thought.

Sadly, post-independence, our higher education fell prey to left-wing class-war narratives. Literature, history, politics, sociology - all painted Indian society as a vast canvas of oppressors and oppressed, erasing all models of harmony between people of different castes and communities. Theories like *Manuvad*, Brahminism, patriarchy and feminism first exploded on elite campuses. Then they became widespread. Campuses echoed with offensive and hateful slogans like "Tilak-Taraazu aur Talwar", branding everybody in the general category as quintessential oppressors who

deserve to be booted out. Leftist ideologues hailed it as progressive free speech—a must for breaking imaginary upper caste tyranny. This divisive poison seems to have triumphed again: UGC's regulation endorses the same left binary, pitting castes against each other. Once it comes into action it will empower grievance-mongers, fracture student unions, and revive day-to-day conflicts under the banner of justice. Will our institutions be able to handle it?

On the contrary, to fulfil the dream of 'Viksit Bharat' our campuses needed to go "caste-neutral". They should be empowered to shield all against bias, hate-mongering caste politics. This demands ironclad zones where nobody, with zero exceptions, is discriminated against on caste or community lines. An inclusive and harmonious academic environment should be allowed to flourish. That's vital for free thinking and quality research. Sadly, UGC's regulation presumes general-category students and teachers guilty of casteism and discrimination - echoing the oppressor-oppressed tale leftists peddled for decades. Will this weaken casteism or augment it further? Everyone, prejudices aside, must ponder seriously.

The UGC's 2026 Equity Regulation boasts of wiping out caste bias—but it's a Trojan horse inviting chaos. Prime peril: rampant misuse via false accusations. General-category students and faculty now live in dread of baseless complaints from rivals over grades, project credits, or personal grudges, twisted into "caste discrimination".



Petty academic beefs could explode into career-wrecking probes, with accusers facing zero blowback. Besides, mandatory equity committees and EOCs will swamp small colleges in paperwork, pulling focus from classrooms to endless investigations. Quality teaching is likely to be buried under complaint piles. Harsh penalties will demotivate faculty and students. UGC grant blackouts or derecognition could bankrupt modest institutes, widening the urban-rural education gap. Chilling surveillance will stifle free speech. 24x7 monitoring and reports will breed self-censorship. Consequently, professors will dodge tough debates while students will fear their question may be interpreted as offensive. Eventually, innovation will die; echo chambers will thrive. Reverse discrimination will brew on campuses.

Worse, this regulation flouts Article 14's right to equality before law. General-category professors, students, and staff get zero safeguards against witch-hunts—no presumption of

innocence, no burden of proof on accusers. Motivated, malicious complaints are likely to swell as there is no deterrence of penalty or punitive action for motivated and false accusations. It's a one-way street: the "oppressed" wield unchecked power, while others cower defenseless.

Eventually courts will have to strike down this lopsided farce. Unfortunately, these one-sided inclusivity traps will breed fresh inequalities. But all is not lost. The ball is now in the government's court. It's a government which is empathetic towards all sections of society as it follows the goal of "Sabka Saath, Sabka Vikas." One is confident it will listen to the legitimate concerns and help every student and campus bloom its best. It will not allow the cherished 'Viksit Bharat' dream of the nation to derail due to such manageable glitches.

(The writer is a former Principal Faculty of National Institute of Design and an acclaimed author.)

The critique of the UGC's equity rules is untenable

Hollow basis

SUKHADEO THORAT

With an intention to improve the UGC Regulations 2012, framed 11 years before by the UPA II government, the University Grants Commission brought an improved vision, the Promotion of Equity in Higher Education Institutions Regulations, in 2026. However, immediately, a section of general students expressed opposition to some provisions of the new stipulations. Responding favourably to the opponents' arguments, the Supreme Court has now kept the 2016 Regulation in abeyance and ordered its review, for it believes that the provisions are vague and are capable of being misused.

The petitioners mainly expressed opposition to the separate mention of "discrimination only on the basis of caste or tribe against the members of the scheduled castes, scheduled tribes, and other backward classes". The petitioners argued that there was no need to mention caste discrimination as it would be covered under the general definition of 'discrimination', "which includes all forms of discriminatory treatment, including caste". They also argued that a separate mention of caste may result in dividing society, adding that ragging should have been made part of the Regulations. The judges agreed with these points and supported the review by a committee of eminent people.

After careful consideration, it appears that the opposition to the separate recognition of caste discrimination faced by SC/ST/OBC students is not guided by a proper understanding of the theoretical considerations underlying the concept of discrimination related to social identities like caste, ethnicity, race, colour and religion. A separate mention of caste discrimination is necessary in addition to its inclusion in the general definition of discrimination.

A discussion on the concept of discrimination will bring clarity to the issue. In theories, the term, discrimination, pertains to a social group as a whole based on an ascribed identity. Discrimination thus relates to social groups such as caste, tribe, race, colour or gender and not to an individual. It refers to group norms that define the behaviour of one group towards others that are discriminatory in nature. This is a common feature, which applies to all social categories. At the same time, discrimination may



Wrong judgment

differ among social groups with respect to the source and the nature of discrimination. If there is variation in the treatment of untouchables, Adivasis, women, religious, racial or colour groups, they will have to be treated differently. It will be a gross mistake not to recognise the differences in the nature of discrimination embedded in them.

What is the nature of caste discrimination faced by Shudras and untouchables? Nobody brings as much clarity on this as does B.R. Ambedkar in the books, *Who Were the Shudras?* and *The Untouchables: Who Were They and Why They Became Untouchables*. The caste system is a social organisation of Hindus whose governing principle is inequality. But it is not governed by a common notion of bipolar inequality as between low and high caste, male and female, or Black and White. Instead, it is governed by the principle of graded inequality. Everybody suffers from caste discrimination but some castes suffer more than others. In this structure of graded inequality, the discrimination among castes increases in terms of denial of social, economic and religious rights as we climb down the caste pyramid. The untouchables, located at the bottom of the caste hierarchy, suffer the most. But unlike other castes, they suffer the additional stigma of impurity and pollution. Their touch, approach, and sight cause pollution to the castes above them. They are thus subjected to physical and social isolation, antagonism, contempt, and hatred, which deny them personhood and dignity. The Supreme Court treated the crime against them as unique.

There is thus a degree of difference in the discrimination faced by a Shudra and an untouchable. The

Shudra (OBC) suffers from denial of social, religious and economic rights. But the untouchable suffers doubly, from the denial of equal rights as well as the stigma of impurity. There are similar differences among other social categories as well. For instance, Adivasis and Dalits were part in the same category in the Protection of Civil Rights Act 1936 and the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989, but the sources and the nature of their discriminations differ. The Adivasi does not suffer from untouchability; his/her discrimination is associated with ethnicity, which leads to physical and social isolation. Unlike the untouchable, the Adivasi's social and physical isolation and residential segregation are not related to Hindu religion but to custom and tradition. Similarly, the discrimination associated with race and colour in India is not based on religion; its origin lies in ideology on account of which some races and people of colour are treated as inferior. The discrimination of women and Shudra/untouchables has common roots in Brahmanical religion insofar as both suffer from denial of rights to property, education and social status. But unlike untouchables, women do not face untouchability. Similarly, the discrimination faced by Muslims, Christians, Buddhists or Sikhs is not the same as that of caste and untouchability.

These insights gleaned from theories and empirical studies imply two things. Dalits, Shudras, Adivasis, women as well as some racial, ethnic and religious groups face discrimination and should be a part of the general definition of discrimination. But, at the same time, the sources and the nature of their

discriminations vary across groups. These need to be listed separately. This will help address group-specific discriminations such as the caste-based discrimination of the Shudra, the social and physical discrimination against the untouchable, the diverse isolations of the Adivasi, the racial discrimination of students from the Northeast and so on. This implies that the argument by the petitioner that caste discrimination of SC/ST/OBC should not be mentioned separately is not sustainable.

The differential treatment of low-income and junior students cannot be confused with the group-based discrimination of SCs/STs/OBCs/women/religious minorities. Therefore, the suggestion that students facing ragging or the differential treatment meted out to low-income groups should be included under the category of group-based discrimination is untenable. These are instances of individual-based differentiation that can be treated under the general grievances mechanism. The grievances of high-caste individuals can be treated under normal grievance redressal mechanisms as well.

Ear-marking jobs for economically weaker sections is necessary but considering these under reservation based on group identity by the Supreme Court was not justifiable. The new suggestion to include the harassment of economically poor Dalits by their better-off counterparts is also an idea without merit.

The Supreme Court has decided to set up a committee of eminent people to look into the matter. It would be wise to include some academicians in that body to understand the issue of discrimination from an academic angle to institute legal safeguards.

A library that rose from the ashes

PRIYANKA SINGH

SOME places announce their importance; others reveal it slowly, like a story that refuses to hurry. The Lal Bahadur Shastri National Academy of Administration (LBSNAA), Mussoorie, belongs to the latter category. Its aura hints at the weight of governance, but deeper lessons unfold quietly, away from lecture halls and parade grounds.

In mid-1984, a devastating fire caused by a short circuit destroyed a block of the academy, including the library. More than one lakh books were lost. The library was the intellectual spine of the academy, serving probationary officers who were to administer districts and ministries across the country. After the blaze, it existed in fragments — spread across three locations, one section opposite the Director's residence.

In March 1986, a young man, Prem Singh, was deputed as the librarian and given the formidable task of rebuilding the library. For him, shifting from Delhi University to LBSNAA was like stepping out of an ocean into a well. Initially, he thought of not staying back. Then an intervention came — not as an order, but as an invitation from then Deputy Director, S Parthasarathy, who asked him to stay for two days as the academy's guest. "If you still wish to leave, no one will stop you," he said gently. The man returned to the library that evening and stood there, listening to the place. Those two days were indeed decisive.

The days that followed were busy, often exhausting. Yet, something was happening silently long after the academy's office hours. One such late evening, then Director, RN Chopra, noticed something unusual. The library door was left open, an anomaly in a place governed by timetables. Curious, he knocked. Inside was the librarian, alone — sleeves rolled up, a dusting cloth in hand. On paper, his role was clear; in practice, the job defied neat definition. There were acquisition plans to be drawn up, correspondence to be revived, catalogues to be reconstructed, systems to be imagined anew. When told that staff shortage and fixed timelines left little choice, the Director remarked, "You are employed as a librarian, not as a cleaner," and left.

The words did not interrupt the rhythm of work. Shelves were wiped clean, corners cleared, surviving books sorted and restored to order. There was a self-imposed urgency to make the library usable and worthy for the probationers. In time, the same Director entrusted Prem Singh with additional responsibilities, a quiet acknowledgment of his devotion to his job.

The library, which I had seen in drafts and architectural sketches, is now housed in a new building. When I think of LBSNAA today, I remember that unlocked library door in the evenings. Inside, knowledge was rebuilt patiently, shelf by shelf, without ceremony. The library rose from the ashes like a phoenix — through hands willing to do unseen work and the patronage of bibliophiles like S Parthasarathy and BN Yugandhar (the latter succeeded Chopra as Director).

Perhaps that is how institutions truly endure — not through grand gestures alone, but also with quiet persistence.

जीवन का अंतिम लक्ष्य नहीं हैं परीक्षाएं

भारत में छात्रों के परीक्षा तनाव को कम करने और सीखने की प्रक्रिया को आनंदमय बनाने के लिए शुरू हुई 'परीक्षा पे चर्चा' पहल आज एक बड़ी जन-भागीदारी बन चुकी है। 2018 में प्रधानमंत्री नरेन्द्र मोदी और छात्रों के बीच हुई एक बातचीत से आरंभ हुई यह पहल अब करोड़ों छात्रों, अभिभावकों और शिक्षकों का मार्ग प्रशस्त कर रही है। 2025 में इस कार्यक्रम ने गिनीज वर्ल्ड रिकार्ड बनाया, जब एक ही महीने में 3.53 करोड़ से अधिक लोगों ने इसमें भागीदारी के लिए पंजीकरण किया। इसकी कुल पहुंच 21 करोड़ से अधिक दर्शकों तक रही, जिसमें विदेश स्थित भारतीय स्कूल भी शामिल थे। यह कार्यक्रम छात्रों को यह संदेश देता है कि परीक्षाएं जीवन का अंतिम लक्ष्य नहीं हैं, बल्कि सीखने की यात्रा का सिर्फ एक प्रमुख चरण हैं। प्रधानमंत्री बार-बार इस पर जोर देते हैं कि परीक्षा केवल किसी विशेष क्षण में आपकी तैयारी को मापती हैं, जबकि सीखना लगातार और आनंददायक होता है। राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति-2020 में 'आजीवन सीखने' की अवधारणा पर गहराई से जोर दिया गया है। इस कार्यक्रम का एक प्रमुख उद्देश्य यह है कि अंकों का प्रतिशत बच्चे के संपूर्ण व्यक्तित्व को परिभाषित नहीं कर सकता। बच्चों को पाठ्यपुस्तकों से आगे बढ़कर अलग-अलग कौशल सीखने, नई गतिविधियों को आजमाने और चुनौतियों को डर के बजाय अवसर के रूप में देखने के लिए प्रेरित किया जाना है।

इस पहल में मानसिक स्वास्थ्य को विशेष महत्व दिया गया है। विशेषज्ञ छात्रों को तनाव कम करने के आसान तरीकों से परिचित करा रहे हैं, जिनमें 5-4-3-2-1 ग्राउंडिंग तकनीक, पर्याप्त नींद, पानी, व्यायाम और ध्यान जैसी स्वस्थ दिनचर्या शामिल हैं। 5-4-3-2-1 इंद्रिय-आधारित ध्यान अभ्यास है, जिसे पांचों इंद्रियों का उपयोग करके विचारों की दौड़ को शांत करने और अंततः उन्हें एक इंद्रिय तक सीमित करने के लिए डिज़ाइन किया गया है। ऐसा करने से आपका ध्यान चिंता पैदा करने वाले विचारों से हटकर वर्तमान क्षण पर केंद्रित होता है। इस तकनीक को 18वीं शताब्दी में बेट्टी एलिस



संजय कुमार

परीक्षा पे चर्चा पहल का वही संदेश है कि परीक्षाएं बोझ नहीं, बल्कि सीखने, बढ़ने एवं खुद को समझने का अवसर हैं



परीक्षाओं को लेकर दृष्टिकोण बदलना आवश्यक है। एडवोकेट एरिकसन ने विकसित किया था। खेल जगत की कई हस्तियाँ ने अपने अनुभव साझा करते हुए बताया था कि खेल पढ़ाई में बाधा नहीं, बल्कि तनाव कम करने और ध्यान केंद्रित रखने में मदद करते हैं।

डिजिटल मीडिया के बढ़ते प्रचलन से आशंका व्यक्त की जा रही है कि उससे संज्ञान का ह्रास हो रहा है तथा मानसिक स्वास्थ्य कुप्रभावित हो रहा है। विश्व में 5.66 अरब डिजिटल मीडिया प्रोफाइल हैं। लोग प्रतिदिन डिजिटल मीडिया प्लेटफॉर्म पर सामग्री देखने में 15 अरब घंटे व्यतीत करते हैं, जो मानव जीवन के 17 लाख वर्षों से अधिक के बराबर है। अत्यधिक उपयोग से 'डिजिटल डिमेंशिया' में बढ़ोतरी हो रही है, जिसके कारण मस्तिष्क के उन क्षेत्रों में ग्रे मैटर की कमी हो जाती है, जो भावनात्मक विनियमन और आवेग नियंत्रण के लिए जिम्मेदार होते हैं। हम डिजिटल मीडिया को नकारने की स्थिति में नहीं हैं, इसलिए हमें इसके उपयोग के लिए स्वस्थ सीमाएं निर्धारित करनी चाहिए। तकनीकी विशेषज्ञों ने छात्रों को सलाह दी है कि वे मोबाइल और डिजिटल साधनों को नियंत्रित तरीके से

इस्तेमाल करें, ताकि तकनीक मददगार बने, बोझ नहीं। परीक्षा पे चर्चा कार्यक्रम अभिभावकों को भी महत्वपूर्ण संदेश देता है कि वे बच्चों का ध्यान केवल अंकों पर न लगाएं, बल्कि सीखने की प्रक्रिया पर केंद्रित रहें। बच्चों की तुलना दूसरों से न करें और अपने घर में ऐसा माहौल बनाएं जहां बच्चे खुलकर अपने डर, चुनौतियों और उम्मीदों साझा कर सकें। अभिभावकों का सहयोगी व्यवहार बच्चों की परीक्षा तैयारी में सबसे ज्यादा मदद करता है।

भारतीय शिक्षा दर्शन में ज्ञान की प्राप्ति को एक एकाकी प्रक्रिया नहीं, बल्कि एक साझा पथ माना गया है। परीक्षा पे चर्चा कार्यक्रम शिक्षकों से अपील करता है कि वे रटने की संस्कृति से आगे बढ़कर आलोचनात्मक सोच और रचनात्मकता को बढ़ावा दें। यह कार्यक्रम राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति के दृष्टिकोण के अनुरूप है, जिसमें छात्र-केंद्रित, तनाव-मुक्त और अनुभवात्मक शिक्षा पर जोर दिया गया है। मूल्यांकन प्रणाली में बदलाव की जरूरत है ताकि परीक्षाएं केवल यादशत नहीं, बल्कि समझ, अनुप्रयोग और विकास को माप सकें। डिजिटल प्लेटफॉर्म का उपयोग भी शिक्षा को अधिक सुलभ बनाने के लिए महत्वपूर्ण माना गया है। स्कूलों और जिला स्तर के शिक्षा अधिकारियों को इस पहल के सिद्धांतों को वास्तविकता में बदलने की भी जिम्मेदारी दी गई है। इसके लिए स्कूलों में खेलनस कमेटीयां बनाना, तनाव प्रबंधन और अध्ययन कौशल पर कार्यशालाएं आयोजित करना, अभिभावक-शिक्षक संवाद बढ़ाना और छात्रों की उपलब्धियों को केवल अंकों के बजाय विविध क्षेत्रों में पहचानना जरूरी बताया गया है। इसे सुनिश्चित करने के लिए प्रधानमंत्री द्वारा अभिलेखित एकजाम वारियर्स जैसे संसाधनों को हर छात्र तक पहुंचाना भी महत्वपूर्ण है।

अंततः परीक्षा पे चर्चा मात्र एक कार्यक्रम नहीं, बल्कि भारतीय शिक्षा व्यवस्था में सकारात्मक बदलाव की दिशा में महत्वपूर्ण कदम है। इसका संदेश स्पष्ट है—परीक्षाएं बोझ नहीं, बल्कि सीखने, बढ़ने और खुद को समझने का अवसर हैं।

(लेखक स्कूल शिक्षा एवं साक्षरता विभाग के सचिव हैं।)

response@jagran.com

Creating a conducive learning environment

As India seeks excellence and social harmony under the National Education Policy, it is time to re-examine whether these arrangements still serve the constitutional promise of equality, dignity, and national cohesion



JS
RAJPUT

The constitutional proviso of reservations for certain categories of people identified as SC/ST was initially meant for ten years only. Dr BR Ambedkar himself never wanted it to last long, as that would amount to the negation of the objectives which necessitated its implementation. It was a case of socially inherited inequalities that also led to economic and cultural deprivation. In other words, the inequalities created by the caste system and the related inhuman practice of untouchability were considered the main culprits. Both of these were rejected by the Constitution. Those outside this classification accepted the provisions of reservations, as the logic behind them was clear and convincing to one and all.

The Constitution was framed by men and women of unimpeachable integrity and total commitment to the nation. Most of them were part of the great freedom struggle and had earned the respect of the people through sheer sacrifice and suffering during alien rule. As the generations changed, adherence to human values was impacted globally. Advances in science and technology had created gadgets and implements that could reach every home and hearth that had the requisite resources. In India, the urge to accumulate more brought about a great attitudinal transformation among those who were in power and authority.

In a democratic setup, it was the politicians who were the privileged ones. They realised the 'benefits' that could accrue to an elected representative of the people, or better still, to being in a position of power. Expectedly, the approach and attraction to being in politics changed drastically. Exceptions apart, the prime consideration for being in public life, as articulated by Gandhiji - to serve the 'last man in the line' - was quietly replaced by 'politics for privilege and pelf', and for preparing inheritors from within the family. The Constitution was amended frequently; how power had changed the scenario was witnessed during the imposition of the Emergency, the 42nd Constitutional Amendment, and much more that followed.

Young persons in colleges and universities in the sixth decade of the 20th century were convinced that the caste system would disappear, as they thought the political persons around them were true to their words and were all committed to the upgradation of deprived people. After about three to four decades, the political generation had changed, so had the approach to democratic principles, and the people - the electors. Now politics, and the politician, were busy exploring the new avenues that had suddenly



**THE FACT
REMAINS THAT
CASTE
CONSIDERATIONS
AND SPECIFIC
PROVISIONS FOR
MINORITIES ARE
NOW CREATING
UNACCEPTABLE
SOCIO-CULTURAL
ENVIRONMENTS
AT VARIOUS
LEVELS OF
EDUCATIONAL
INSTITUTIONS,
WHICH IS NOT
CONDUCTIVE TO
GENERATING
SOCIAL
COHESION AND
RELIGIOUS
AMITY. WHAT
HAPPENED AFTER
THE UGC
REGULATIONS ON
EQUITY WERE
NOTIFIED ON
JANUARY 13, 2026,
AND BECAME
EFFECTIVE FROM
JANUARY 15, 2026
ONWARDS?**

The author is an educationist, a Padma Shri awardee, and works in religious amity and social cohesion

daily.pioneer

@jagmohansrajput

The Pioneer

appeared before them, and were indeed alluring and attractive. Being in the seat of power pays, and there are ways and means to ensure zero accountability. The integrity, sincerity, and honesty that were needed to make reservations for the SC/ST a success were shifted to the back burner. This is one project that has never been evaluated sincerely and scientifically. Now, these are not the only categories for which constitutional provision was made. There are many more. Gandhi was just forgotten, interestingly and most obviously by those who continue to claim to be the only inheritors of his legacy and values. The 'last man in the line' was lost in the glare and glamour that were blinding the political power scenario.

After four decades of the Constitution being in place, things were analysed at various levels. Dr Karan Singh wrote in 1993: "Our understanding was that castes would gradually erode, and that the whole point was to build a society where caste would no longer remain significant. But what do we find today? We find that attempts are being made to institutionalise caste, and not only four castes, but 3,000 castes. Is this what the Constitution envisaged? How does this tally with the whole question of equal democratic rights?" It would be interesting to recall how the environment that promised total liquidation of the caste system was transformed into one that assures its continuation, and consequently a horrifying fragmentation of Indian society.

To arrive at a clearer picture of the current socio-cultural scenario on a broader canvas, one must recall the provisions specifically made for minorities in the Constitution in Article 30 of the Constitution of India, which, in fact, did not synchronise with the text and spirit of Article 15. The apparent contradictions are noted by every alert and active citizen who is not restricted by ideological or political leanings. As a practising academic, my impression stands gradually confirmed that the provisions of Article 30, with all the noble intents behind them, have, in actual practice, done more damage to minorities than supporting them in their educational advancement. The provisions have been grossly misused. I have personally observed how teacher-training (minority) institutions refused to follow any

norms and obviously prepared teachers who were not trained adequately. There are numerous examples in other areas and sectors also. Article 30: Right of minorities to establish and administer educational institutions - (1) All minorities, whether based on religion or language, shall have the right to establish and administer educational institutions of their choice. (2) The State shall not, in granting aid to educational institutions, discriminate against any educational institutions on the ground that they are under the management of a minority, whether based on religion or language.

The problem is that no learned discussion or sincere deliberation is allowed on such issues that are projected as sensitive zones. The fact remains that caste considerations and specific provisions for minorities are now creating unacceptable socio-cultural environments at various levels of educational institutions, which is not conducive to generating social cohesion and religious amity. What happened after the UGC Regulations on Equity were notified on January 13, 2026, and became effective from January 15, 2026 onwards?

Having served in institutions of varied levels for over five decades, one could safely state that concerns of harassment or ill-treatment among students could best be handled by the head of the institution and the faculty. National guidelines are needed, but the autonomy of institutions must remain sacred. Creating a socially conducive campus environment is best supported by instilling a sense of responsibility among the stakeholders.

This is also high time to evolve ways and means on how to relate culturally evolved environments in schools and other institutions.

National-level deliberations must be organised, sponsored, and supported. Let the intelligentsia of the nation re-read the constitutional provisions that could help move towards culturally enthralling, socially cohesive, and religiously amicable campuses. Eminent people have been thinking along these lines, but it needs to be organised seriously. I quote, as an example, the words of the late Vasant Sathe, a freedom fighter, Supreme Court lawyer, Union Minister, and a highly respected public figure. He wrote in 1993 about Article 30 of the Constitution: "This article should be deleted because to recognise some sections as minorities based on religion is contrary to the provisions of equality specified in Article 15 and threatens to create perpetual discrimination for such sections on the basis of a factor like religion, which is irreversible."

The NEP 2020 expects to move towards excellence in education. The prime requisite is a learning environment bubbling with mutual respect and positive energy. Mere changes in a couple of words in notifications, without a well-considered socio-cultural analysis supported by dynamic constitutional provisions, may not really work any more. *PMJ*

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

Lessons in school safety



SHARAD S CHAUHAN

DGP AND MD, PUNJAB POLICE
HOUSING CORPORATION

numbers of schools within minutes. The impact on students is felt in lost instructional hours and disrupted board exams; for parents, it is acute anxiety and for authorities, it is a logistical nightmare to evacuate tens of thousands of children.

These incidents have brought two issues into focus: how threats were handled by the city administration, schools and parents and how communication among them shaped confusion and public anxiety. They underscore the need to shift from a reactive to a resilient posture, with protocols drafted and enforced at the district level rather than left to individual schools.

At least during sensitive periods, precautionary measures must begin well before any threat arrives, including decentralised anti-sabotage checks. International best practice supports routine pre-arrival visual sweeps of

classrooms, boundary areas, footpaths and parking zones to establish a baseline of normalcy, making genuine anomalies easier to detect.

In Chandigarh, delayed and opaque communication drew parents to school gates, disrupted traffic and obstructed emergency response vehicles. To mitigate this, research supports a 'strategic transparency' approach using a two-stage alert system. Stage one is an immediate internal alert to police within minutes of a threat. Stage two consists of regular, clear updates to parents during the "Golden Hour," the first 60 minutes, delivered through the school's official app, explaining that a non-specific digital threat is being managed under a controlled protocol.

In the Indian context, the challenge is not a lack of policing or protocol, but the social ecosystem in which these protocols operate. Schools and administrators function within a deeply ingrained instinct to react first and demonstrate visible action to "make everyone safe", even when the statistical probability of harm is extremely low. This instinct, shaped by collective psychology and public pressure, makes assess-and-react models difficult to sustain unless parents understand the logic behind them. Without parental alignment, administrators resort to immediate evacuation to avoid backlash, despite contrary evidence.

This is, thus, the right time to educate parents and treat them as informed stakeholders. Only with their trust can the system shift from reflexive reaction to an assessment-led response, allowing composure, rather than fear. T-79

THE bomb scares on January 28 that swept through Chandigarh schools did more than disrupt a school day; it exposed how fragile normalcy has become in the age of anonymous digital threats. Within minutes, Chandigarh's education hub was transformed into a security grid. No explosive device was found. But the absence of a bomb did not diminish the scale of what had occurred. A single anonymous email had succeeded in paralysing the administration, displacing thousands of children, and pushing parents, teachers and authorities into hours of panic.

What unfolded in Chandigarh reflects a wider national and global pattern. Globally, school threats have risen by about 44% over the past two years, yet over 99.8% of mass-emailed threats are hoaxes, creating a paradox in which every message must still be treated as real.

Official reports and media coverage suggest a sharp rise since early 2024, with a roughly 300% increase over the past. Law-enforcement reports note the rise of "cluster threats", where identical messages are sent to large

Only with parental trust
can the system shift
from reflexive reaction
to assessment-led
response in cases of
bomb scares in schools.

The SC scholarship woes

RAJMEET SINGH

THE Supreme Court recently flagged the delay in the disbursement of scholarship funds across the country, and how it affects the mental well-being of students. Based on the recommendations of the National Task Force constituted to address the mental health concerns and prevent suicides in higher education institutions, the apex court has directed all states and UTs to clear the pending dues.

In Punjab, nearly Rs 930 crore under the Post Matric Scholarship Scheme for Scheduled Caste students is still to be paid to students and educational institutions from 2017 to 2020, when nearly 5.5 lakh students were enrolled under the scholarship scheme.

ABOUT THE SCHEME

Financial aid is provided for studies from Class 11 up to the postgraduate level in recognised institutions.

The scholarship amount varies between Rs 20,000 and Rs 80,000 per annum (depending on the course). It is sent directly into the Aadhaar-seeded bank accounts of beneficiaries. The components include the non-refundable fee, including examination fee, to be paid to the institution and an academic maintenance allowance — Rs 4,000 to Rs 13,500 per annum for hostellers, and between Rs 2,500 and Rs 7,000 for day scholars, depending on the courses. Disability allowance is also given.

THE GAP YEARS

Until 2016-17, the scholarship scheme was fully funded by the Centre. The term of the scheme ended in 2017 as part of the 12th Five Year Plan and the Centre did not fund the scheme till it was renewed in 2021. The then Congress-led state government in Punjab, however, continued to accept students' applications.

The pending amount for 2017-18, 2018-19 and 2019-2020 adds up to nearly Rs 1,550 crore. As the funds were not released between 2017 and 2020, the institutions were not compensated and the period also witnessed a drastic fall in the enrolment of SC students. It touched an all-time low of 1.75 lakh students in 2020.

The scheme underwent a funding change from 2020-21, with the Centre-state contribution pegged at a 60:40

As apex court directs disbursal of dues, non-payment from 2017-20 in Punjab rankles

ratio (90:10 for Northeastern states). It has been running smoothly since. There's been a 35 per cent increase in enrolment in 2025-2026 in Punjab.

DISBURSAL OF FUNDS SCAM

The scheme was embroiled in controversy over the misuse of funds during the Capt Amarinder Singh-led Congress government. A departmental inquiry pointed out undue benefits to private institutions. In 2019, discrepancies amounting to Rs 55 crore were detected, of which Rs 16-crore excess payment was given to some colleges.

The matter regarding the non-disbursal of funds from 2017-2020 is being heard in the Punjab and Haryana High Court, with the state and the Centre asking each other to clear the dues. Last year, the court admonished the Punjab government for "trying to evade its responsibility" in disbursing scholarships.

Recently, Chief Minister Bhagwant Mann held an event in Jalandhar for distribution of post-matric scholarships worth Rs 271 crore among more than 2.7 lakh SC students. The event coincided with the Prime Minister's visit to Dera Ballan head Niranjan Dass. Wooing of the Dalit vote bank remains at the centre stage.

PLANS AHEAD AND CONCERNS

The Centre is considering raising the annual family income limit to avail the scheme for SC students from Rs 2.5 lakh to Rs 4.5 lakh. On April 1, 2013, it was enhanced from Rs 2 lakh per annum to Rs 2.5 lakh.

Manjeet Singh, patron of the Joint Action Committee of Unaided Colleges, Punjab, says the delay in release of the scholarship amount not only jeopardises the future of SC students, but also financially drains the institutions. "There are more dropouts. At times, institutions are reluctant to admit SC students as the reimbursement of the fee is delayed," he adds.

5/2/26

Why has AYUSH got a major push in Budget?

What are the proposals to make AYUSH more widely accessible? Which are some of the key institutes and autonomous bodies under the AYUSH sector? How has the free trade agreement with the European Union opened the door for AYUSH in European markets?

Vasudevan Mukunth

The story so far:

In February 1, Finance Minister Nirmala Sitharaman proposed a slew of resources for AYUSH in the 2026-27 Union Budget. A week earlier, India's new Free Trade Agreement (FTA) with the European Union opened the door for Indian doctors and products to enter the European market more easily.

What has AYUSH received in the Budget?

In the 2026-27 Budget, its total allocation reached ₹4,408 crore, up from ₹3,962 crore in 2025-26 and ₹2,122 crore in 2020-21. Ms. Sitharaman also announced plans to set up three new All-India Institutes of Ayurveda intended to be the gold standard for traditional medicine, similar to how AIIMS works for allopathic medicine. These institutes will treat patients, conduct high-level research, and teach. The Budget also pitched for funds to upgrade the WHO Global Traditional Medicine Centre in Jamnagar, with the aim of India leading the way in setting standards for how traditional medicine should be practised and documented worldwide. The budget for the National AYUSH Mission has been hiked by 66% to ₹1,300 crore, to modernise local AYUSH hospitals and dispensaries, to place AYUSH clinics inside existing modern hospitals, and to upgrade existing centres to focus on preventive health. The Budget also provides funds to upgrade AYUSH pharmacies and drug-testing laboratories. The government is also introducing a multilingual AI assistant named Bharat-VISTAAR, designed to give farmers who grow medicinal plants real-time advice on

The presence of heavy metals such as lead and mercury in certain AYUSH products is a major worry

growing herbs of better quality, current market prices, and certifying crops for export.

What does the India-EU FTA do for AYUSH?

In the past, Indian Ayurvedic doctors have had a hard time working in Europe because their degrees weren't recognised. But under the FTA, in EU countries that don't specifically regulate traditional medicine, Indian AYUSH practitioners can provide their services using qualifications obtained in India. The deal also gives Indian companies a legal guarantee to open wellness centres, Ayurvedic clinics, etc. across the bloc's 27 countries without them having to worry that the laws will suddenly change and shut them down. Third, India and the EU will work together to recognise (some of) each other's lab results and safety certifications. As a result, a particular Ayurvedic supplement tested in an Indian lab will likely be accepted by European customs. Finally, the FTA recognises India's Traditional Knowledge Digital Library, a database of formulations, thus preventing companies from wrongly claiming ownership of traditional Indian remedies.

What resources does AYUSH have?

India's AYUSH sector has a network of hospitals, research councils, and regulatory frameworks. In 2024-25, the government's support for the AYUSH Ministry was designed to integrate traditional medicine into the broader national healthcare landscape. The primary vehicle was the National AYUSH Mission and co-location the primary policy (that is, placing AYUSH facilities within existing primary and community health centres and district hospitals).

The sector has several 'Institutes of National Importance' and autonomous bodies, including an All-India Institute of Ayurveda in New Delhi and the National Institute of Homoeopathy in Kolkata. Other specialised institutions provide training and care in Siddha, Unani, Yoga, and naturopathy. The government also manages several research councils, such as the Central Council for Research in Ayurvedic Sciences. The National Commission for Indian System of Medicine and the National Commission for Homoeopathy have to ensure medical education remains affordable and reliable. The Pharmacopoeia Commission for Indian Medicine and Homoeopathy sets the official standards for all Indian AYUSH drugs. The National Medicinal Plants Board worked with 32 State boards to encourage the cultivation of high-quality herbs for both domestic use and export. The 'AYURGYAN' scheme promotes education while 'Ayurwashay Yojana' focuses on using traditional medicine to meet

community health goals.

Is AYUSH treatment scientific?

Critics led by the Indian Medical Association (IMA) have argued that traditional systems like Ayurveda often lack the rigorous, empirical evidence required to meet the thresholds of modern medicine. Allopathic drugs must clear randomised controlled trials that test their safety and efficacy. Many AYUSH treatments, however, are based on ancient texts and observational history, and either haven't been tested or their specifics remain unverifiable. The presence of heavy metals such as lead and mercury in certain AYUSH products has been a major concern. Health advisories from Australia, the U.S., and New Zealand have highlighted cases of lead poisoning linked to imported Ayurvedic products. Perhaps the most controversial aspect of AYUSH has been 'mixopathy', the state-sanctioned blurring of lines between traditional and modern medical practice. In 2020, the Central Council of Indian Medicine authorised postgraduate Ayurveda students to be trained in 58 surgical procedures, including general surgery. The IMA called this 'legalised quackery', arguing that surgery is a complex discipline requiring deep knowledge of anatomy, anaesthesia, and perioperative care that AYUSH curricula don't sufficiently cover. The controversy intensified in 2025 when the Andhra Pradesh government allowed Ayurveda exponents to perform these surgeries independently, leading to nationwide protests and legal challenges currently pending before the Supreme Court. Friction also persists over the practice of AYUSH doctors prescribing allopathic medicines like antibiotics or steroids. Some States have issued executive orders allowing this to plug the doctor shortage in rural areas but the medical community has warned that it could lead to irrational drug use and worsen antibiotic resistance.

What do the resources mean for AYUSH?

A smattering of independent estimates suggests that the AYUSH sector will be worth \$26.5 billion (₹2.3 lakh crore) in 2026, with startups and MSMEs accounting for 80%. The new plans are in line with the government's attempts to turn AYUSH from a domestic, welfare-adjacent public health programme to a regulated industry and source of economic growth. The proposed All-India Institutes are modelled on AIIMS and, together with plans to upgrade testing facilities and pharmacies, signal efforts to recast parts of traditional medicine as being scientific rather than based on lineage or experiences.

That said, while global markets may reward standardisation, they will also raise expectations of evidence and accountability at home. In this sense the government's AYUSH push may also subject the sector to a level of scrutiny and institutional discipline that India itself has only partially enforced thus far. *h | 13*



Massive boost: Plants and pulses used in Ayurveda displayed during the AYUSH Habba in Mangaluru on January 31. S.S. MANJUNATH

Beyond guides, tourism education needs reform

ALOK SHARMA AND
JEET DOGRA

India's tourism sector is entering a pivotal moment. The recently presented Union Budget 2026-27 lays out an ambitious vision - from enhanced connectivity and cultural circuit development to job creation and workforce skilling. Yet, amid these welcome policy signals, one foundational issue remains conspicuously under addressed: the urgent need to modernise tourism education and human capital development to align with the sector's rapid transformation.

The Budget underscored tourism, transport, and skills development as central to national growth, setting the tone for an accelerated push to strengthen India's travel ecosystem. Notable announcements included plans to train 10,000 tourist guides to enhance on-ground visitor experiences and deliver higher service standards at key destinations. It also outlined wide-ranging tourism skilling initiatives, touching upon hospitality education, heritage and nature-based experiences, and digital documentation of cultural sites through a national destination knowledge grid. These reflect a promising policy orientation towards workforce readiness and cultural preservation.

Despite this focus, the structural disconnect between academic outputs and industry demand persists. Tourism today is vastly more complex than traditional hospitality and travel services. It

now includes digital engagement, sustainability governance, crisis management, destination branding, experience design, and community-inclusive development. According to UN Tourism (2023), nearly 75 per cent of emerging tourism jobs will require hybrid competencies that blend technology, sustainability awareness, and strategic thinking - skills rarely cultivated by legacy curricula.

Economically, the potential is immense. The World Travel and Tourism Council's India Economic Impact Report 2024 estimates that tourism contributes over 7 per cent of India's GDP and supports more than 43 million jobs. Yet, employers across the industry report a persistent skills mismatch - a gap between what graduates are trained for and what the workplace demands. This tension undermines service quality, restricts productivity gains, and limits India's capacity to compete on the global tourism stage.

Budget 2026's initiatives hint at the right direction but remain insufficient without systematic educational reform. For example, while training 10,000 guides will improve visitor engagement, India's broader workforce - from destination managers to digital marketing experts - still lacks access to integrated, industry-aligned, and practice-oriented education pathways. High-speed rail corridors and expanded waterways will physically connect destinations, but it is human capability that will ultimately determine whether these linkages

translate into quality tourism experiences.

A core reform priority must be industry-academia integration. Leading tourism economies globally embed apprenticeship models, co-designed curricula, extended field immersion, and destination-linked learning labs into their higher education systems. These models ensure that students graduate with real-world competencies and are ready to contribute effectively from day one.

Institutions such as Indian Institute of Tourism and Travel Management (IITTM) are already aligned with this vision. Established under the Ministry of Tourism, IITTM serves as a national hub for tourism education, skills development, and applied research, with campuses across different regions. Strengthening its role, and replicating its best practices across state universities and national institutes, will be crucial for creating a continuum of quality tourism education that is both competitive and contextually relevant.

Sustainability also demands a central place in curricula. The OECD Tourism Trends and Policies Report 2023 highlights climate resilience, overtourism mitigation, and ecosystem stewardship as priority issues for global tourism. India's fragile Himalayan regions, congested spiritual circuits, and sensitive coastal ecosystems require tourism professionals trained in carrying-capacity assessment, responsible destination planning,



and community-centric engagement models. Without this expertise, tourism growth could come at the cost of environmental degradation and social discontent.

Digital transformation is another frontier. Technologies such as AI-based visitor analytics, smart destination platforms, immersive digital marketing, and heritage interpretation systems are rapidly shaping traveller decisions. The UN Tourism Digital Transformation Report 2022 emphasises that technological fluency is now essential for destination competitiveness. Incorporating digital tourism and data literacy into core curricula - rather than relegating them to optional electives - is imperative.

Effective reform will require coordinated governance. The Ministry of Tourism, higher education authorities, and the National Skill Development Corporation must collaborate to establish outcome-based education standards aligned with the National Skills Qualification Framework. This alignment can

elevate certification credibility, improve job market signalling, and ensure that graduate competencies match industry expectations.

India now stands at a strategic crossroads. Budget 2026's tourism measures are a positive starting point, but long-term success will depend on whether policy prioritises human capital with the same vigour as physical infrastructure. Roads, rail corridors, and seaplane connectivity can open up destinations - but it is skilled tourism professionals who will create memorable experiences, safeguard sustainability, and convert policy ambitions into lived reality.

Tourism education reform is not a peripheral policy add-on. It is strategic infrastructure. If India aspires to evolve from mass tourism to meaningful value creation globally, investing in intellectual capital must be at the heart of its growth agenda.

(The writers are, respectively, Director and Assistant Professor, Indian Institute of Tourism and Travel Management, an autonomous body under the Ministry of Tourism, Govt. of India.)

Schooling in Delhi in the Sixties

School's out

MUKUL KESAVAN



I had never thought about the nature of my life in school before I returned for the memorial service of the priest who had been its principal in the time I was there. Which is odd given that I spent the best part of twelve years of my life on its premises. Like my contemporaries, I took school for granted; it never occurred to me to ask myself if I liked being there; it was the air we breathed.

In Delhi in the Sixties, the unspoken compact between middle class parents and the schools that raised their children was that we would be socialised into English. In retrospect, this seems to have been their principal purpose and their only durable achievement. When I entered St. Xavier's kindergarten, I was an entirely Hindi-speaking child; inside two years, English had replaced Hindi as my first language in a particular way: the children's fiction that I had recently begun reading was exclusively in English. This had something to do with the school's library, which was overwhelmingly stocked with books in English but this was backed up by coercion. To be caught speaking Hindi during break meant a four-*anna* fine.

To be clear, this wasn't an unsanctioned imposition; our parents knew and approved of the school's concerted press to force us into English because the whole point of going to that *desi* institution, the English-medium school, was to get us swimming in English. This is still true of the schools that the pan-Indian middle class sends its children to today, but it was peculiarly true of Delhi in the Sixties. In college, I met contemporaries from Calcutta who read for pleasure in Bengali and wrote to their parents in their mother tongue. This wasn't true of my cohort in Delhi; in North India, for the most part, becoming fluent in English meant leaving Hindi behind for everything but transactions. Even before I could lay claim to a life of the mind, my imagination had been comprehensively Englished.

The other function that school served was that it trained us as apprentice-citizens. This is not to loftily suggest that it taught us civic duty; school accustomed us to the novel idea of public discipline, a discipline enforced not by people we knew like our families, but strangers backed up by opaque institutional authority and the acquiescence of our par-

ents. School was our training-wheels introduction to the State and its powers.

My school took its power to punish seriously. Teachers were allowed to hit us with rulers. The only teachers who slapped or cuffed us with their hands were physical training instructors. Performative beating was a prerogative of the priests who administered the school as principals or vice-principals. Students were formally sent to their offices with a note indicating their infraction which always led to the padre selecting a cane or ruler and hitting you either on the palm of your hand or on your bottom. In winter, the cold made the beating unbearable and accidents happened. In this way, we learnt that slackness, disobedience or haplessness had consequences.

Beatings began in kindergarten and continued till Class IX when they stopped. I was caned as a five year old by an old priest whose hands shook, then in Class II by a cigar-smoking vice-principal whose chosen method was hitting you with a stack of three rulers while you were bent over because they made a tremendous sound. In Class V by a tyrant who daily caned his way through a crocodile of children queued up at his door (you could hear the one who went into the vice-principal's room before you did yelp with pain) and so on.

This was the way of the world at the time. These men were no worse than their peers in other schools. Corporal punishment wasn't just ac-

cepted then, it was seen as a sanction against bad behaviour and an indispensable instrument of crowd control. But it drew out the beast in them and made them loathsome.

And they made us anxious. I'm certain that I'm not the only member of my cohort who wakes up early in the morning worrying about things not done and nameless sanctions in store. This doesn't make me more conscientious or productive, just as it didn't teach me to do my homework on time then, but it does make me approach the world cautiously. School periods, for example, made me punctual. I got to the airport hours in advance; basic time-keeping, for people like me who weren't successful in school, is an easy way of avoiding unnecessary trouble.

There were things my school did well. Violence was the monopoly of teachers and the school administration; there was no bullying. I was a small schoolboy and there wasn't a single occasion on which I was harassed by my peers or seniors. The school's library was a place of wonder, crammed with novels and magazines that we actually wanted to read. The Jesuit priest for whose funeral I returned to school after years to attend was a progressive pedagogue who opened the school to girls, encouraged it to conscientiously implement the economically weaker sections quota and, later in his career, helped establish the Open School system.

It was also a place of great priv-

ilege. It occupied the buildings and grounds of an expansive colonial hotel. Its new buildings were modernist landmarks in their time. It had seven sports fields, large and small, and courts for every kind of ball game. During the service, I wondered how different the school experience now was with the threat of corporal punishment removed.

I suspect that the schools that urban professionals send their children to are different now. They aren't, as they used to be, privileged boot camps that trained children for college and the world of work. For STEM subjects, that task is managed by coaching institutes that train students for all-India entrance tests. For those who have the money to sidestep these brutal competitive exams, there exist eye-wateringly-expensive air-conditioned schools that teach foreign curricula, prep their students for Western universities, and try to place them there or in expensive private universities.

The difference between my school then and these schools now is that my school catered to metropolitan middle class parents with Indian horizons and without disposable income. Contemporary elite schools are concierges for my cohort's richer children who can afford to buy their children places in a luxe and increasingly privatised world. As my brother and I sat in that hall watching the final rites performed for Father Thomas V. Kununkal, S.J., it felt like the end of something larger. *tel 2/12*

Now, ensure schools follow the SC order on sanitary pads & toilets

PUSHPA GOKHALE

By declaring menstrual health as a constitutional right guaranteed under Article 21 and directing all schools to mandatorily provide free, biodegradable sanitary napkins and gender-segregated, functional toilets, the Supreme Court has truly triggered a reform in the country's education system. A reform that promises to not only improve the health and well-being of adolescent school-going girls, but also put a stop to menstruation-related absenteeism and check the dropout rate.

In fact, the Supreme Court's landmark judgment (*Dr Jaya Thakur vs Government of India*), delivered on January 30, goes well beyond schools and paves the way for alleviating 'menstrual poverty' in the society and upholding the right of women to health, privacy and dignity. By bringing menstrual health under the ambit of Right to Life guaranteed under the Constitution, the order makes it obligatory on the part of employers to ensure availability of free sanitary pads and hygienically maintained toilets for women workers. It also makes it

mandatory for the government to enforce this constitutional right of women.

The apex court's verdict, warning both private and government schools of stringent consequences for non-compliance, also underscores once again what the courts have said many times in the past — that the duty of schools does not end with imparting education. It extends to ensuring the safety, security, dignity, privacy and well-being of students.

Given the importance of the order, parents' associations should become proactive and force schools — both private and government — to implement the directives fully. They should also haul up schools which do not comply with the order within three months for contempt or willful disobedience of the court's directions.

Non-adherence to the order would not only mean violation of the constitutional rights of the students, but also contravention of human rights.

This order in fact, complements several earlier orders of the Supreme Court on pupils' safety and well-being. While some of them have come in response to public interest litigations filed by individuals or

The apex court's directions will improve wellbeing of girls, check absenteeism and dropout rate

NGOs following a major tragedy, some have been taken up by the court suo motu.

In 1997, the Supreme Court took suo motu notice of a major school bus tragedy in Delhi caused by gross overloading and over-speeding, resulting in the death of 30 children. The guidelines issued in 1997 and 1999 by the apex court on safe transportation of children (*OMC Metro vs Union of India*) have now been incorporated under the Motor Vehicles Rules by all the states and UTs.

On April 12, 2008, the Supreme Court directed states and UTs to ensure that all schools comply strictly with the National Building Code of India, 2005, particularly Part IV on Fire and Life Safety, aimed at fire prevention, protection and safe evacuation. The court verdict was in response to a public interest litigation seeking fire safety norms in schools, following the dev-

astating Kumbakonam school fire in Tamil Nadu that killed 50 children.

"Educating a child requires more than a teacher and a blackboard, or a classroom and a book. The Right to Education requires that a child studies in a quality school, and a quality school certainly should pose no threat to a child's safety," the court observed in its judgment (*Arunachal Metro vs Union of India*).

On September 24, 2024, in response to a writ petition filed by the Bachpan Bachao Andolan, the Supreme Court directed all states and UTs to adopt the Guidelines on School Safety and Security, framed by the Department of Education, Government of India, in 2021 and ensure conformity by all schools. If strictly adhered to, these guidelines will prevent physical, mental and sexual abuse of children and ensure their complete safety and security while at school as well as during travel on school buses.

The guidelines also hold the school management fully accountable for lapses. The guidelines have their origin in the brutal murder of a seven-year-old student at Ryan International School in Gurugram in 2011 and the subsequent directions from

the Supreme Court to the Union government to formulate comprehensive guidelines on the responsibilities of schools vis-a-vis child safety. On July 25, 2025, the Supreme Court declared mental health as an integral component of Right to Life under Article 21 of the Constitution and issued detailed guidelines aimed at preventing suicides in educational institutions.

The guidelines must be implemented by all educational institutions, including coaching centres, the court said (*Shalokh Saha vs The State of Andhra Pradesh*).

These are all extremely important orders meant to protect students but given the track record of educational institutions in respect of compliance, parents need to be extremely vigilant. If there is no parental association in the school in which your child studies, make sure that there is one, by bringing together parents.

The school management has an obligation to not only impart quality education, but also ensure a healthy, secure and safe environment for students. Their failure to do so has led to many tragedies. Let us make every effort to prevent these.

— The writer is a non-resident affairs expert

André Beteille's enduring legacy

He broke away from viewing caste as the sole framework, highlighting instead new alignments shaped by economic and political forces

GOPA SABHARWAL

My association with Professor André Beteille, the renowned scholar who passed away on February 3, began in 1985 as a student-teacher relationship. It evolved into that of a researcher and guide as he supervised both my MPhil and PhD. Later, we became colleagues when I began teaching, and over the decades, our relationship became familial.

Of the many things I recall about Professor Beteille, foremost was his conviction that teaching was as important to an academic as research and writing. As a young academic eager to publish, I often complained that college work left me no time to write. Beteille would list the virtues of teaching as a practice that brought discipline into a life that could easily be scattered in many directions. Lecturing also sharpened one's ability to articulate ideas, think on one's feet, and connect with one's audience — skills that are critical in disseminating ideas through writing as well.

Teaching was central to Beteille's craft as a sociologist. He always taught the first lecture of the day, arrived at work before any of his colleagues, and could be seen reviewing his notes each morning, for he believed in preparing for class. He never cut back on teaching workload, continuing to teach one course every semester throughout his tenure at the department. This engagement fed into another of Beteille's daily routines — writing 250 to 500 words in longhand every day. Until he moved to a laptop in 2001, every first draft he wrote was in longhand. It allowed him time to think and correct as he wrote, before he would key it in on his trusted typewriter. He showed through praxis that his research and publishing output were higher than that of people who worked either as full-time writers or were affiliated with institutions with no teaching duties.

All of this resulted in a rich and enlightened scholarship that spanned many aspects of Indian society. His first book *Caste, Class and Power* brought to the analysis of caste, the dynamics of class, moving beyond kinship and ritual to broader concerns. Beteille broke away from

viewing caste as the sole framework, instead highlighting new alignments shaped by economic and political forces. He drew on Max Weber's ideas in this work, and included Weber in the sociology syllabus.

Going ahead, he published collections of essays in single volumes. His books covered agrarian social structure, peasant studies, inequality and also provisions for its removal. Lest it seem that Beteille was only looking within, he also wrote books that were more global in their outlook, of which *Society and Politics in India* (1991) is an example. He also wrote on the craft of sociology, its methods of analysis, and on public institutions. As someone who has lived my life in the university, his book *Universities at the Crossroads* (2010) is a masterpiece to be read and reread.

Even after his retirement, Beteille continued his association with universities and institutions, serving as chairman of ICSSR and as chancellor of NEHU. Being appointed National Professor of Sociology (2007) was clearly an honour that Beteille would have ranked at par with being elected a Fellow of the British Academy (1992). He continued writing, with his memoirs appearing in September 2012, the year he turned 78.

Retirement gave Beteille time for quieter pursuits. Eschewing Delhi city parties, he preferred one-on-one meetings. The setting for our meetings moved from his room at the Delhi School of Economics to his home. New rituals like watching him make filter coffee with meticulous care before we sat down for a chat became important. I also became one of his regular walking partners. In Lodhi Gardens, we would often find a bench to observe people while discussing everything from ideas to food to sport. His casual remarks often carried deep insight, prompting me to note them down later. Over time, our walks moved to local parks, but the ritual of going to Sagar in Defence Colony to share an idli and a raddi over a cup of coffee — a nod to his fieldwork days in Tamil Nadu — continued. The rule on all eating out remained unchanged from my PhD days — we alternated paying or split the bill. Correctness and decency were codes the professor never stirred from.

Thank you, Professor Beteille, for your steadfast presence in my life and for all that I learnt from you. You will be deeply missed.

(The writer is Professor of Sociology, Lady Sri Ram College for Women, University of Delhi, New Delhi)

gopal

Kannada-medium schools at the margins

SHARON SUNNY

The debate on Kannada-medium schools in border areas resurfaces with predictable regularity, often stated in the language of cultural loss, identity, and linguistic pride. The reiteration is a familiar and important one: education in the mother tongue is foundational. For the mother tongue to be accessible and available in school education, sustained efforts are needed to preserve and promote Kannada, especially in regions where Kannada-speaking communities live at the margins of state boundaries. Few would disagree with this in principle. Yet if the discussion stops at rhetoric alone, it risks becoming an empty gesture—one that romanticises language while ignoring the lived realities of schooling.

There is strong pedagogic and cognitive consensus that children learn best in their first language, particularly in the early years. Mother-tongue education enables conceptual clarity, emotional security, and a sense of belonging. In border regions, whether in Maharashtra, Telangana, Tamil Nadu, or Kerala, Kannada-medium schools also function as cultural anchors, maintaining linguistic continuity across generations. Historically, these concerns echo earlier language movements in Karnataka, most notably the Gokak agitation of the 1980s, as well as post-independence debates following the linguistic reorganisation of states. At their core, these movements sought dignity for language and its speakers.

Yet history also shows us that language policy cannot survive on sentiment alone. What does it mean, in practice, to teach and learn in the mother tongue when schools function in dilapidated buildings with poor infrastructure, limited teaching materials, and chronic teacher shortages? In many Kannada-medium schools in border areas, classrooms are overcrowded or under-resourced, teacher vacancies remain unfilled, and multigrade teaching is the norm rather than the exception. Unsurprisingly, enrolment declines year after year—not because families reject Kannada, but because they fear what such schooling might cost their children. This fear is compounded by the perception of limited career opportunities. Parents, making pragmatic decisions under economic pressure, increasingly choose English-medium schools or schools in the regional majority language of the host state. English, in particular, is viewed as a gateway to higher education, employment, and mobility. When Kannada-medium schools are unable to offer

comparable academic support or visible pathways beyond schooling, linguistic loyalty alone cannot hold families back. To frame such choices as cultural betrayal is to misunderstand the material conditions shaping them.

Teachers, however, often experience this shift not merely as a demographic change but as a moral and intellectual loss. In interviews conducted with schoolteachers, many of them deeply invested in language education—there is a recurring sense that language preservation is an act of responsibility, even guardianship. “The younger lot want this,” one senior teacher observed. “They don’t want to learn their mother tongues. They want to learn English.” What we will lose, she said, is something precious that we have guarded over time. She used the Kannada word *jopama*—to protect, to keep safe—to describe that loss, a word whose meaning neither English nor AI can fully capture.

For these teachers, the concern is cognition. “If we don’t have enough young people who can think originally and critically,” she added, “we’ll end up with synthetic intelligence that will eventually do all the thinking for us.” The warning is striking, especially in an era where AI-generated language is increasingly fluent but often unmoored from lived cultural meaning. Mother-tongue education, in this view, is not simply about preservation of the past; it is about sustaining the conditions for original thought, ethical judgment, and intellectual depth.

At the same time, teachers in border areas face their own structural challenges. Recruitment exams and eligibility tests are often conducted only in the host state’s dominant language, placing Kannada-medium teachers at a disadvantage and worsening shortages. Asking teachers to protect a language without addressing these systemic barriers amounts to placing cultural responsibility on individuals while withholding institutional support.

The constitutional framework is clear: linguistic minorities have the right to conserve their language and to receive education in the mother tongue. But constitutional ideals must be translated into sustained funding, improved infrastructure, teacher support, and serious interstate cooperation. If Kannada-medium schools in border regions are to survive, they must be sites of educational confidence rather than quiet attrition. The question is not whether Kannada deserves preservation—it unquestionably does—but whether we are willing to do the harder work that preservation demands.

(The writer is an assistant professor at Azim Premji University)

09/2/26



अग्रणी कान

डॉ. अनंद किशोर
संपादक, दैनिक जागरण

कहा जा रहा था कि मोबाइल व इंटरनेट के युग में युवा पीढ़ी छपी हुई पुस्तकों से दूर जा रही है, लेकिन हाल ही में देश में कई जगह आयोजित हुए साहित्योत्सवों व पुस्तक मेलों में युवाओं की भागीदारी और पुस्तकों से उनका अनुराग उल्लेखनीय रूप में दिखाई दिया। नई पीढ़ी का पुस्तकों से यह जुड़ाव पढ़न-पाठन की संस्कृति के प्रति अप्रतिम करता है...



युवाओं को लुभा रही है पुस्तकें

ज नवरो-परासी में देश के विभिन्न हिस्सों में कई तरह के साहित्यिक आयोजन हुए। तीन परवर्ती को कोलकाता पुस्तक मेला खेला हुआ है। जनवरी में दिल्ली में विश्व पुस्तक मेला, छत्तीसगढ़ की राजधानी में राजपुर साहित्य उत्सव, चेन्नई में पुस्तक मेला और उत्तर प्रदेश के गुरादाबाद में साहित्य उत्सव आयोजित हुए। साहित्यिक आयोजन इस कारण कि यहाँ लेखकों से संवाद के अलावा पुस्तकों की बिक्री भी हुई।

दिल्ली में आयोजित विश्व पुस्तक मेले में पुस्तकों की बिक्री के अलावा फेसिटकल अथवा फेसिटकल का भी आयोजन हुआ। जनवरी के अन्तर्गत, देश के विभिन्न हिस्सों में आयोजित होने वाले साहित्योत्सवों में एक ही मंच पर अलग-अलग संवाद सत्र किए। विश्व पुस्तक मेले में तुरी निरंकर फेसिटकल, नारायण मिश्र फेसिटकल, कोलकाता निरंकर फेसिटकल, भारत निरंकर फेसिटकल और वे अपने आयोजन किए। राजपुर साहित्य उत्सव और गुरादाबाद में पुस्तक मेला भी लगा था। अलग-अलग प्रकाशकों के स्टाल थे। चेन्नई पुस्तक मेले में भी लेखकों से संवाद का कार्यक्रम था। इन सब

आयोजनों का स्वरूप अलग था, लेकिन एक बात इनमें समान रूप से लक्षित की गई कि पुस्तकों को लेकर युवाओं में जबरदस्त आकर्षण देखने को मिला। साहित्य के सत्रों में भी युवाओं की भागीदारी रही। इन आयोजनों ने उस माहौल का निर्माण किया कि आज के युवा रचना देखने में व्यस्त हैं और पढ़न-पाठन में उनकी रुचि घट रही है। हमारे देश में ही नहीं, बल्कि अमेरिका व यूरोप में भी पुस्तकों की बिक्री बढ़ी है।

विश्व पुस्तक मेला, दिल्ली के आयोजकों के मुताबिक इस बार मेले में बिकले सभी की तुलना में 20 प्रतिशत अधिक पुस्तक प्रेमी पहुंचे। पहले ही दिन से यहां प्रकाशकों के चेहरे झिल्ले हुए थे। प्रकाशकों के चेहरे तभी खिलते हैं, जब बिक्री अच्छी होती है। तीन-चार प्रकाशकों से बात करने पर यह अनुमान हुआ कि पुस्तकों की

बिक्री पहले वर्ष की तुलना में करीब 30 प्रतिशत अधिक रही है। इस बार विश्व पुस्तक मेले में प्रेक्षा के लिए कोई टिकट नहीं लगा गया था। कई नक्काश भी किए गए थे। सैम्यु इल्लरस को धीम पर सत्रों में सैम्यु की वेंचर और सैम्यु की कलहिनियों की पुस्तकें बिक रही थीं, उन पर चर्चा एक आयोजित किए गए थे। टिकािका बरने कल्लि बात यह रही कि फरार मेरायन में आयोजित पुस्तक मेले में सफलता और अनुकूलन का बहुत ध्यान रखा गया था।

चेन्नई पुस्तक मेले में बिक्री का औसत भी उत्साहजनक रहा। गुरादाबाद में लगे दिवों के आयोजन में सन्मिलित होकर लगे एक प्रकाशक ने बताया कि रचनात्मक होने के बावजूद उनके स्टाल से जेड लाख रुपये से अधिक की बिक्री हुई। राजपुर साहित्य उत्सव के पुस्तक मेले में भी

जनम पुस्तकों की बिक्री हुई। एक प्रकाशक ने बताया कि वे जितने पुस्तकें लेकर आए थे, बाकी लगभग बिकल हो गईं। यहां भी युवा छात्रों की संख्या बहुत अधिक थी। राजपुर साहित्य उत्सव में समस्त नवीं व, दशम, सातवीं केंद्र में था। बिक्री से भी यहाँ लोग आकर्षित थे, जो केंद्र बंद कर सकते थे। इन दिनों अत्यंत पर साहित्य उत्सव के नाम पर बंदी लगाने के निरूपे सिद्धों को आयोजित कर लिया जा रहा है, उनके अपने नाम पर कोई कुछ नहीं। राजपुर साहित्य उत्सव और विश्व पुस्तक मेले ने यह साबित किया कि पढ़ने की रुचि और उसकी बिक्री पर केंद्र चर्चा चाहिए।

जो लोग दिवों की पुस्तकों को लेकर फिर एकत्र कर रहे थे, उनकी भी युवा पुस्तक प्रेमी ने अपने पुस्तक प्रेम से चौंकाई है। युवाओं के

पुस्तकों के पास पहुंचने का मुख्य कारण वह है कि हिंदी लेखन में विविधता आई है। हिंदी में एक ही तरह की कहानी, कविताओं और उपन्यासों ने पाठकों को दूर किया था। जब से हिंदी लेखन में विविधता आई है और जनक कथित लेखन होने लगा है, जब से पाठक भी इस ओर आकर्षित होने लगे। अन्य भाषाओं से हिंदी में अनूदिता पुस्तकें भी खूब बिक रही हैं। सिनेमा, स्पोर्ट्स कवनिष्ठ, तकनीक, विज्ञान आदि पर जिस तरह से पुस्तकें आ रही हैं, उसने युवा पाठकों के बीच एक उत्सुकता जगाई है। किसान में भी फार्मलिटिड लेखन के चौखटे को टोकरा बंद लेखकों ने देती कहानियों और देशी गाँवों को रचा। इंटरनेट मीडिया पर पुस्तकों की जानकारी और चर्चा होने के कारण पुस्तकों का खयाल बचन-बचन होने लगा। पाठकों के बीच पुस्तकों की लेकर उत्सुकता बढ़ी। ई-कॉमर्स प्लेटफॉर्म पर तो पुस्तकें पहले से बिक रही थीं अब बिकक कबाली प्लेटफॉर्म पर भी पुस्तकें उपलब्ध हैं। अब पुस्तक के बारे में सोचें, आईए की और 10 से 15 मिनट में पुस्तक अपने हाथ में लुप्त जाती है। उसने भी एक माहौल का निर्माण किया। यह भी प्रचार किया गया कि भारत में युवाओं को एकलव्य अवधि (कमरेजेशन टाइम) होना चाहिए और उन्हें पढ़ने में आनंद आने लगा।

प्रकाशन व्यवसाय ने खुद लेखों का कहना है कि कोलेन काल के दौरान पुस्तकों के प्रति रुझान देखने को मिला था। कोलेन काल के समय होने के बाद यह रुझान आकर्षक में बदला। एक और कारण जो समय में आता है, वह ये कि पुस्तकों का कोलकाता में उन्ना कोर हो रहा है। अच्छी छाया और अच्छी डिजिटल और ऑडियो के कारण पाठकों को पुस्तकों में आने और खींचा। पहले की तुलना में पुस्तकों के मूल्य भी कम हो गए हैं। पेंसलैक संस्करण की कमीडिटी भी अच्छी होने लगी है। कुल मिलाकर ऐसा जा रहा है इन आयोजनों में बिक्री और पुस्तकों की भारीपट्टी पुस्तकों के प्रति आकर्षित देती प्रतीत होती है।

Budget boosts domestic space research while spending lags behind

Only a few large astronomical observatories are capable of groundbreaking discoveries worldwide, which means researchers have to compete for observation time, when funding agencies favour their own national researchers, international scientists' access becomes increasingly restricted, and Indians are no exception

Prakash Chandra

The Budget has brought some cheer to India's research community, particularly for those involved in astronomy and space research, as it has earmarked ₹3,416.20 crore for the Department of Space for 2020-21.

A large chunk of the allocation has been set aside for deep-space exploration and astrophysics, including to build two advanced telescope facilities: the 30-m National Large Optical Infrared Telescope and the National Large Solar Telescope near Pangong Lake in Ladakh.

Also in focus is the COSMOS-2 planetarium in Amaravati, Andhra Pradesh, to be completed soon, and improving the infrastructure of the Himalayan Chandra Telescope in Haridwar, Uttarakhand. Currently, only the U.S., China, Japan and the European Union prioritise astronomy research to a high degree and consistently invest large sums to upgrade their terrestrial and space telescopes. So, astronomers have said, the telescope allocations will improve space research capability and science outreach in India.

Frontier research

However, experts also raised concerns about a significant drop in expenditure, with the actual expense falling short of budgetary estimates. This underfunding has in the past led to bottlenecks in the planning and executing major projects.

"There were several proposed space missions which were finally not supported," Bhaskar Mohanrao, professor and chairperson of the Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics at the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, said.

Dr. Mohanrao added that although this Budget "is an extremely positive step for astronomy in India," the importance of following it through couldn't be overstated. "The execution of big projects in India would still require some streamlining of resources with checks and balances."

Only a few large astronomical observatories are capable of frontier research and groundbreaking discoveries worldwide, which means researchers have to compete for observation time. And when funding agencies favour their own national researchers, international scientists' access becomes increasingly restricted, and Indians are no exception.

Relying on overseas facilities

To make matters worse, an astrophysicist (who didn't wish to be identified) told this reporter that India's problem is compounded by the attitude of bureaucrats and administrators.

"They are close-minded regarding concepts like buying fractional time on



India's highest telescopes are at Haridwar in Uttarakhand

large telescopes or missions — measures that are not only essential to foster stronger international collaborations and keep us at the forefront of astronomy research, but also serve as a stop-gap arrangement till we have our own large telescopes," the astrophysicist said.

For the time long has the country relied on overseas facilities for high-resolution data and specialised equipment in deep space science, including collaborative projects such as radio, optical, and space-based observations. Acquiring strong domestic capabilities in space science and astrophysics is thus imperative if India is to reduce its dependence on foreign observatories.

Steadily strengthened

Building large-scale, next-generation observatories for cutting-edge space research, however, involves overcoming formidable financial and technological hurdles. These challenges often require collaborative partnerships with international teams and pooling resources and expertise with them is often the only way for Indian scientists to participate in ambitious projects. Adequate funding, effective governance and partnership with domestic industry offer a realistic solution to shrug off this dependence on foreign facilities and research data.

Fortunately, India's astronomy and space research ecosystem is being steadily strengthened with the addition of cutting-edge technology platforms. These include optical and radio telescopes, such as the Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope (GMRT) near Pune, and data processing centres capable of AI-driven data analysis. These efforts, alongside the

A large chunk of the budgetary allocation for the Department of Space has been set aside for deep-space exploration and astrophysics, including to build two advanced telescope facilities

new budgetary push, could boost India's research capabilities, with the growing shift towards public-private partnerships in space research adding to the optimism.

"Basic science and big budget experiments all over the world require funding from State agencies," Dr. Mohanrao said. "While there are many private enterprises in the space sector, proper channeling of their efforts and overall quality control and monitoring would require setting up of statutory bodies involving government agencies."

"In the past decade or so we have seen many startups in the space sector, often working in close collaboration with Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO) experts," Abhinav Sushobhan, assistant professor of physics at the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, said. "The Department of Space established the Indian National Space Promotion and Authorisation Centre in 2020 to promote such partnerships. This is a positive sign as such partnerships will promote innovation and attract private investment in the space sector."

Sub-millimetre sky

For that to happen, however, policymakers should acknowledge the imperative for strategic resource

allocation and collaborative initiatives to maximise the scientific output from the country's astronomical assets." But, he cautioned, "we also have to keep in mind that private interests may not always align with the interests of the nation as a whole."

An important upside of developing homegrown cutting-edge resources in space science is that it will encourage students to engage in advanced research in the country, arresting the steady brain drain to institutions abroad. But that has been easier said, with a lot of homework still being required before it becomes a reality. For instance, the GMRT is the world's largest radio telescope array operating at low frequencies and attracts astronomers from across the world. But in the absence of a comparable optical telescope in the country, Indian scientists are forced to stand in line for telescope time at foreign facilities, as they do for doing research in high frequency radio astronomy.

Similarly, India doesn't have any telescope that operates in the critical sub-millimetre wavelengths.

"The sub-millimetre sky is a unique window for probing the architecture of the universe and structures within it, from the dusty sub-millimetre galaxies to the ringed nature of proto-stellar disks," Dr. Mohanrao said. "A proposal is in the pipeline and it is also a part of the Astronomy & Astrophysics Mega Science Vision 2035."

Only when such projects are realised can India's march towards becoming a leader in space exploration gather pace. (Prakash Chandra is a science writer. prakashu@ymail.com)

THE GIST

▼ The Union Budget 2020-21 has earmarked ₹3,416.20 crore for the Department of Space which has brought some cheer to India's research community.

▼ A large chunk of the allocation has been reserved for building advanced telescopes and to upgrade two other facilities, which will improve space research capability and science outreach.

▼ However, experts raised concerns about a significant drop in expenditure, with the actual expense falling short of budgetary estimates.

▼ For the time long India has relied on overseas facilities for high-resolution data and specialised equipment in deep space science, hence it is imperative to strengthen domestic facilities and reduce this dependence.

When policy meets practice: Empowering India's women entrepreneurs



PRIYA ADANI

In every budget speech, beyond the tables of numbers and fiscal arithmetic, lies a deeper story — the story of where a nation wishes to place its next generation of builders. This year, the Finance Minister's announcement of a new SHE — Self-Help Entrepreneurs scheme is one such moment. It signals a decisive belief that women should not be merely beneficiaries of development, but should be drivers of growth, architects of prosperity, and importantly, owners of enterprise.

At the Adani Foundation, we have been working based on this conviction for a long time. For over a decade, our work across India has been anchored in a simple yet powerful idea that empowering women across their life stages creates multiplier effects that transform families, communities, and economies. This philosophy has guided our own SHE framework — Supporting Her Empowerment, which has quietly shaped programmes in education, health, nutrition, livelihoods, and leadership long before this acronym entered the policy lexicon.

That two different SHE frameworks now converge — one born in communities, the other articulated in the Union Budget — is more than a mere coincidence. It reflects a growing national consensus that women's economic agency must sit at the heart of



India's development strategy.

Our experience at the Adani Foundation has consistently shown that when women are supported not through isolated interventions but through a life-cycle approach — starting with schooling, moving through skills, access to finance, entrepreneurship, and eventually mentoring the next generation — the impact compounds.

A girl who stays in school is more likely to delay early marriage. A trained woman with market access becomes a business owner. A successful entrepreneur reinvests in her children's education and her village's wellbeing.

This is the "butterfly effect" of empowerment: small, timely investments that unleash far-reaching social and economic change.

The Pioneer

Seen through this lens, the government's Self-Help Entrepreneurs initiative is a natural and welcome progression. By enabling women to move from collective savings groups to ownership of enterprises, it acknowledges what practitioners on the ground have long known, that providing credit alone is not enough.

Women entrepreneurs also need skills, confidence, infrastructure, digital access, market linkages, and supportive ecosystems. They need to be treated not

as peripheral actors, but as central participants in India's growth story.

For the Adani Foundation, the Budget's emphasis is a source of quiet affirmation. It reinforces the belief that frameworks forged through years of community engagement can inform and complement national priorities.

Our own SHE approach emerged not from boardrooms but from listening to thousands of women — women farmers seeking resilient livelihoods, young girls aspiring to have professional careers, self-help group members eager to scale micro-businesses into sustainable enterprises, and community leaders determined to shape local development.

Across ports and power plants, airports and industrial corridors, the Adani Foundation's social initiatives have worked alongside women to precisely create these pathways — supporting entrepreneurship clusters, training women in non-traditional skills, enabling access to capital, and strengthening local institutions.

These efforts are not charity; they are investments in human capability. When women thrive, productivity rises, social indicators improve, and communities become more resilient to economic and climate shocks.

India today stands at a critical juncture. With aspirations of becoming a developed economy in the coming decades, we cannot afford to leave half our population on the margins of enterprise creation.

Women-led businesses, particularly in small towns and rural areas, are among the country's most under-leveraged growth engines. Unlocking their potential is not merely a matter of equity; it is smart economics. The Finance Minister deserves credit for placing women's enterprise so firmly on the national agenda through this year's Budget.

By elevating the Self-Help Entrepreneurs scheme as a pillar of inclusive growth, he has sent a clear signal that India's economic future will be shaped as much by women-led businesses in small towns and rural districts as by large corporations in metropolitan centres.

Budgets are ultimately statements of intent, and this one has articulated an ambition that practitioners in the field deeply share, namely that women must

move from the periphery of economic activity to its very centre. It is heartening when public policy echoes lessons learnt through years of community engagement, and even more encouraging when it provides the scale and momentum needed to carry those lessons across the nation.

The alignment between grassroots frameworks like ours and national policy initiatives such as the Self-Help Entrepreneurs scheme offers a powerful template for the future. Government, philanthropy, industry, and civil society must continue to work in partnership — sharing insights, scaling what works, and ensuring that programmes reach women at every stage of their journey.

If India is to write its next chapter of growth, it will be authored not only in Parliament and Ministries, but in workshops, classrooms, village enterprises, and digital storefronts run by confident, capable women entrepreneurs. The Finance Minister's announcement is an encouraging step in that direction.

At the Adani Foundation, we remain committed to walking alongside these women — supporting their aspirations, strengthening their capabilities, and celebrating their success. The two SHEs, born in different spheres now speak the same language of ownership, of dignity, and of opportunity.

Writer is the Chairperson of the Adani Foundation, one of India's premier non-profit philanthropic institutions with a \$1 billion endowment and a \$100 million annual outlay. Under Dr Adani's stewardship, the Foundation has made significant strides in the key areas — education, health and nutrition, sustainable livelihoods, climate action, and community development.

20/14



UGC: Hawkishly 'woke' or just politically motivated?



Sanjeev Anluwalia

Teachers and parents have an overweight influence on shaping young minds by their thoughts and behaviour. It is reasonable then to expect an elevated level of due diligence from both sets of "guardians" in discharging their responsibilities versus their wards. India is a land of divergent identities, religions, castes, languages and culture. Unsurprisingly then, "equity" is an abiding central theme in the Indian Constitution.

The University Grants Commission (UGC), created under a 1956 Union government legislation, regulates all universities and colleges, collectively referred to as Higher Education Institutions (HEI). There are 1,270 such universities and 14,725 colleges serving millions of students. Since 2012, the UGC has implemented a regulation titled "Promotion of Equity in Higher Educational Institutions".

In Jan. this year a new UGC regulation was notified. It built upon the earlier regulation from 2012 that sought to safeguard interests of students without any prejudice to their caste, creed, religion, language, ethnicity, gender or disability.

The earlier regulation was long on defining discrimination comprehensively, identifying the prohibited actions or behaviour as markers of active discrimination but was short on monitoring, measuring, reporting and dealing with discrimination.

These deficiencies were sought to be overcome by the new January 13, 2023 UGC regulation which was commendable in trying to deepen and institutionalise the mechanisms for embedding equity and ending discrimination in higher education.

Consider that one anti-discrimination officer per HEI, under the 2012 regulation, was replaced by an Equal Opportunity Centre (EOC) with a designated co-ordi-

tor who doubles as the secretary of an Equal Opportunity Committee, chaired by the head of the HEI. Three internal faculty members and one staffer were members as were two meritorious students from the HEI and two external members from civil society. This single change, suitably broadened the structure for sharing administrative power and responsibility, including for protecting a complainant.

Further, internal Equity Squads were envisaged to exercise vigilance and monitor vulnerable spots to prevent discrimination. An Equity Ambassador was to be nominated in each department and faculty of the HEI, thereby creating an ecosystem of equity supporters, all of whom would also feed ground-up information to the EOC. Timelines for management of incidents of discrimination ensured quick redressal. The EOC would meet within twenty-four hours of an incident of discrimination, report within fifteen days to the head of the HEI, who would act on the report within seven days. All cases inviting penal action under the law would be reported forthwith to the police by the HEI.

The envisaged EOC was far more initiative-taking than earlier arrangements. It was expected to co-ordinate with civil society, local media, police, district administration, non-government organisations working in the field, faculty members, staff, and parents to further its aims. It would also co-ordinate with the District Legal Services Authority and the State Legal Services Authority to provide legal aid in deserving cases.

Monitoring arrangements to periodically ascertain the efficiency of the proposed institutional arrangements were also embedded. The EOC would publish a bi-annual report of its activities and make it available on the website of the HEI along

Was it a case of the executive turning a Nelson's eye to contradictory provisions within the same legislation, to cater to political messaging, at a time when state elections dominate, hoping for the judiciary to do the right thing?

with updates on the demographic composition of students and staff, dropout rates of the students for the previous academic year, grievances/complaints received under these regulations, and their status.

Each HEI was expected to establish and operate a 24x7 "Equity Helpline". If not possible, the equity helpline of the affiliating university must be accessible to stakeholders of that college. Most importantly, the identity of a stakeholder (identified broadly to include faculty, staff and students) reporting a violation of equity was to be kept confidential if requested by the informant.

Implementation of the 2023 regulations would have been good for society, and the often-vitiated environment in colleges and universities. But this was not to be. There was an immediate negative reaction from upper caste students and supporters who labelled the new regulation as an attempt to sideline upper caste interests. What roused their ire? Four provisions of the regulations were objected against.

First, that regulation 3(c) defines caste-based discrimination "exclusively" as discrimination against SC, ST and OBC. By explicitly including OBC but overlooking upper castes it promoted a perverted interpretation of the regulation, potentially enshrining rather than reducing discrimination. This is more so as regulation 3(e) defines "discrimination" more broadly and correctly as "any unfair, differential, or biased treatment or any such act against any stakeholder, whether explicit or implicit, on the grounds only of religion, race, caste, gender, place of birth, disability, or any of them."

Second, with a spate of state-level elections during

the year, the new regulation was identified as an attempt to woo the reserved categories of voters (OBC, SC and ST), who far outnumber the unreserved voters.

Third, since it enlarged the regulatory ambit significantly, it would have been appropriate to also discourage malicious complaints specifically, with punitive provisions to deter frivolous or motivated grievances and complaints. Complaints have risen from 173 cases in 2019-20 to 378 in 2023-24, not too high versus the population of students which could be multiple millions. With more supportive mechanisms for registering complaints, the numbers could explode.

Expectedly, the Supreme Court has stayed the 2023 regulation on January 29, responding to three petitions, and used its powers under Article 142 to revive the 2012 regulations which had been repealed when the 2023 regulations became effective. This automatically puts in abeyance the attempt by the UGC to deepen the scope of prohibitions against discrimination in educational institutions and enlarge the apparatus for identification, monitoring and effective prevention of discrimination in higher education institutions.

Leaving aside the legal matter of the less than perfect drafting, which ignited the apprehensions of the upper castes, and caused the regulations to be put in abeyance, two issues remain unresolved. Who done it?

Was the drafting genuinely incompetent and muddled? If yes, how effective are our parliamentary systems, to prevent defective legislation from sailing through? Or was it a case of the executive turning a Nelson's eye to contradictory provisions within the same legislation, to cater to political messaging, at a time when state elections dominate, hoping that the judiciary would do the right thing and carry the political blame? The first is genuinely troubling. The second, however, is somewhat oddly reassuring.

The writer is Distinguished Fellow, Chintan Research Foundation, and was earlier with the IAS and World Bank

AAFS

Rethinking UGC's new equity regulations

Last month, the University Grants Commission (UGC) (Promotion of Equity in Higher Education Institutions) Regulations, 2026, triggered protests by a section of general category students. On January 29, the Supreme Court stayed the implementation of the regulations.

These regulations are necessitated by an undeniable reality. Caste-, gender-, and religion-based discrimination in higher education is persistent and has been increasing in recent times. Grievance redressal mechanisms have been notoriously slow, often discretionary, and at times only symbolic, leaving students from marginalised communities to suffer the consequences in silence. Even the most ardent opponents of these new regulations cannot, therefore, deny the necessity of such an intervention. Why then are there protests?

A troubling combination

The opposition to the regulations stems from an apprehension that some members of historically disadvantaged and marginalised sections may exploit the structural flaws in the regulations – such as the vagueness of the definition of discrimination, the composition of the equity committee, and the procedure to be followed to get grievances redressed – to victimise upper castes. This reflects distrust and fear that measures aimed at providing justice to one section could become unjust for others.

Introduced to tackle caste-based discrimination with unprecedented urgency, the regulations insist on swift redressal. They say complaints must be acknowledged immediately, committees convened fast, and inquiries concluded within rigid timelines. This design rests on the assumption that speed and fairness reinforce one another. Yet justice systems across the world suggest otherwise.



Sameer Ahmad Khan

Research scholar at Jamia Millia Islamia



Furqan Qamar

Former professor of management at Jamia Millia Islamia

Quick timelines and central monitoring without clear procedural standards create fear. Institutions worry about regulatory penalties they cannot contest. Faculty and students worry about reputational damage from complaints processed rapidly and unfairly. The combination of speed and procedural vagueness is bound to lead to protests.

Thin process

The new regulations assume that the time taken in exercising due diligence and completing procedural formalities in grievance redressal is tantamount to institutional inertia. They seek to ensure rapid enforcement by threatening higher education institutions with dire consequences. The message is clear. Neutrality is no longer an option. Whether that shift commands legitimacy, however, will depend not on intent alone, but on the architecture of enforcement.

The experience of American universities during the 2010s is instructive. Faced with pressure to act swiftly on campus misconduct, institutions prioritised speed, only to encounter sustained judicial pushback over vague evidentiary standards, unclear rights of response, and reputational harm inflicted before findings were established. The backlash arose because the process was thin.

Clearly, justice that moves quickly but unclearly destroys trust. That risk is magnified by how the UGC regulations distribute authority. They do not specify offences or penalties. Investigation is delegated to internal equity committees, and punishment is imposed through existing institutional service or disciplinary rules. The UGC itself does not adjudicate individual guilt; it penalises institutions for non-compliance. This creates a powerful incentive structure. Faced with the threat of de-recognition or funding withdrawal, universities are encouraged to prioritise visible

action over careful adjudication. In this system, ambiguity creates fear, which rarely fosters justice.

In a complaint-driven enforcement model, the consequences could be even more harmful. The ability to document harm, express it in institutional language, and navigate committees is unevenly distributed: while rural students and linguistic minorities often struggle to translate daily discrimination into administratively legible complaints, those with greater cultural and institutional exposure are better positioned to mobilise the system. As a result, a regime designed to amplify marginal voices ends up privileging the institutionally fluent among them.

Compliance theatre

These pressures inevitably spill into classrooms and supervisory relationships. Universities operate through constant grading, feedback, and assessment, all of which are inherently subjective. When academic judgment is subjected to regulatory scrutiny without procedural clarity, risk aversion becomes the most logical course. Faculty respond by diluting feedback, avoiding difficult conversations, and sanitising evaluation.

Over time, institutions learn ways to bypass the complexities. Committees multiply, documentation thickens, and compliance becomes performative. Governance scholars describe this as compliance theatre, a phenomenon where organisations learn to demonstrate reform without addressing underlying hierarchies. India's higher education system is uneven across regions and institutions and is vulnerable to this drift.

Justice in universities must not be a race to the first response. It should be a long, difficult conversation. One that demands urgency, yes, but also precision, patience, and the humility to revise.

Justice that moves quickly but unclearly destroys trust

Gen Z's study flight, home landing

Two-thirds want to go abroad; one in eight will work overseas

Recently, Commerce Minister Piyush Goyal said that India did not talk about H-1B visa concerns with the US as it finalised the bilateral trade framework. He cited the main reason as the lack of interest among the IT firms to send techies abroad. Looking at the same problem from the potential employees' perspective, a recent survey finds that most of Gen Z's desire to go abroad to study, although a lower percentage wishes to work there. Maybe Goyal is on the right track. Like Indian firms, Indian job seekers have lost interest in overseas jobs.

A recent Snapchat survey among Gen Z, which was conducted in partnership with a media firm, finds that the young cohort views education as "an investment that unlocks options rather than as a fixed pathway." Career, for them, is "marked by flexibility and continuous upgrading." More than three-fourths of the respondents hope to pursue higher education, and more than two-thirds of the total want to study abroad. Hence, better education, especially abroad, is crucial. Hence, if the doors and windows to the American universities are shut, or partially closed due to the ongoing visa controversies, they will need to seek new options, maybe to Europe, Australia, or Asian colleges.

But there is a dramatic change when it comes to careers. Despite the craving for overseas education, Gen Z does not want to work overseas. A mere 13 per cent of the respondents wanted jobs away from India. Nearly half of them wanted to work in India, 28 per cent in the private sector, and 21 per cent in government or public sector. A quarter of them wished to become Indian entrepreneurs, possibly because of the opportunities related to ideas and innovation, wealth creation, and financial and other official benefits. Despite the myth that they fixed jobs,

only 10 per cent want "creative or freelance" careers.

Yet, Gen Z desires work flexibility. Even in corporate jobs, they do not want fixed 9-to-5 hours. More than a third of the respondents were for hybrid models (a mix of work-from-office, and work-from-home), and another 17 per cent wanted only work-from-home options. Since 13 per cent wanted to be entrepreneurs, and nine per cent preferred field jobs, just over a quarter of the respondents wanted to be present in offices for 8-12 hours. Given this mix, it is logical that salary, which is largely a consequence of being in offices, is a motivator for just over a third of the respondents. Other factors such as work-life balance (24 per cent), security (17 per cent), and passion (15 per cent) are crucial.

The seemingly-tech obsessed younger people use the new tools in myriad ways. Artificial Intelligence (AI), for example, acts more like a "practical assistant" in areas such as study, work, and problem-solving. Tech does not lead these young people. Instead, they are a step ahead of tech, and decide how and when to use the AI tools. "I do not use ChatGPT to write my content. But I use it extensively to do my research, and give me some insights, which I can incorporate," says a young 24-year-old budding journalist-writer. The same is true in other professions, where they understand the limits of AI.

Similarly, the use of tech in daily non-professional lives is functional. Two-thirds of the respondents agreed that AR tools help them to "understand products better than regular images or videos." More than 60 per cent believe that AR-based demos for products are more "believable and trustworthy." Thus, augmented reality

is almost a substitute for reality, rather than traditional 3-D models. In social media, which is the place to generally express themselves, Gen Z uses AR to create content that is unique, and nearly 60 per cent prefer such content compared to static photographs, even videos. AR, most feel, helps them to express their online personalities better.

Personalities and identities reflect in other areas. Brands become important to Gen Z only if they feel personal, and have meanings that are relevant to the individuals. Savvy, polished, and finessed advertising does not work. This may imply critical messages to the advertisers. Single and uniform messaging may not work with this cohort. Even regional,

and community may prove to be relevant. What is required, perhaps, are messages that appeal to individuals, and their friends, families, and social media acquaintances. Hence, Gen Z work and respond in closed groups that are smaller and more personal-oriented. Thus, social media groups may be a better advertising option.

As a report in the media that partnered with Snapchat states, "The 2025 data highlight a clear decision framework. Desi brands evoke pride. Products must 'vibe' with personal values. Purchases are made only if they offer clear value for money. Safe spaces are defined by inner circles rather than public platforms. Mutual wellness is seen as essential, not optional. Wealth creation is a long-term focus, while technology is used for efficiency, not just for visibility." In essence, most values associated with decisions are related to personality, closed-group, specific values, and the so-called inner circles.

Logically, this mindset seeps into the emotional arena. Gen Z can discuss sensitive issues like mental health but within trusted circles. Intimate and intense support system is crucial. While one can talk about views, ideas, and opinions on social media platforms, personal conversations like daily life updates are reserved for close friends, and family. But there is openness within these closed systems. More than 70 per cent discuss mental health openly. Nearly 80 per cent share life updates. Yet, bizarrely, nearly 90 per cent give or get opinions on social media, and 64 per cent talk about personal lives there.

Like with brands, shopping follows the same paradigm. As the media report stated, "93 per cent research both offline and online to find the best deals. 87 per cent buy brands that have personal meaning. 84 per cent follow influencers who share real, authentic feelings. 79 per cent are open to buy niche or less-known products. 88 per cent take pride in buying Indian-origin brands. 79 per cent trust influencers who look and talk like them." In a way, Gen Z is not about us versus them, or our generation versus others. It is more about 'us' within a small, intimate, trusted, and close group that shares and talks. As some of them maintain, "Deal hunting is a lifestyle. I just do not buy names, I buy meaning. If it feels like me, it wins. Only real influencers matter."

The Pioneer
SINCE 1863



The wasted tablets of Haryana

A long-term vision for better use of educational technology should start with the basic needs



KRISHNA KUMAR
FORMER DIRECTOR, NCERT

VIJAYA Mulay, who died in 2019 at the age of 98, had greater faith in the potential of educational technology than I had, but she also had far more knowledge and technical experience, so I never questioned her faith. She was a celebrated producer and director of educational films for children. She was also a venerated administrator with hands-on experience of using different technologies.

When I requested her to chair the national focus group on educational technology in 2004, she produced a document of long-lasting value for the National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT). She described India's schools as graveyards of technological equipment.

Her observation continues to find evidence in schools and colleges where unusable computers and tablets lie dumped with old radio and television sets waiting to receive official permission for disposal.

Mulay had seen several waves of enthusiasm for induction of communication technology in the school curriculum. From radio to satellite television, tape recorders and VCRs, they enjoyed seasonal popularity, guzzling scarce resources and giving uncertain results. If good things happened in a few schools, the official policy always insisted on upscaling, mostly leading to quick collapse and movement towards the next 'latest' toy. The emphasis was always on 'catching up' rather than consolidating the gains, with teacher confidence and autonomy.



BEYOND REPAIR: Thousands of tablets, distributed in schools just four years ago, are now unusable. *R. U.*

Had Mulay been around today, The Tribune report (February 4) about thousands of unusable tablets in Kaithal and Karnal wouldn't have surprised her. These tablets, with pre-downloaded lessons, were distributed just four years ago across Haryana. For various reasons, they are now unusable and beyond repair. The report says that teachers are reverting to chalk and blackboard.

Even in the old days, when a school radio or TV developed a problem, the principal had to wait for months to get it repaired. Contingency money for minor work was always limited, and principals were never trusted to get anything done themselves. So, a leaky tap, a blocked toilet, a broken windowpane must wait.

It is not a pleasant afterthought that the humungous effort to equip schools with gadgets was all wasteful. It is still going on — in just as erratic a manner in which it had started — and a balanced approach shows no signs of emerging. Like all other polarities prevailing in our society, the polarity between technoromantics and techno-sceptics

It is not a pleasant
afterthought that
the humungous effort
to equip schools
with gadgets was
all wasteful.

has proved unbridgeable.

A modest effort to create a bridge was made at a conference organised by Panjab University a year ago. In the current state of blocked dialogue, policies swing from side to side. The Himachal government has realised that smartphones in children's hands disturb the school day, so an order has been issued to stop them from bringing their phones to school. How this order will be implemented is anybody's guess.

Teachers receive orders all

the time and they are expected to comply quickly. In Uttar Pradesh, an order was recently circulated through WhatsApp, asking headmasters to initiate newspaper reading on a daily basis. Where the headmaster will find funds for this otherwise laudable initiative is not yet clear. Also, the order conveys that both Hindi and English newspapers should be subscribed to. Given the state of English teaching in UP, teachers are rightly confused.

In private schools affiliated to the CBSE, uploading of school events is a regular activity, and principals continuously receive orders from the Board. As a teacher put it, teaching has become impossible. Mandatory use of digital technology now pervades all aspects of teachers' daily life. The news that Sweden, Denmark and France have recognised the folly of giving digital technology so big a space in school life and are now reverting to older ways will take a while to filter down to our decision-makers.

Mulay had seen it all but she was not cynical in the least. She continued to believe that availability of good equipment could

give children enjoyable opportunities to be creative. No one can argue that our schools offer plenty of such opportunities. I could never figure out the source of Mulay's undying hope that educational technology would one day find its proper use. She created one of the biggest collections of audio-visual material for classroom use. I can't think of a single school which uses it.

Mulay was familiar with the systemic conditions which discourage long-term vision and effort for pedagogic change. Rushed action for immediate outcomes sucks all the energy of school-level staff. Their role is to obey and show subservience.

A long-term vision for better use of educational technology should start with the basic needs and low-tech devices required to bring life into classroom teaching. A dozen pairs of binoculars, for example, would enable children to watch and study birds around the campus. A class of 40 children needs that many pairs of scissors to make cross-sections of stems and leaves to be studied under a magnifying glass. Official policy does not recognise binoculars and magnifying glasses as part of educational technology. The cost of such simple equipment would be a fraction of what a tablet costs.

And that may be the reason why there are no lobbyists for the availability of this kind of machinery. Tech companies and suppliers are actively involved in a decision to distribute tens of thousands of pre-loaded tablets. Bureaucrats and politicians play an active role in such a decision. The only person not involved is the teacher and the headmaster. They were not involved when radio sets were distributed in the 1960s, TV sets in the 1970s, VCRs in the 1980s. That equipment can still be found in the school graveyards in different parts of the country. Tablets will join them soon.

Saving students takes more than helplines

The statistic should shame any society into urgent action: 855 students ended their lives in Karnataka in 2023. Against this grim backdrop, the government's move to roll out a dedicated student suicide prevention policy is welcome. It signals a long-overdue acknowledgement that student suicides are not isolated tragedies but a systemic failure that demands a coordinated, State-led response. The policy's primary strength lies in its comprehensive approach, covering students across both government-run and private institutions. The mentor-parent-teacher initiative, where a single teacher is assigned responsibility for up to 25 students, is particularly significant. If implemented with sincerity, it can humanise rigid institutions and create early-warning systems in which students feel seen, heard, and supported. Regular counselling, multi-departmental coordination, and targeted interventions are imperative in hostels where homesickness and psychological stress are acute.

Importantly, the policy aligns with the National Suicide Prevention Strategy, which aims to reduce suicide mortality by 10% by 2030 through multi-sectoral action and integration of mental health into primary healthcare. Yet this is also where discomfort begins. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has set a far steeper benchmark: a one-third reduction in suicide rates by 2030. A conservative national target risks leading states to settle for slower progress rather than radical reform. Governments justify this caution by pointing to hard constraints. The country faces a severe resource crunch, with fewer than one psychiatrist per one lakh people, far below global recommendations.

Karnataka's policy pitch must be backed with resources and a rethink on prevention approaches

Suicide prevention cannot succeed through helplines and circulars alone. It requires a holistic solution that goes beyond reactive care. It requires solutions that dismantle the pressure-cooker academic culture, aggressively erase the stigma of failure, and check easy access to hazardous materials like pesticides, commonly used in rural suicides. Every parent, teacher, warden, and administrator must be trained as a first line of mental health response, capable of recognising silent distress before it turns into a crisis. At the same time, institutions must be mandated to have qualified, full-time counsellors, rather than expecting undertrained, overburdened teachers to double up as therapists. Supreme Court guidelines already stipulate that any institution with over 100 students must have a qualified, full-time counsellor on-site. This policy is a step in the right direction. But saving lives demands more than incrementalism. It requires ambition, resources, and the courage to rethink how we educate, evaluate, and care for our young. It is time to replace the mindset of managing decline with the courage to protect life at any cost.

DN/12/6

NEXUS OF GOOD

Skilling Her Future

An award-winning Indo-German collaboration shows that blending life skills, vocational training and convergence helps girls move from vulnerability to agency, employability and participation



ANIL SWARUP

THE WRITER IS
AN AUTHOR AND
A FORMER
CIVIL SERVANT

Winner of Nexus of Good Annual Award, 2025, the Skill Development Program for Adolescent Girls is an 18-month intervention led by Kalvalya Education Foundation, supported by GIZ (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit) under Indo-German Cooperation, and implemented in collaboration with the Ministry of Women and Child Development. It operates in five Aspirational Districts - Gadchiroli (Maharashtra), Dimaapur (Assam), Dibrugarh (Assam), West Singhbhum (Jharkhand) and Bastar (Chhattisgarh) - targeting adolescent girls between 14 and 18 years of age. The program was conceptualised in response to the low female labour force participation in India and the limited presence of women in formal skilling ecosystems. National surveys, including ASER and IndiaSpend analyses, point to persistent challenges - early school dropouts, restrictive social norms and limited access to training facilities. In rural regions, these constraints are reinforced by safety concerns, lack of transportation and inadequate information about vocational opportunities.

The selected geographies represent some of the most underserved districts where socio-economic challenges, cultural barriers and weak institutional support limit girls' mobility and learning. The initiative was designed to address these systemic barriers by combining life skills education with vocational skill development in one structured framework.

Objectives

The project's objective is to enable adolescent girls to make informed personal and professional choices through:

- Life skills education focused on self-awareness, financial literacy, digital literacy and reproductive health.
- Vocational training under the National Skill Qualification Framework in relevant local trades.
- Institutional linkages that connect girls to employment or self-employment opportunities.
- Strengthened local systems for sustained delivery of life skills and vocational training.

Implementation Design

The project design is built on four sequential pillars - mobilise, train, certify and place.

Mobilisation

- Large-scale awareness drives were conducted in partnership with local Panchayats, Anganwadi Centres and the Women and Child Development Department.
- More than 46,000 adolescent girls were mobilised across the five districts.
- Convergence platforms such as Kishori Sanchaya Patal were established in 150 Anganwadi Centres to provide information about schemes, health services and training opportunities.

Training

- A structured 120-hour life skills curriculum was delivered in regional languages. It covered four domains - financial literacy, digital literacy, sexual and reproductive health rights, and social, emotional and ethical learning.



Kalvalya Education Foundation with GIZ support demonstrates convergence turning training into livelihoods for rural girls

- Over 37,000 girls completed the life skills course, which also included group sessions, digital exercises and reflection-based learning.
- Vocational training modules were offered under the National Skill Qualification Framework through local partner institutes in trades such as retail management, warehouse operations, office automation, solar panel installation and basic electronics.
- The training followed the Dual Vocational Education and Training model, combining classroom instruction with on-the-job exposure.

Certification

- The National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC) evaluated and certified over 2,000 adolescent girls.
- Certification served as an employment credential and encouraged further participation among peers.

Placement and Post-Training Support

- The program connected trained girls with local industries, district industries centres and chambers of commerce.
- Placement drives were organised with employers such as TATA, Honda, KL Group and local retail enterprises.
- Pre-placement support included CV preparation, interview practice and basic communication training.
- Discussions are ongoing for apprenticeship models with regional employers.

Institutional Collaboration

The program followed a multi-level convergence model:

- District Administration:** Facilitated coordination between departments and issued directives to local industries to consider trained girls for recruitment.
- Women and Child Development Department:** Provided access to Anganwadi infrastructure for training and mobilisation.
- Panchayati Raj Institutions:** Supported household-level awareness and community participation.
- Private Sector and Training Partners:** Delivered technical training and contributed to placement support.

This structure ensured accountability at each level while embedding the intervention into existing government systems.

Community Participation

The initiative engaged families from the outset to address social resistance. Local women and youth were identified as hyper-local trainers and Karuna Fellows, who served as facilitators within their own communities. This model reduced dropout rates and improved attendance.

Awareness sessions for parents were conducted to explain the relevance of vocational skills for girls. The program maintained consistent contact through home visits, school meetings and Panchayat forums, creating local acceptance of girls' participation in training programs.

Quantitative Achievements (April 2024 - September 2025)

Parameter Achievement

- Adolescent girls mobilised 46,000+
- Life skills trained 37,749
- Vocational training completed 2,000
- Girls certified by NSDC 2,000
- Trainers trained 72

These results demonstrate a high level of outreach in a short duration with effective community-based implementation.

Qualitative Outcomes

Beyond measurable indicators, three clear qualitative changes were recorded:

- Increased confidence and awareness** among adolescent girls to articulate aspirations related to education and employment.
- Improved parental support** for girls' participation in training programs, particularly in rural and tribal blocks.
- Shift in perception** among local leaders and institutions about adolescent girls as contributors to the local economy.

Field case studies highlight individual progress. For instance, Karolina Dhar from Gadchiroli completed the retail management course and now assists 30 other girls in preparing for certification exams. Another participant, Gayatri Kulkarni, used the digital literacy training to manage academic work independently and guide her peers on health topics.

Innovations

- Dual Vocational Education and Training Model:** Integrated industry exposure into classroom instruction.
- Life Skills Olympiad:** Introduced as a peer-learning and competency assessment exercise.
- Localised Modules:** All materials were translated into regional languages for better comprehension.
- Hyper-local Delivery Mechanism:** Use of local trainers and Karuna Fellows ensured reliability and sustainability.
- Digital Tracking:** Attendance, progress and certification data were monitored through a centralised dashboard.

Challenges

- Socio-cultural resistance:** Early hesitation from families to allow girls to travel for training.
- Infrastructure gaps:** Limited space in certain Anganwadi Centres for vocational sessions.
- Economic pressure:** Some girls discontinued training due to family income constraints.
- Placement readiness:** Bridging the gap between certification and employment continues to require sustained mentorship.

Lessons Learned

- Combining life skills with vocational training creates stronger engagement and retention.
- Community-based trainers are more effective than external facilitators in conservative settings.
- Convergence with government departments enhances scalability and reduces operational costs.
- Regular feedback mechanisms from participants help refine curriculum content and delivery pace.

Way Forward

The program is now transitioning from pilot to scale-up. The next phase aims to reach 100,000 adolescent girls by 2028 by:

- Expanding to new districts through collaboration with state ICDS departments.
- Developing advanced modules in green technologies and digital entrepreneurship.
- Strengthening industry partnerships to formalise apprenticeship pipelines.
- Institutionalising Kishori Sanchaya Patal as a standard information platform within Anganwadi Centres.

The model's emphasis on convergence, localised delivery and skill relevance positions it for replication in other states with minimal structural modifications.

The Skill Development Program for Adolescent Girls demonstrates that integrated interventions combining life skills, vocational training and local participation can significantly improve the employability of rural girls. The initiative has created functional linkages between education, livelihood and governance systems, offering a replicable framework in the true spirit of Nexus of Good for future skill development programs.

It is a practical example of how convergence, structured design and measurable outcomes can address systemic gender and skill gaps in India's rural context.

Views expressed are personal

The spirit of Pariksha Pe Charcha

Through Pariksha Pe Charcha, Prime Minister Narendra Modi has led a national effort to transform how examinations are perceived. At its core, this initiative affirms that education must nurture curiosity, resilience, and character, rather than merely reward high scores.



**SUKANTA
MAJUMDAR**

Achieving high marks remains the primary ambition for most students. In classrooms and households across the country, examinations are often seen as decisive moments that determine a child's future. In keeping with the vision of the Prime Minister Narendra Modi, who — through Pariksha Pe Charcha — has championed a student-friendly and stress-free education system, it is important to remind ourselves that examinations are not meant to be sources of fear, but opportunities for learning, reflection, and self-discovery. The Prime Minister's consistent message has been clear: marks should never define a child's worth.

The challenge of current perceptions

Unfortunately, society often interprets lower grades as signs of failure or personal inadequacy. This habit of judging a student's value solely through academic performance has distorted our understanding of what grades truly represent. Too often, a single score is mistaken for the total measure of a student's diverse abilities and potential. The emotional toll of this mindset is visible in disappointment, embarrassment, fear, and helplessness. Persistent academic struggle can even lead to what psychologists describe as "learned helplessness", where students begin to believe that effort no longer matters. At this stage, curiosity — the very heart of learning — slowly fades.

The evolution of Pariksha Pe Charcha

The spirit of Pariksha Pe Charcha seeks to correct this misunderstanding by placing the student's mental well-being at the centre of the examination process. It urges students, parents, and teachers to see examinations not as judgments on personal worth, but as milestones in a longer journey of growth. Since its first edition on February 16, 2018, Pariksha Pe Charcha has grown steadily in scope and participation. What began as a town hall-style interaction at Talkatora Stadium in New Delhi has evolved into a nationwide platform reaching millions of students through in-person, virtual, and hybrid formats. Participation has expanded from a few tens of thousands in the early editions to over 3.5 crore registrations in 2025. The initiative has rightfully earned a Guinness World Record for its widespread engagement,



**TEACHERS
PLAY A
DECISIVE ROLE
IN SHAPING
HOW STUDENTS
INTERPRET
SUCCESS AND
FAILURE. WHEN
EDUCATORS
COMPARE
STUDENTS
WITH OTHERS
OR MAKE
NEGATIVE
REMARKS, THEY
MAY
UNINTENTIONALLY
DAMAGE
SELF-
CONFIDENCE**

The writer is the Minister of State for Education and Development of the North Eastern Region

✉ dr.sukantabjp
✉ @DrSukantaBJP
✉ dr.sukanta-majumdar

reflecting a national commitment to making education more humane and supportive.

Understanding the meaning of grades

Grades are intended to measure a learner's current level of understanding, skill, and progress in a particular subject at a specific moment in time. They reflect performance, not permanent ability. While they may indicate classroom attention, reading habits, and preparation, they are never absolute measures. A wide range of factors — such as anxiety, health, personal circumstances, learning styles, teaching methods, and assessment formats — shape these outcomes.

Therefore, when a student performs poorly, there is always room for improvement. The right perspective is crucial. Grades are temporary indicators that highlight areas for growth. When treated as final judgments of ability, they damage self-esteem and weaken motivation. When understood properly as feedback, they become powerful tools for learning and development. In this sense, poor grades are not the end of the road, but signposts guiding students towards better strategies and deeper understanding.

Learning from failure: The role of teachers and parents

Poor performance provides valuable feedback about what went wrong and why. Failure allows reflection in a way that easy success often does not. By analysing mistakes, students can identify patterns in their errors and gaps in understanding. This

process strengthens learning and long-term retention. Lower grades remind students to reflect on their habits, time management, learning strategies, and priorities. A student may realise that distractions, ineffective revision, or last-minute cramming are limiting progress. Through reflection and experimentation, learners can develop study techniques suited to their own abilities and needs.

The influence of educators and families

Teachers play a decisive role in shaping how students interpret success and failure. When educators compare students with others or make negative remarks, they may unintentionally damage self-confidence. In contrast, when they explain mistakes clearly and offer guidance, they help students improve. By encouraging collaboration and valuing every learner beyond numerical scores, teachers can transform classrooms into spaces of growth rather than fear.

In many families, academic performance is closely tied to expectations and social status. When children underperform, parents may react with anger or comparisons, creating fear and pressure. Supportive responses, however, build resilience. By asking constructive questions and encouraging problem-solving, parents help children rebuild confidence and motivation.

Building resilience for life

Peers also influence how students cope with failure. By being understanding and offering help, students can support those who are struggling. Helping one another builds friendships and prepares students for real-life challenges.

Mindset ultimately determines whether poor grades become obstacles or opportunities.

A growth mindset views challenges as chances to improve. We must adopt a mindset in which bad grades are seen as informative rather than discouraging. They point to areas for development, not the limits of potential.

In the end, what matters is not the grade itself but how it is understood and used. When seen as proof of failure, bad grades damage self-worth. When viewed as feedback, they become tools for improvement.

In this larger vision, Pariksha Pe Charcha stands as a transformative national platform that humanises examinations and restores confidence in young minds. The Prime Minister Narendra Modi has consistently reminded the nation that education is not a race for marks, but a journey of character, curiosity, and courage. When grades are understood in this spirit, they do not weaken our children — they prepare them to face life with strength and wisdom.

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

विभाजनकारी एजेंडे से बचाए जाएं विश्वविद्यालय



विकास चतुर्वेदी

शिक्षण संस्थानों में विभाजनकारी एजेंडा तत्काल विरुद्ध क्यों नहीं उठे। विश्वविद्यालयों को छात्रों, शिक्षकों, बलिष्ठ विचारों और तत्कालीन तंत्रों से बचाव देना

के लिए बन गए थे, परंतु समाजवाद को इन नियमों के दुरुपयोग का भय था, क्योंकि किसानों, दलितों में समाजवाद के प्रतिनिधित्व की स्पष्ट बात नहीं थी और छोटी शिक्षाओं पर कब्जाई कर प्रविधान भी नहीं था। इनके दुरुपयोग के अलावा अकारण नहीं थे। एससी-एसटी एक्ट के दुरुपयोग के कई मामले सामने आते रहे हैं।

जतिवत्त केरधान और उपायान भारतीय समाज का दुःखद पहलू रहा है और आम भी कई क्षेत्रों में इससे घुमि नहीं मिली है, परंतु रैथानिक संस्थानों में शायद यह समस्या उतनी बड़ी नहीं है। जहां तो विभिन्न पृष्ठभूमियों से आए युवाओं के बीच अजीबान चलने वाली मित्रता बनती है। अकई देहों जहाँ तो 2023-24 में 3,000 शिक्षा संस्थानों से 378 ऐसे शिक्षाक मिली थी। ऐसे में गंभीर मामलों में पहले से मौजूद कानूनी प्रविधानों के तहत कार्रवाई हो सकती थी और नए विधियों को ऑरिफेरेन आदि से संवेदनशील बनाया जा सकता है। फिलहाल सर्वोच्च न्यायालय ने इन नियमों को स्थगित कर दिया है, परंतु सवाल सरकार की बुद्धिमत्ता पर उठता है, जो उच्च शिक्षा के स्तर को सुधारने के बजाय विश्वविद्यालयों को सामाजिक-राजनीतिक क्षेत्रों का मैदान बनाए दे रही है।

चरिपम में खुनिवर्सिटी कैपसों को संघर्ष एवं जतिवत्त का मैदान बनाने की कल्पना तब से जरा है, जब से मानसिकविधियों का विश्वास उस संकेतार जति से उठा, जिसमें मजदूर वर्ग पूंजीवादी व्यवस्था को उखाड़ रात पर निरंतरण करता। इसके बाद सांस्कृतिक मानसिकता की परिवर्तित अवधारणा



अजीत ठाकुर

ने जतिवत्त को उन्मीद जतीवत्त, कस्तीवत्त, मजदूरी एवं रैथानिक अल्पसंख्यकों की गोलबंदी और उच्च कैमिनिज्म एवं पंचांगर आदि आयेतनों को जोड़कर बनाई। फ्रैक्चरट विश्वविद्यालय में इंस्टीट्यूट का सौशल रिचन नामक संस्थान में प्रथम विश्व दुःख के बाद इन नव-मानसिकता विचारों का जन्म हुआ, लेकिन जली शान में यह संस्थान अमेरिका के कोलंबिया विश्वविद्यालय में स्थानीयतित हो गया। अमेरिका के रैथानिक संस्थानों में पैर जमा चुके नव-मानसिकता ने विश्वविद्यालयों को जल अपन बुद्धिधन बनाया, तब इसमें कुछ भी दबे-छिपी बात नहीं थी। फ्रैक्चरट स्कूल के अतिवादी विचारक हर्बर्ट मार्कवुल ने उच्च शिक्षा संस्थानों के माध्यम से जतिवत्तरी पोतन को प्रसार कर बरालत की। इसे विचार के हिमावती रुढ़ी दुःखसे ने "लोग मार्च थू द इंस्टीट्यूट्स" नामक प्रस्ताव रखा। इन अतिवादी विचारों ने अमेरिकी अकादमिक परिदृश्य को इस तरह बदल दिया कि विश्वविद्यालयों में पारंपरिक विद्वानों के पठन-पाठन के लिए कोई जगह नहीं

है। उनमें जगहों विचारों को ही परेशान जा रहा है। समाज का विशलेषण कस्तीवत्त सामूहिक पंचानों के माध्यम से करने वाली क्रिटिकल रैस थ्योरी हर पहलू को उपायुक्त और पंडित वर्गों में बंट कर करती है।

अमेरिका के सबसे बड़े शिक्षक संघ नेशनल एजुकेशन एसोसिएशन ने उच्च शिक्षा का कि अमेरिका में होने वाली सैट परीक्षा में अनेकों के उत्तीर्ण होने की दर इसलिए खराब है, क्योंकि प्रश्नपत्र रैथानिक प्रभावपक तय करते हैं। हालुत पोथी ने इसी बेतुकी बात को आधार बनाकर कहा कि जेईई परीक्षा में दलित परीक्षार्थियों के काम अंक आने का कारण "उच्च जति" के प्राभावकों द्वारा प्रश्न पत्र तय करना है। इसी तरह अमेरिकी संस्था "द सेंट्रिंग प्रोजेक्ट" समेत अन्य बुद्धिनिधियों ने जेलों में अथवा फैलियों की बड़ी संख्या का दोषी रैथाने लोगों द्वारा बनाए गए कानून और न्याय व्यवस्था को बताया। भारत में इसी प्रतिक्रिया को परिवर्तित कर मुस्लिमों के बड़ी संख्या में जेलों में होने के लिए बहुसंख्यक राजनीति को जिम्मेदार

उधारया जल है और इस बात को विपक्षी संसदीय ने लगातार प्रश्न उठाए हैं। कई मुस्लिमों ने इन आंकड़ों को मुसलमानों के उत्पीड़न के प्रमाण के रूप में देखा किया है। ऐंझ ही आरोप दिल्ली दलों के आरोपित शास्त्रील इमाम ने भी लगाया था।

आज उच्च शिक्षा के भारतीय संस्थानों की रैथानिक भी निरंतर गिरती जा रही है। नवीनतम क्पुल्स रैथानिक में प्लेथिया का प्रदर्शन भी भारत से बेहतर है। जेएनयू में जहाजों के प्रति हिंसात्मक नारे लग रहे हैं। आहवादी दिल्ली जैसे संस्थानों में "हिंदू निहीन भारत की कल्पना" जैसे विचारों पर गोपनी अघोषित हो रही हैं। दिल्ली दलों में जेएनयू और जमिना के कई छात्र आरोपित बनाए गए थे। दो माह पहले जिस विश्वविद्यालय के डाक्टरों ने लाल किले पर बम ब्लास्ट किया था, उस अल फलज की 2018 से मान्यता समाप्त हो गई और कोई देखने वाला नहीं था। दूसरी तरफ गिरते रैथानिक स्तर और कैररों में जलत अराजकता से बेचरवाह पूंजीवी जति-जति खोलने में लग गई। दुःख की बात यह है कि एक एट्यूवारी सरकार ने नव-मानसिकता एजेंडे के सामने उस समय घुटने टेके, जब दुनिया प्रौद्योगिकी, विरोधकर एंझ की उत्तरीतर होती प्राति के कारण युग परिवर्तन के पड़ाव पर खड़ी है। ऐंझ एंझा न केवल समाज के विभिन्न वर्गों में अविरास को छाई बड़ाए, बलिष्ठ निरक्षित भारत की तत्कालीन तंत्रों से भी बाधा बनेगा।

(लेखक डॉ. अकदमी के सदस्य एवं वरिष्ठ समर्थक हैं।)

response@jagan.com

Plant Grassroots to Grow Sports



Sachin K

Sports is much about role models. Not only about talented athletes wanting to be like their heroes, but also about learning and enhancing a knowledge base from other countries. Japan is one such from which India can learn a lot in terms of developing an impactful sports ecosystem.

So, what makes Japan — only behind the US and China in its medal haul at the 2024 Paris Olympics — a sporting powerhouse? It has a robust community sports development ecosystem. Promotion of sports activities and creation of infrastructure are managed by local, municipal or district authorities. Each municipal council has its own budget derived from local levies and budgetary allocation by MEXT (ministry of education, culture, sports, science & technology). The municipal ecosystem is funded by local levies, MEXT allocations and corporate sponsorships. Japan Sports Agency which works

under MEXT, runs programmes like 'comprehensive community sports clubs' and 'subsidies for local government sports activities'. These are worth emulating for promoting sports at community level. Municipal authorities, in association with sports associations, hold regular sports promotional activities.

While India has municipal bodies, their role in sports activities is minimal. Currently, municipal councils focus on parks and maintenance. Many have begun installing public gyms. They can utilise funds under Atal Mission for Rejuvenation and Urban Transformation (AMRUT) or Smart Cities Mission to create community-level sports facilities. These initiatives will provide infrastructure to urban communities as space for playgrounds shrinks. Similar programmes can be implemented for rural areas at the panchayat level.

The men's 2024 Paris Paralympics gold medal-winning goalball team — goalball being a ball sport designed specifically for athletes with vision impairment — was present at one of the community sports promotional events organised by municipal authorities of the Tokyo suburban district of Shinjuku in association with Goalball Federation of Japan. Local school and college-going youngsters, along with



Japan's goalball from ground up

their parents, took active part. Participation of paralympians turned the event into a major goalball promotional programme.

In India, we have no shortage of sporting idols. We are among the top nations in para sports, many of our athletes being world champions. The question is whether we have impactful community development programmes where sporting stars can give back to society. GoI's Fit India Mission is gathering steam. But community initiatives, with help of sports federations and administration, can inject a huge dose of sporting awareness among youngsters.

Under the 7th Schedule of the Constitution, sports development falls under the purview of state governments. Accordingly, states are invested in multiple sports development programmes ranging from talent development, sports schools and creation of sports

infrastructure. Programmes like Karnataka's Amrita Krida Dattu Yojana, which provides ₹10 lakh to 75 athletes, Haryana's prize money scheme, and similar initiatives in other states need further recalibration. States need to focus more on community and grassroots sports development.

Support for elite athletes is reasonably funded by GoI through Target Olympic Podium Scheme (TOPS), and support to national federations. Also, NGOs and private organisations actively fund top athletes. Accordingly, states can now shift their focus from an elite-centric approach towards promoting sports to community incentive models, building infra and facilitating regular activities.

If India has to become a successful sporting nation, states must play a key role in adopting and implementing best practices. If states work in tandem with Khelo India schemes, and populate state training centres by identifying talented youngsters through community development schemes, results are bound to show. A pyramidal model supporting sportspersons from grassroots to elite level is the way to go if Mission 2047 has to be achieved.

*The writer is deputy director,
Sports Authority of India*

ETC

NOTEBOOK

From sociology to journalism: A lesson from André Béteille

Asking one's own questions and testing every claim against evidence is the purpose of both scholarship and journalism

Sandeep Phukan

The passing of André Béteille, renowned sociologist and public intellectual, reminded me of an exchange I had with him that shaped my approach to journalism in later years.

As a Master's student, I had applied for a scholarship at the Centre for Advanced Study in Sociological Research for the academic year 1997-99. Besides the head of the department, Anand Chakravarti, the panel included many other stalwarts, including Professor Béteille.

I anticipated questions on thinkers such as Émile Durkheim, Louis Dumont, and Claude Lévi-Strauss. And sure enough, Prof. Béteille asked me about Durkheim's comparative method and urged me to point out what I thought were the shortcomings of that approach.

I thought I was well prepared. I rattled off points I had picked up from commentaries on Durkheim.

"And you think Durkheim did not know this? You must always think for yourself and not just rely on what others have said about an issue," he responded.

Prof. Virginius Xaxa came to my rescue and I managed to secure the scholarship. That brief exchange stayed with me.

Both scholarship and journalism require independent judgment, not borrowed opinions. Prof. Béteille's own academic journey reveals this approach.

Born in 1934 to a Bengali mother and a French father, his growing years reflected the confluence of cultures that defines India's pluralism. Starting out as a student of Physics, he moved to Anthropology. After completing an M.Sc. in the subject from the University of Calcutta, he moved to Delhi.

Béteille did his doctoral research under the legendary M.N. Srinivas, who had set up the Department of Sociology at the Delhi School of Economics. Prof. Srinivas had given the concept of Sanskritisation to Indian sociology – the process through which castes placed low in the traditional hierarchy seek to move up by adopting

the rituals and dietary habits of those above them. Prof. Béteille made caste, often understood in terms of one's hereditary status, a dynamic concept. His book *Caste, Class and Power* (1965), based on his fieldwork in Sripuram village of Tamil Nadu's Thanjavur district, demonstrated how land ownership, political authority, and economic change reshaped caste relations. It is a classic work in understanding social stratification in the modern Indian context and continues to be a model of how empirical research can illuminate broader theoretical questions.

Prof. Béteille was a quintessential old-school professor: he wore a tweed jacket with a matching tie during Delhi winters, bush shirts in summer, and occasionally, a dhoti-kurta in the Bengali style. Walking into the classroom, he would remove his leather-strapped wristwatch and place it on the table. Then, he would recap what had been taught earlier before continuing from where he had left off. More than any other scholar of his generation, he helped establish sociology as a rigorous, empirically grounded discipline – one that was capable of engaging with India's social complexity without being captive to ideology. His work constantly reminded readers that society could not be reduced to slogans or theories alone; it had to be observed, measured, and understood in its contradictions.

His approach was not very different from what a reporter ought to do – go to the field, listen carefully, check facts, and resist the temptation to fit reality into preconceived frameworks.

Prof. Béteille took academic writing beyond esoteric circles without ever compromising on meticulous research. And his approach teaches journalists to follow academic rigour in our writings.

Reporting is not merely repeating; it is asking one's own questions and testing every claim against evidence. I have to thank my professor for instilling a fundamental principle of journalism in me.

Fake certificates: Probe must go deep

The mass resignation of at least 200 guest faculty members from government degree colleges across Karnataka is not an act of accountability; it is an attempt to slip quietly out of a widening scandal. The resignations follow a crackdown by the Higher Education Department to verify the authenticity of PhD and MPhil certificates submitted. What has emerged is a damning indictment of institutional failure. It is alleged that several candidates submitted counterfeit PhD and MPhil certificates to bypass mandatory qualifications such as the National Eligibility Test or the Karnataka State Eligibility Test. This raises a fundamental question: how were these documents accepted without due verification? It is implausible that such lapses occurred without the complicity of those handling appointments.

These candidates have not only indulged in fraud but have also snatched opportunities from those more deserving. They must not be permitted to go scot-free merely because they have stepped down. A thorough inquiry by the Criminal Investigation Department (CID) is essential to establish how fake certificates were procured, the extent of the racket, and the possible role of university and departmental officers. The most uncomfortable question must also be confronted head-on: did money exchange hands? While Commissioner for Collegiate and Technical Education Manjushree N has said that a comprehensive Standard Operating Procedure will be drawn up for future appointments, this is a classic case of locking the stable after the horse has bolted. A similar incident in 2017, which saw 40 assistant professors being debarred for submitting fake documents, should have been a wake-up call. Transparency must be central to reform. Universities should be mandated to publish, on their official websites, verified lists of PhD and MPhil degree holders. All university records relating to mark cards and degree certificates must be digitised. Such a system would not only deter fraud but also help students who have lost documents to obtain them quickly and at low cost.

The scandal points to worrying oversight and possible official complicity. Criminal accountability must be fixed

At the root of the problem is the routine appointment of temporary guest lecturers against existing permanent vacancies. This culture of ad hoc crisis management breeds shortcuts and compromises standards. The government must fill teaching posts as and when vacancies arise, instead of allowing backlogs to pile up. Guest faculty should be restricted to genuine industry experts who teach occasionally, not as substitutes for regular teachers. This episode highlights the need for a comprehensive structural overhaul of Karnataka's education system – one that replaces expediency with integrity and restores public trust through accountability, transparency, and timely governance.



D RAJA

In UGC regulations stir, a familiar story of privilege

THE OUTRAGE triggered among certain socially dominant sections against the UGC's Promotion of Equity in Higher Education Institutions Regulations, 2026 has reaffirmed B R Ambedkar's characterisation of the caste system as representing "an ascending order of reverence and a descending order of contempt". The sharp reaction to these regulations exposes the deep unease among privileged social groupings when institutional mechanisms attempt to address entrenched inequalities.

It is worth recalling that the regulations were framed to provide institutional remedies to students belonging to the SC, ST and OBC categories, as well as women and persons with disabilities. The regulations enabled such students to register complaints when they faced discrimination or ill-treatment on account of their identities. The 2026 regulations marked a significant departure from earlier guidelines by mandating the establishment of monitoring committees and introducing a non-compliance clause. These additions made implementation obligatory for universities and colleges. It is this enforceability that appears to have unsettled entrenched interests.

The regulations were framed against the backdrop of officially acknowledged data showing that complaints of caste-based discrimination in higher education

institutions rose by more than 100 per cent over the past five years.

The hostility directed at the regulations, thus, reflects not exclusion but resistance to constitutional values of justice and equality. The agitation mounted by sections of the upper castes fits squarely within Ambedkar's analysis of caste as a system that protects privilege while normalising contempt for those placed lower in the hierarchy.

Indian history offers ample evidence of how caste-based contempt survives across time and social status. In his address titled "My Plan of Campaign", Swami Vivekananda recalled being told that he had no right to become a Sannyasi because he was considered a Shudra by birth. Responding with characteristic clarity, Vivekananda said, "I am not at all hurt if they call me a Shudra," adding that it would be "a little reparation for the tyranny of my ancestors over the poor". Similarly, when K R Narayanan was elected President in 1997, sections of the media asked him how it felt to be the

"first Dalit President," even though caste played no role in his elevation to the highest constitutional office. His response — "A President is a President" — exposed the prejudice embedded in the question itself.

More recently, the incident involving former Chief Justice B R Gavai revealed how caste-based contempt continues to be ex-

pressed brazenly, irrespective of constitutional position. An advocate hurled a shoe at him in court while shouting, "*Sanatan Dharm ka apman nahin sahega Hindustan*." Disturbingly, this act was celebrated within sections of the Hindutva ecosystem.

The agitation against the UGC Equity Regulations exposes the hollowness of Hindutva's claim to be a unifying force transcending caste. Ambedkar warned that caste is not merely a division of labour but a division of labourers — a system of graded inequality. Hindutva, far from annihilating caste, reproduces this graded hierarchy by freezing social relations into rigid, unequal structures. It envisions not a casteless Hindu society, but one permanently organised around inherited privilege and subordination.

While the UGC must also bear responsibility for ignoring several recommendations of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Education — particularly those emphasising wider consultation and clearer safeguards — the central issue remains political. Hindutva stands exposed as an ideology that breeds inequality, not only between religious communities but also within Hindu society. As Ambedkar repeatedly argued, caste is anti-national, and resistance to measures aimed at annihilating caste and upholding equity amounts to resistance to the constitutional vision of India.

The writer is general secretary,
Communist Party of India

2415

The sharp reaction to these regulations exposes the deep unease among privileged social groupings when institutional mechanisms attempt to address entrenched inequalities

Creamy layer quota

SC's nudge to Centre merits relook

THE Supreme Court's recent decision to seek the Centre's stand on excluding the creamy layer from Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe reservation benefits has reopened a debate that India has long deferred. By asking the Union government to clarify its position, the SC has signalled that affirmative action cannot remain frozen in doctrine when social realities evolve. At the heart of the issue lies a tension between constitutional intent and policy design. Reservations for SCs and STs were conceived as a remedy for historical exclusion rooted in caste-based discrimination, not merely economic deprivation. This is why the creamy layer principle, firmly embedded in OBC reservations, has been kept out of SC/ST quotas. The concern has been that introducing income or status filters risks diluting protections meant to counter entrenched social stigma.

Yet the counter-argument has gained force. Over decades, reservation benefits have not been evenly distributed within SC and ST communities. A relatively small, better-off segment, often with access to education, networks and secure employment, tends to corner opportunities, while the most marginalised remain stuck at the bottom. The court's recent openness to sub-classification within the SC/ST groups reflects an acknowledgement that equality of opportunity requires finer targeting.

The onus now is on the Centre to move beyond reflexive positions. Simply asserting that the Constitution does not envisage a creamy layer for SCs and STs avoids the harder question: how to ensure that reservation benefits reach those who need them most. At the same time, any move to introduce exclusion criteria must be grounded in robust data and social context, not borrowed wholesale from the OBC framework. Caste disadvantage does not disappear with income alone and policy must recognise this distinction. The debate, therefore, should not be framed as inclusion versus exclusion, but as refinement versus stagnation. ✕→/8

THE GREAT INDIAN EXAM MARATHON

RIDISHA GHOSH

Every year, a spectacular scene unfolds across Indian cities, towns, and village students leaving their homes well before dawn with revision notes wrapped in highlighters and sticky flags. Young brains are already deeply engaged in processing algebraic identities, chemical equations, and historical narratives, even as the winter chill persists and fog covers their platforms. This is the time of year for the board exams, which represent both an intellectual and an emotional struggle.

For millions of students in Classes X and XII, board examinations are more than just a series of tests; they are significant occasions that carry a lot of social, familial, and personal expectations. It is a season both of reveal and of fear, when aspirations collide with exhaustion in the silent hours of the night.

The season of stakes

India's board exams span multiple educational boards – central, state and independent – each conducting assessments for Classes X and XII. While the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) alone has over 42 lakh students appearing for Class X and XII exams, when we combine figures from all state boards, ICSE, CBSE, and other recognised boards, more than one crore students take part across India each year in secondary and higher secondary board examinations.

Behind each number is a student putting his or her all in an examination hall, a teacher offering last-minute assistance, and a parent striking a balance between pride and anxiety. These statistics offer compelling context. The common rhythm of the board season unites young students from distant towns, exam centres in the busy hallways of metropolitan schools.

Usha Ganguly of Our Lady Queen of the Mission School, Salt Lake, goes up for her first ever board examination. "I'm used to missing home stress, I've started managing it like a calendar entry. My strategy is simple: light planning, focused study hours, and strictly guarded sleep. When things get

overwhelming, I step back for a deep breath – not a walk or a playlist – rather than pushing through the noise. I've realised that while stress might be present, it doesn't get to drive the car. I'm putting in the work, trusting the process, and finally focusing on the path ahead rather than the finish line," she cheerfully says about confidence in her tone.

The pressure cooker of marks and meaning

Sampati Mishra, who is appearing for her higher secondary board examinations this year, tries to keep calm and dodge any sort of mental strain. "For a humanities student juggling the heavyweight trio of CLAT, AILET, and MAT, the week before Board exams should be a time of focused calm. Instead, mock test results have been dropping, the digital depth charges, shattering my confidence. To add salt to my anxiety, I've traded my smartphone for a basic button phone – severing the cord to 24/7 social threats and endless chat notifications. For me, ISC stands for 'I'm Super Calm!'; she chirps in.

For the majority of students, board exams are closely related to their future academic path and involve more than just memorising answers. Eligibility for competitive exams such as the Joint Entrance Examination (JEE), National Eligibility cum Entrance Test (NEET), and other university entrances can be influenced by high board scores. They also influence a student's confidence and sense of self. The pressure to perform can occasionally feel unbearable because social media magnifies success stories and the paths of toppers.

"I'm usually looking for a way to take a break, as studying can become very monotonous. When ever I have the time, I go out for a walk, even if it means going alone. It helps to clear my head and improves my focus," confides Arpit Hattarharia of class XII.

Students frequently talk about feeling pressured by their peers as well as by their parents, teachers, and schools – sometimes unknowingly – contribute to an environment where every percentage point feels crucial. It is understandable why exam season can cause stress that goes well beyond textbooks, restless nights,

and persistent self-doubt.

The endless loop: Notes, tests and repeat

"Did you revise this question paper? What about the variants?" "Have you gone through the last five years' papers?" "Make sure you can write long answers without looking time." Students hear these phrases repeatedly, and during exam months, revision almost becomes a way of life. Group study sessions, topic-specific checklists, structured schedules, and late-night sessions take the shape of a timetable. Although such undertones demonstrate commitment, the monotony frequently turns into fatigue. Instead of grasping more complex ideas, students may become stuck in a cycle of practice exams where they attempt to predict questions.

This cycle of self-evaluation, revision, and assessment can be mentally exhausting. Feeling unprepared for a particular subject can cause a student to feel out of control, which in turn poses a threat to anxiety.

"With boards around the corner, that familiar pre-exam flutter has definitely set in. To stay grounded, I've anchored myself in a strict routine: diving deep into my NCERT chapters, engaging in discussions with my tutors and teachers who are supportive enough to keep up with my endless doubts and tackling question banks one page at a time. It's a marathon of focus, occasionally fuelled by a few extra sweets to keep the stress at bay," added Jadhav of class XII, Narayana School, Bhubaneswar.

Why psychological support can be a game-changer

And this marathon, psychological support is no longer an option – it's a necessity. The significance of mental health during exam seasons is being emphasised by experts repeatedly with vital importance. Parents and schools need to understand that burnout, sleep issues, and a sense of powerlessness can result from ongoing stress without any real break in it.

Riya Das Chakraborty, a certified life coach and counsellor trained in counselling psychology, approaches this situation with their concerns. "The transition from situational stress to chronic anxiety is often fuelled by internalised tension and heightened parental expectations. Resilience is found in the A-Cs – Commitment, Challenge, and Control – underpinned by a radical acceptance of our current reality. While journaling and music offer therapeutic relief, persistent anxiety requires professional diagnosis and a shift toward prioritising individuals over their performance. 'Loghachal' (Ultrachal), mental well-being flourishes when we choose compassion over the weight of imposed status."

And...

Counsellors can offer secure environments where students can refine their perspectives and communicate their anxieties. Stress can be considerably decreased by using feasible tactics like time management, deep breathing exercises, or brief breaks in between study sessions. Anxiety can be transformed into focus when mindfulness is incorporated into regular revision cycles. Teachers and older students can teach younger students to set realistic goals instead of striving for perfection.

Board exams are undeniably significant – demanding, competitive, and defining on many levels. However, it is crucial that these children remember that knowledge is not limited to grade sheets. Compassion, resilience, empathy, and the courage to learn from setbacks are equally valuable outcomes of education.



AI India, Get Fast & Furious



Nishant Sahdev

Chapel Hill, North Carolina: Last week, the US Department of Energy announced 26 'science and technology challenges of national importance' to advance the Genesis Mission, an initiative launched last November by the US to accelerate scientific research through AI. More than this being about using AI to speed up research, it's about letting machines build how science is imagined, tested and advanced, reducing years of discovery into weeks. When the pace of science changes, the balance of global power changes with it.

What Washington announced last week was not another AI policy. It was a declaration that speed of scientific discovery has itself become a strategic asset. New Delhi should heed this. As it hosts AI Impact Summit 2026, it needs to confront a harder truth: when it comes to infrastructure that determines how discovery actually happens — how quickly ideas are tested, validated and scaled — India remains anchored to a 20th c. institutional model.

Genesis Mission's most radical idea is also its simplest: science is a system that can be accelerated, not by individual brilliance alone, but by computation, automation and integration. AI systems will design experiments, optimise energy grids, digitise nuclear data, accelerate growth of materials discovery and reduce years of trial and error into weeks. The explicit goal is to make parts of scientific discovery 20x-100x faster. Historically, nations competed on who discovered more. Now, they will compete on who discovers faster. In such a world, countries that move slowly will not just lag, but will also become dependent.

India's scientific apparatus is not designed for speed. Its research ecosystem is fragmented across ministries, councils, autonomous institutes, public sector



India speaks of AI in governance, startups, ethics, skilling, policy, but rarely as core national scientific infra on par with power grids and highways



You have to take that jump now

labs and universities. Data standards are inconsistent. Collaboration depends more on personal networks than shared infrastructure. Funding cycles are slow, compliance-heavy and risk-averse.

In 2023-24, India's total public R&D spending hovered around 0.65-0.7% of GDP. China spends about 2.4%, the US around 3.4%. More tellingly, a fraction of US R&D is concentrated in mission-oriented, high-performance computing. India's is dispersed thinly across thousands of institutions, many of them digitally underpowered.

India speaks of AI in governance, startups, ethics, skilling, policy. But rarely does it treat AI as core national scientific infrastructure on par with power grids, highways, or space launch facilities.

The US Genesis Mission does exactly that. It integrates supercomputers, national labs, datasets, sensors, experimental facilities and AI models into a single discovery ecosystem. This is not about apps or chatbots. It is about putting intelligence into the physical sciences themselves.

When AI systems trained on decades of national data begin proposing new materials, reactor designs, or quantum algorithms, sovereignty changes its room. It moves from labs



to models, computing and data governance. That's why Genesis sits inside Department of Energy, not at the university consortium. Energy, defence, discovery and AI are treated as a single strategic continuum.

India collaborates extensively with global research networks. This openness is a strength. But collaboration without indigenous AI-science capacity risks a new asymmetry: India supplying talent and data while others control the discovery engines. One of the most disruptive elements of Genesis is the push toward autonomous labs — systems where experiments are run, adjusted and iterated by machines with minimal human intervention. This requires robotics, high-quality sensors, real-time data pipelines, reproducibility standards and massive computing power.

Across universities and public research institutions in India, data is still stored in incompatible formats, experimental protocols vary widely, and digitisation remains patchy. Many labs struggle with basic instrumentation uptime, let alone autonomy. Before debating whether autonomous labs threaten jobs or creativity, we must confront whether India's scientific institutions are machine-legible.

There is a further irony in India's AI ambitions. AI acceleration consumes enormous energy. Large-scale AI models, supercomputers and autonomous labs demand reliable, high-capacity power. The US anchors its AI-science strategy explicitly in energy

planning because it understands this coupling. India does not.

India's peak power demand crossed 250 GW in 2024, with shortages still routine in several states. Data centres already consume 3-4% of national electricity, a figure expected to rise sharply. Yet, AI strategies and energy planning are rarely discussed in the same breath. You can't build an AI-driven scientific economy on an energy system designed for yesterday.

Genesis also forces a rethink of scientific education. If AI systems increasingly design experiments and analyse data, what does a scientist trained only in traditional methods look like in 2035? India produces vast numbers of science graduates. But curricula often lag frontier practice by a decade or more. Systems thinking, computation-first experimentation and AI-guided modelling remain peripheral in many institutions.

Without reform, India risks training excellent scientists for a world that no longer exists. India does not need to replicate the US Genesis Mission. Its priorities differ. Its constraints are real. But ignoring the shift it represents would be strategic negligence. At the least, India needs a national debate on three hard questions:

1. Should India build an AI-science platform connecting

AI systems will design experiments, optimise energy grids, digitise nuclear data, accelerate growth of materials discovery and reduce years of trial and error into weeks

IISc, IITs, national labs, strategic agencies and industry into a shared computational and data backbone?

2. How does India ensure scientific sovereignty in an era where discovery emerges from AI systems trained on national data?

3. Is its funding, institutional design and education system prepared for machine-accelerated science?

The US has decided that the future of science will be faster, and that speed itself will be weaponised. India can choose to respond. Or it can continue organising committees while discovery still accelerates elsewhere.

The writer is a physicist, University of North Carolina, US



Bridging a divide with an 'Indian Scientific Service'

India's post-Independence service rules were designed to ensure stability through generalist administrators – an approach that was essential for nation-building. However, governance has since become increasingly shaped by science, technology, and environmental challenges. As scientists joined government service, they remained governed by rules created for a different era. This mismatch has limited the effective integration of scientific expertise into policymaking. Unlike many advanced countries with dedicated scientific cadres, India lacks a specialised framework for scientific governance, making the case for separate scientific service rules increasingly compelling.

A paradox – administrator and scientist
Civil services recruitment is highly competitive, reflecting the rigour of the administrative system. Scientific careers, however, follow an equally demanding but different path – drawing from a smaller, highly specialised pool shaped by years of advanced education, research and peer review rather than a single examination. Within government, administrators receive structured training aligned with governance roles, while scientists are often placed in diverse technical portfolios without comparable frameworks for role-specific training, career progression, or clear alignment of authority and professional safeguards.

Scientific inputs in policymaking are often commissioned for immediate needs – such as legal cases or regulatory decisions – making research time-bound and narrow. A stronger approach would support continuous, long-term research that anticipates emerging challenges, allowing decisions to be guided by evidence and foresight rather than urgency.

Until science becomes a regular partner in governance rather than a reactive tool, its full potential to improve policy and public trust will remain underused. Thus, most scientific research is not specifically designed to improve the effectiveness of existing policies or to meet the future needs of countries in shaping policy change.

As India's responsibilities expanded into technically intensive sectors, environmental protection, climate change, oceans and coasts, public health, disaster management, nuclear safety, biotechnology, space science, and artificial intelligence, scientists became indispensable to government functioning.

Yet, instead of creating a distinct institutional framework that was suited to scientific work, scientists were largely absorbed into the existing administrative systems. They continue to be governed by conduct rules, appraisal mechanisms, and hierarchies that were originally



P. Ragavan

is a coastal ecosystem researcher with 15 years of research and field expertise on mangroves and seagrass.

A dedicated scientific cadre is needed to strengthen governance as science, technology and environmental challenges become central

designed for general administrative functions. Over time, this has limited the ability of scientists to exercise their professional role fully within governance structures. While organisations such as the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, the Indian Council of Agricultural Research and a few others have separate rules for recruitment, assessment, and promotion, they continue to be bound by the Central Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964, a framework designed primarily for administrative governance rather than scientific independence.

Administrative rules are not neutral
Service rules shape behaviour and culture. While civil service rules stress discipline and neutrality, scientific work requires questioning assumptions and presenting evidence even when it challenges policy. Without frameworks that accommodate this, scientific inputs remain advisory rather than fully integrated into decision-making.

Scientific progress depends on continuous inquiry, testing of evidence, and honest assessment of risks and uncertainties. In governance, this translates into the ability to flag ecological risks, technological limitations, or long-term consequences in a transparent manner. When scientists are unable to formally record or communicate such assessments within institutional processes, their role risks becoming symbolic rather than substantive. Science that cannot question policy is not science. It is a decoration. Effective governance requires mechanisms that allow scientific assessments to be placed on record, even while final policy choices remain with elected authorities.

Many countries, which includes France, Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States have created distinct scientific cadres within government, with tailored service rules, career paths, and professional protections. These systems strengthen governance by ensuring transparent, independent scientific input into policymaking. For example, U.S. Scientific Integrity Policies protect scientists from political interference, require transparent documentation of advice, and prevent suppression or alteration of research findings, ensuring that policies are guided by credible evidence rather than political convenience.

India's situation is distinctive. Despite strong scientific institutions and highly trained professionals, government scientists often have limited institutional authority relative to their expertise. Their inputs may not always carry formal weight in decision-making processes, particularly in technically complex sectors. This can result in cautious communication, limited documentation of uncertainty, and an over-reliance on science during crises rather than as a continuous input into policy formulation. A

governance system that does not fully utilise its scientific capacity risks long-term policy weaknesses. India's aspirations, to be a leader in climate action, environmental stewardship, public health, and technology, require institutions that value scientific evidence alongside administrative efficiency. What is needed is not additional committees or ad-hoc advisory bodies, but structural reform that clearly defines the role of scientists within governance and provides appropriate institutional safeguards.

The creation of an Indian scientific services, or ISS, offers a constructive way forward. The ISS could function as a permanent, all-India scientific cadre working alongside existing civil services. Scientists would be recruited through rigorous national-level selection and peer evaluation and placed within ministries and regulatory institutions as integral participants in decision-making. Separate scientific service rules would protect professional integrity, enable transparent recording of scientific assessments, and clarify the distinction between scientific advice and policy decisions. The ISS is not intended to replace administrative systems, but to complement them. Administrators ensure coordination and execution; scientists contribute evidence, risk assessment, and long-term perspective.

A potential framework

A possible structure for an ISS could include specialised cadres such as the Indian Environmental and Ecological Service, Indian Climate and Atmospheric Service, Indian Water and Hydrological Service, Indian Marine and Ocean Services, Indian Public Health and Biomedical Service, Indian Disaster Risk and Resilience Service, Indian Energy and Resources Service, Indian Science and Technology Policy Service, Indian Agricultural and Food Systems Service, and Indian Regulatory Science Service.

India has built strong scientific institutions. The next step is to integrate scientific expertise more directly into governance structures. The need for an ISS is no longer theoretical. It is a practical and timely reform to strengthen evidence-based policymaking and build more resilient governance for the future.

Under the current political leadership, India is steadily moving beyond its colonial legacy and building a confident new India. In this spirit, an ISS would be a forward-looking reform – much like the transformation of the Indian Civil Service after Independence – strengthening a science-driven administrative system that is aligned with India's national aspirations and global ambitions.

Small Text Section

A higher education in India becomes more flexible and multidisciplinary, the continued requirement of the Equivalency Certificate – that students need to submit when applying at different universities – remains a barrier to student mobility.

What initially appears to be a simple academic verification has, over time, transformed into a complex bureaucratic process.

At its core, the system is meant to ensure that a student's prior degree meets the receiving university's academic standards. However, without a national policy and a clear mechanism, universities have started to see equivalency as a gatekeeping tool rather than a standardisation process. This has led to confusion, inconsistency, and, more worryingly, systemic exclusion.

Long process

To begin with, securing equivalency requires students to gather an exhaustive set of documents: detailed syllabi for every semester, course scheme and regulations, the programme structure and duration, mode of examination and even evidence such as the institution's NAAC grade and NIRF ranking.

The university's Registrar must authenticate these, a process that can take weeks. After these documents are submitted,

their application moves through different layers of scrutiny, typically beginning with the relevant Board of Studies and ending with the Academic Council.

This long process does not guarantee approval. Many universities abide by an unwritten rule that the syllabus submitted must match at least 80% of their own. This has no basis in the University Grants Commission's regulations, yet is widely and rigidly enforced.

It is also deeply flawed because no two universities design their programmes

with uniformity in mind. Autonomous colleges, state universities, and institutions across different States develop their syllabi independently based on regional needs, faculty expertise, and institutional priorities. Expecting a significant percentage of similarity between such diverse curricular frameworks is unrealistic.

Double and triple majors

The situation becomes even more complicated when students graduate from institutions offering double-major or triple-major

degrees. These programmes have expanded steadily in India over the last decade, long before the introduction of the four-Year Undergraduate Programme. Their purpose is to broaden learning and enable students to pursue postgraduate studies in any one of their major subjects.

But when students apply for higher studies elsewhere, they are forced to prove equivalency in one of the subjects they studied, even when the postgraduate programme does not require subject-specific eligibility.

A student with a triple-

Equivalency has become a gatekeeping tool rather than a standardisation process leading to confusion, inconsistency, and systemic exclusion

The equivalency trap

According to the regulations, a graduate with the required marks and performance in the entrance examination was eligible, regardless of the subjects studied at the undergraduate level. The student met these requirements and qualified through the entrance test.

However, because he had studied three majors, the institute asked him to secure equivalency in at least one. After reviewing his syllabi, he found that there was the closest overlap and applied for equivalency in that subject. Unfortunately, the board decided that what he had studied at Karnataka did not align sufficiently with what was taught in Kerala and rejected the request. As a result, he was denied admission, despite having having no relevance to the criteria.

Thus, equivalency became a procedural hurdle that blocked a student who had already demonstrated his merit.

Need for one policy

Cases such as these are not anomalies. With more than four crore students enrolled in India's higher education institutions and increasing numbers choosing to pursue degrees outside their home States, the demand for equivalency certificates is rising sharply.

India needs a transparent national policy on equivalency to support student mobility and prevent universities from enforcing arbitrary criteria. This must abandon outdated subjective equivalency requirements for postgraduate programmes that already accept graduates from any discipline.

It should also recognise

multidisciplinary degrees without forcing students to approach unrelated Boards of Studies and shift the focus from syllabus matching to outcome-based measures that reflect modern academic standards.

The country is at a pivotal moment in its educational reform.

While the National Education Policy promotes flexibility, interdisciplinarity, and student-centred learning, the current equivalency system remains one of the most regressive elements of university administration.

Views expressed are personal.

The writer is a Professor of English and Dean of Studies, Bharatiya College of Advanced Studies International, Thrissur, Kerala. Email: anandkumar@gmail.com

W/PT

The new knowledge world: Seven signals from the artificial intelligence seismograph

Two years of mass AI adoption are producing evidence the India AI Impact Summit's 'Seven chakras' framework must also heed

Binayak Dasgupta

binayakdasgupta@hinet.com

Four people in a Boston lab, wired to 8800 machines, writing essays over four months. Three groups: one using ChatGPT, one using a search engine, one using nothing but their own minds. The MIT Media Lab study, published in June 2023, found that LLM users displayed the weakest levels of creativity of any group — up to 50% lower cognitive engagement than those working unaided. Over four months, the deficit compounded. When ChatGPT users were instructed to work without AI, the reduced connectivity persisted and they struggled to avoid their own arguments. The researchers called it "cognitive debt".

India is now one of the leading proponents in terms of generative AI uses. It plans AI curriculum for young schoolchildren, has allocated hundreds of crores for centres of excellence, and, starting on Monday, launches the first major AI summit convened by the Global South. Organised around seven "viewpoints" spanning inclusion, governance, science, and economic growth, the summit will deliberate on AI as a man-made who gets it, how much, how fast, if it may be the most important near-term agenda for developing country set points.

But two years into mass LLM adoption, early evidence is accumulating — from neuroscience labs, labour markets, election forecasts, and cultural production — that AI does something beyond what you point at. It begins to reshape the conditions under which thinking, creating, governing, and competing take place. These signals are preliminary. But they view from enough independent domains, with enough internal coherence, to demand any deliberator's attention.

Cognition

The MIT finding did not stand alone. A 686-page report published in M451 Sciences in January 2023 found frequent AI use correlated significantly lower on critical reasoning assessments, with 17- to 25-year-olds showing the steepest decline. Andropoulos's own internal data revealed nearly half of students asked to Claude model for direct answers, bypassing the productive struggle that builds understanding.

A quasi-experimental study of 240 participants in Thailand probes the other way: an AI-suggested pedagogy that suggested lower-order writing tasks to AI tools, freeing the domain for analysis and reflection, actually improved critical thinking scores. The difference was incremental, but clear.

But known matter for India. Union education minister, Manu Singh Prasad, said this past week that AI will become powerful two-edged sword starting from the third grade.



— not only as a subject, but as a research theme and communication strategy. The cognitive science suggests a paradox: the summit's Human Capital chairs will need to address the more eagerly a nation adopts AI in classrooms, the greater the risk of producing a generation fluent in accessing answers but incapable of developing understanding — unless the pedagogy is designed to prevent exactly this.

Culture

What happens inside one head is the cognition question. What happens when millions of heads are nudged in the same direction is the culture question.

A Science Advances study by Israeli and Harvard found generative AI models induced a cultural shift in group-level decisions. Every two conversations on similar AI-suggested ideas, a CHI 2023 study sharpened this for the Global South: in a controlled experiment with 181 participants from India and the US, AI writing suggestions led Indian participants to adopt Western ethical norms. Descriptions of Divali lost their religious context; food descriptions became contextualised for a Western palate.

The flattening of culture is compounded by the collapse of the open information ecosystem. Sixty-nine per cent of Google searches now end without a click in any region, up from 25% five years ago. Organic traffic, like original creative, erodes — on YouTube, Instagram, discussion forums — has plummeted.

India's counter-industry may be its most original AI contribution globally. Bhaskar, built over 250 AI models in 22 languages, trained on texts that are culturally and contextually Indian. BharatGPT, the IIT Bombay-led consortium with 1980 crore in funding, is developing a multilingual LLM for all 22 scheduled languages. That dialogue could build water power; but how AI influences culture will still need to be closely understood.

Sovereignty

India's IndiaAI Mission budget — ₹10,372 crore over five years — is roughly what OpenAI spends in six months. When the US launched the Part 100 mission home summit in December 2023, India was initially absent — invited only in February 2024 after the coalition drew attention. The episode revealed what the summit's Democratizing AI Resources chair, has not fully confirmed: India is not a talent and market access, not as a critical node — a weakness that has meant that India relies increasingly on foreign hardware and software (including models). The reality has no domestic advanced GPU production, and most Indian professionals use AI tools built entirely on foreign models and foreign compute.

A helpful counter signal lies in China's DeepSeek, which is a trained frontier-modeling model for a diluted 66 families — a lineage of comparable western models. AI Berkeley researchers have also indicated comparable reasoning for 850 Indian text efforts such as Sarvam AI, which is building

a 70-billion-parameter reasoning model on government-leased NVIDIA H100 GPUs, but to achieve technological sovereignty — not merely declare it — India needs fabrication capacity, compute independence, and sustained investment in a node to current funding does not approach.

Labour

In 2008, AI researcher Hans Moravec observed that computers find cognitive tasks far easier than physical ones — a skill-level chess is simple; a toddler's motor skills are nearly impossible to replicate. That paradox is now asserting itself with unexpected economic force. Google delayed data centre builds not for lack of chips but for lack of physical labour. PwC CEO warned AI could halve white-collar employment while creating mass demand for the workers who build and maintain AI infrastructure. Columbia Business School research found people valued identical artworks 62% higher when labelled "human-made".

India's story diverges from this template. With over 400 million informal workers — street vendors, domestic workers, small farmers — the real test is whether AI reaches the people furthest from the keyboard. But the cognitive side of Moravec's paradox is still too new to India's most globally prominent sector: the IT services. Last week, the Nifty IT index fell 30.5% in late September 2023 peak while TCS reported a net reduction of 32,361 employees in nine months. The manifestation of the Moravec paradox is one of the strongest signals that needs attention.

Democracy

The 2024 super-election cycle — 2.7 billion eligible voters across 72 countries — delivered a surprise. The anticipated AI apocalypse did not materialise. The News Literacy Project found that traditional "disinformation" were used seven times more often than AI-generated content in the US election. Meta reported AI content represented less than 1% of fact-checked misinformation.

The disinformation proved subtle. Law professor's Bobby Chesney and Danielle Citron identified it year ago as what they wrote to be the "Tulsa Dividend": as disinformation awareness grows, synthetic evidence gets discredited as synthetic. The disinformation threat may not primarily be that false things spread faster — it is that true things stay slower.

The scale of synthetic media is nonetheless staggering — eight million deepfakes in circulation in 2023, up from 300,000 in 2021. Over the Christmas week of 2023, Meta's Greek chatbot generated more conversational word-level images at an estimated 7,700 per hour; an AI Forensics analysis found 85% of the subjects were women, often appearing to be women. India faces acute vulnerability: over 600 million internet users, 22 languages where unaided content moderation barely functions, and WhatsApp — exposed to external face-checking by design — as the dominant channel for political communication.

Discovery

DeepMind's AlphaFold has predicted over 200 million protein structures, been used by over a million scientists across 200 countries, and won the 2024 Nobel Prize — a genuine demonstration of scientific capability. Truly available. FDA's AI Global Forecast System produces 16-day weather forecasts using 50% of the computing resources of traditional methods. Over 100 AI-developed compounds are now in clinical pipelines, with early-stage success rates roughly double conventional approaches.

But the distributional question looms. Ninety per cent of usable AI models now come from industry, up from 60% in 2021. US gross AI investment — \$129 billion in 2024 — is 12 times China's and 20 times the UK's. The tools that emerged from open research are proprietary. The capacity to build new ones is concentrating. For India, whose scientific establishment relies heavily on open-access models, the trajectory matters more than the snapshot.

Governance

The EU wrote the world's first comprehensive AI law and within nine months began selecting its own rules — capital was fleeing to jurisdictions that did not regulate. The US scrapped safeguards entirely, and the costs borne as individuals' deepfakes fraud caused over \$2 billion in losses in nine months in 2023. No jurisdiction has demonstrated a governance model that works at the speed of discovery.

India's task is deliberate: a voluntary, principles-based activity that routes enforcement through existing accountability regimes rather than new statute. Given the EU's experience, there is a reasonable case that waiting is preferable, not inaction.

But the recent evidence reveals a significant vulnerability, and it is not about industry, in India. The State is the country's single most consequential AI deployer — across health care, agriculture, welfare, education, law enforcement, and content regulation. Yet the government's framework does not address this. The voluntary guidelines, the proposed institutions, the transparency reporting — all are designed for industry. There is no public right of state AI use. No independent audit of level of recognition deployment. No accountability mechanisms for discretionary welfare decisions.

To be sure, this sovereignty is not uniquely Indian, but India's Digital Public Infrastructure stack across the state's AI footprint on 1.4 billion citizens is larger than in any other democracy. A summit founded around democratizing AI gains credibility precisely by reckoning with this tension.

The summit's seven domains are the right agenda for the answer question — who gets AI, how much, how fast. But the early readings from the AI seismograph are registering something the researchers themselves do not yet capture: what AI does to those who turn it to cognition, to culture, to identity, to the relationship between State and its citizens.

ILLUSTRATION: ANUJ GUPTA

From ranking to readiness: A new vision for the higher education



**VANI
AGGARWAL**

In the competitive world of global higher education, the race for the top spot in league tables has become an obsession for universities and governments alike. From the global QS and Times Higher Education (THE) rankings to India's National Institutional Ranking Framework (NIRF), these metrics are often seen as the ultimate verdict on an institution's quality. However, a growing chorus of scholars and policymakers is raising a critical question: Do these rankings tell us how good a university is today, or how prepared it is for tomorrow? There is a need to adopt a new framework that could measure the future preparedness of higher educational institutions. A new conceptual framework, titled Performance & Readiness Aligned Vision for Institutional Development (PRAVID), argues that we have been looking at institutional success through a rear-view mirror. While traditional rankings measure "how good institutions are" based on historical data, the PRAVID model seeks to measure "where institutions are headed". This diagnostic policy tool is designed to help governments and leaders distinguish between current delivery and future direction, moving beyond the high-stakes pressure of a single league-table ranking.

The Trap of Historical Success

The literature suggests that existing evaluation frameworks—including national rankings, quality assurance systems like NAAC, and accreditation standards—primarily reward legacy strength rather than adaptive potential. These instruments often privilege historical performance, reputational capital, and quantifiable outputs such as research counts and graduate employment rates. The problem with this approach is that rankings are inherently retrospective. They capture what an institution has already achieved, often at the expense of its long-term strategic capacity or mission diversity. As a result, many "top-tier" universities may be coasting on their historical reputation while failing to adapt to the seismic shifts of digital transformation, changing labor markets, and the need for lifelong learning.

The PRAVID Matrix

To solve this "conceptual blind spot," the PRAVID framework proposes a two-axis diagnostic matrix. Rather than collapsing all data into a single score, it separates Institutional Performance and Outcomes (the Y-axis) from Future Readiness and

Educational Vision (the X-axis).

The Institutional Performance axis measures realised outcomes such as teaching quality, student retention, and research impact. This reflects how well an institution is functioning under current conditions. Conversely, the Future Readiness axis captures latent capabilities—the institution's strategic intent and its ability to adapt. This includes curriculum agility, digital pedagogical innovation, and engagement with industry and global ecosystems. By analysing these two dimensions together, the framework identifies four distinct institutional archetypes, each requiring a different policy approach.

The Four Archetypes of Higher Education

The PRAVID framework categorises institutions into quadrants that offer a more nuanced look at their health and trajectory:

1. **Future Leaders (High Performance/ High Readiness):** These are the "system anchors". They demonstrate strong current outcomes while proactively innovating for the future. They balance stability with agility, making them prime candidates for innovation scaling.
2. **Established Strengths (High Performance/ Low Readiness):** These institutions are often the "darlings" of traditional rankings but face a significant "legacy trap". While they currently produce solid results, they may be risk-averse or slow to modernize their curricula. For these schools, the PRAVID framework serves as a warning, prompting them to invest in renewal.
3. **Emerging Transformers (Low Performance/ High Readiness):** Often newer or reform-oriented institutions, these schools have a clear vision and high digital capacity but have not yet seen these efforts translate into traditional outcome metrics.
4. **Foundational Focus (Low Performance / Low Readiness):** These institutions struggle with both current delivery and future planning, often due to resource scarcity or governance challenges.

Why This Matters for Public Policy

For years, policymakers have relied on "one-size-fits-all" evaluation tools to inform funding and reform initiatives. However, when performance and readiness are conflated, the resulting policy interventions are often ill-suited to an institution's actual needs. The PRAVID framework offers a more diagnostic and non-punitive logic. By treating future readiness as a distinct and measurable dimension, the PRAVID framework may finally provide the lens we need to see not just where our universities have been, but where they are going.

The writer is Senior Assistant Professor, SOIL School of Business Design

dailypioneer
@TheDailyPioneer
dailypioneer

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865

249

Open It Up and Reap Rewards



Mark Surman & Raffi Krikorian

Berlin: The global AI industry is dominated by a handful of hyperscalers offering proprietary models. Unsurprisingly, the value proposition is compelling. A single provider handles the model, hosting, guard rails and billing. For a developer or enterprise looking to ship quickly, the path of least resistance runs through these closed systems, which explains their dominance.

But this dominance stands in the way of economic growth and digital sovereignty. Closed models limit flexibility, and there are contextual nuances, languages, guard rails and customisations that proprietary systems will never accommodate. In a world that has grown more polarised and protectionist, and as technology platforms are increasingly enlisted as instruments of state policy, coding digital sovereignty and building critical infrastructure on systems you don't own pose significant risks.

Open source AI offers an alternative. A November 2023 paper, 'The Lasting Role of Open Models in the AI Economy' by MIT's Frank Nagle and Georgia Institute of Technology's Daniel Yue, found that open source models routinely achieve 90%, or more of the performance of proprietary systems, while costing on average 6x less in run. Open models now catch up to the performance of closed models within 3-4 weeks of release, and that gap is shrinking.

Nagle and Yue estimate \$24.8 bn in unrealised savings if organisations switched to open AI models that already outperform many proprietary systems they are using. The study argues that businesses are overspending on closed AI platforms, even though high-performing open models could deliver similar or better results at a significantly lower cost.

The value proposition has led to a rapid expansion within the open-source AI ecosystem. While Chinese firms dominate the rankings with Kimi, DeepSeek and Qwen, the rest of the world is vying to compete in the same market. Google and OpenAI, long associated with closed systems, have begun releasing open-weight models of their own, while Mistral and Meta continue to double down on their investments in open-source models.

Innovators and startups are beginning to build pieces of the open-source AI stack as well, from federated learning infrastructure like Flower AI to smaller, smarter models like Adaption, to training infrastructure like Oumi and Transformer Lab, to production-ready machine learning tools like Probati. This early momentum is encouraging for respon-

sible open source AI enterprises.

India is no stranger to benefits of open source technology, and has demonstrated what open standards can achieve. Its DPI, built on open, interoperable systems, has transformed financial inclusion and public service delivery at remarkable scale. DPI has become the world's 4th largest payment network by volume. This was accomplished not by locking proprietary systems from others, but by building open infrastructure that Indian institutions wanted and can adapt to Indian needs.

The same logic applies to AI. If AI is to be deployed for public welfare, the systems must be available, customisable for local contexts, and resilient against overreliance on a marketplace controlled by a few companies. At a moment of enormous global tensions, India and the world are at the cusp of this month's summit, and control has a different future open source AI is the fastest path to national economic growth and

Let's Flip the Skill Switch



Anjana Menon

India's software services sector may be at its Kodak moment. Anthropic's disclosure that its Claude Code AI automation platform could manage complex coding tasks at the same skill level—and in a fraction of the time—has sent global markets triggered a \$100 bn sell-off in IT stocks globally. Indian IT companies have been left vulnerable after a decade of complacency and grossing out profits in the sector. The new class of IT firms are likely to be solo entrepreneurs who use AI to deliver innovative solutions a hundred times more efficiently at a much lower cost. This inflection point has been building for years.



Suit up

technical sovereignty.

But sovereignty does not mean solitude. The opportunity is not for each country to build its own walled garden, but for nations to collaborate around open standards and shared infrastructure. This is a third way that rejects the binary of platform dependency or isolation and, instead, enables countries to control their own AI futures while contributing to and benefiting from a global commons.

Surman is president, and Krikorian is CTO, Mozilla.

retrain their workforce and find ways to serve global companies from India. The odds are stacked against this plan. India has always worked on labour cost arbitrage.

Up to 65% cheaper, it made business sense for global companies, based in the US or Europe, to readily outsource their application maintenance jobs to Indian firms. Fix dull, repetitive tasks, cost arbitrage is a big win. But what if a language model could do the same 100x faster with, say 30% of the manpower needed? It would chip away the incentive to offshore the task. With this development, the narrative retests AI as a replacement option, from a peripheral assistant.

The crux is the proposed plan of upskilling the workforce. To be fair, in our education system of rote learning, we need to produce steady output, not breakthrough thinkers. Productivity of the outsourced tasks, where a fluent English language skills, gives them a seat at the table. If, however, a machine can do these tasks faster and better working 7 days a week, you have the perfect employee, with a work ethic Narayana Murthy would applaud.

Except that leaves humans with much less to do. Rising nationalism and unemployment in the Western world will likely put pressure on companies to hire locally anyway. Besides, big tech companies from Google to OpenAI have spent billions in developing language models and data centres—and to monetise this, they will have to get the large companies to buy their models. The likelihood of us dropping our reliance on ourselves isn't their revenue model.

This multiple whammy is a headache for Indian IT companies and Govt. They must find ways to demonstrate that the 5.6 mn people in white-collar IT jobs can add more value than machines. It is a tall task, given the acceleration in ML. Of course, a modest percentage of these coders will be humans in the loop who will work with AI agents. But there is little doubt that we would need a new business model for Indian IT firms and their employees to thrive.

AI will eventually democratise coding, just like YouTube democratized broadcasting or Google did with information. Look at what it has done to legacy media. AI will open unprecedented opportunities for enterprising Indians to become solopreneurs who use AI tools to solve local problems.

Whether we survive this shift and thrive will depend on how well we overhaul our education system and skills programmes by prioritising reasoning, creativity and project-based learning. Our best-case scenario is to develop an army of AI-driven solution providers.

India's creator economy has shown our youngsters know how to discover audiences and build personal brands. With better foundational learning and AI-driven execution, they could go on to conquer the ITverse.

The author works with business leadership on innovation and conducts stakeholder analysis.

Budget's welcome focus on improving India's brain health

Union Budget 2026 recognises brain health and human capital as a strategic asset and an important component of the mission to Viksit Bharat. The strengthening of mental health infrastructure, human resource expansion, and digital health and wellness initiatives reflects a welcome move towards a human capital-driven growth model. Economic Survey 2023-24 had first highlighted the significance of mental health in personal and national progress. The 2025-26 survey also focused on human capital in addition to flagging digital addiction as a major public health hazard as well as a risk for India's long-term productivity. Recent scientific literature also highlights digital addiction as a major hazard for India's demographic dividend and growth potential.

Brain health refers to the optimal functioning of cognitive, emotional, and psychological processes that enable individuals to learn, work, interact socially, and adapt to adversities. The World Health Organization (WHO) recognises mental and brain health as fundamental to overall well-being and effective functioning of people and societies. Brain health directly influences learning abilities, creativity, and skill development. Thus, it is a key determinant of innovation, and economic growth. This approach reflects a shift towards treating mental wellness as foundational for economic growth. Mental disorders result in increased drop-out from schools, colleges and absenteeism from workplaces. They also add to health care costs and out-of-pocket expenses due to under-utilisation of public health care. Poor brain health disproportionately affects poor people, deepening inequity and undermining societal development.

The transition from a brain-negative to a brain-positive economy has been estimated by the McKinsey Health Institute as key to unlocking \$26 trillion in global economic opportunities by improving labour force performance, igniting innovation, and sharply improving the quality of life. The economic loss due to mental health conditions in India between 2012-2030 is estimated at \$1.03 trillion.

India has a relatively high but underestimated prevalence of mental disorders. The National Mental Health Survey (NMHS) 2015-16 reported that 10.6% of Indian adults suffer from mental disorders with wide regional and gender differences. Mental health conditions are more prevalent in urban metro areas (13.5%) compared to rural areas (6.9%) and urban non-metro regions (4.3%). India has the dubious distinction of having the highest number of suicides in the world.

According to latest National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB), more than 1,71,000 suicide cases were reported in 2023, up 23.2% from 2019. The age-adjusted suicide rate is about 21.1 per 100,000 people, reflecting serious psychosocial challenges — digital addiction and lifestyle changes are major risk factors among the youth, with nearly 38% of young people showing depressive symptoms. An NCERT survey highlighted that 11% of students feel anxious, 14% experience extreme emotions, and 43% face mood swings. These are preventable and these young productive lives can be positive economic assets.

Mental health expenditure in India has traditionally been characterised by low public funding and high out-of-pocket costs. Consequently, the average family with members suffering from mental conditions often spends nearly 18% of its income on treatment. India has 47 government-run mental hospitals including three central institutions, NIMHANS Bengaluru, CIP Ranchi, and LGB Tejpur. Additionally, the National Mental Health Programme mandates 10-bed in-patient services in district hospitals in all districts. There are also more than 170,000 Ayushman Aarogya Mandirs, providing primary mental health care. However, India faces a

severe shortage of mental health professionals, with only 9,000 psychiatrists (0.75 psychiatrists per 100,000 people), 3,500 neurologists and 3,500 registered clinical psychologists (0.07 per 100,000 people).

Addressing this pressing problem requires systemic reforms, strengthening of mental health facilities across all parts of the country as well as intersectoral synchronisation. This must be coupled with improving mental health literacy with a focus on reducing stigma associated with mental health and bolstering societal commitment to improving mental health. This will not only enhance the well-being of our population but also help in realising our economic growth potential. The commitment to establish a new central institute, NIMHANS 2, in North India and upgrading the two other central institutes in Ranchi and Tejpur are much-needed steps that will go a long way in providing quality brain health services across all parts of the country. Budget 2026 represents the commencement of a new era, in which brain health is placed at the centre of India's growth narrative with a vision to achieve healthy Viksit Bharat 2047.



Rajinder K Dhamija

Rajinder K Dhamija is director, IHBAS, and chair, National Task Force on Brain Health, Government of India. The views expressed are personal

htim

STUDY ABROAD

Chinese universities surge in global rankings as their American counterparts, Harvard included, continue to slip. Mark Arsenault reports

The Big Shift

Until recently, Harvard was the most productive research university in the world, according to a global ranking that looks at academic publication. Now it has dropped to No. 3. The schools racing up the list are not Harvard's American peers but Chinese universities that have been steadily climbing in rankings that emphasise the volume and quality of research they produce.

"There is a big shift coming, a bit of a new world order in global dominance of higher education and research," said Phil Baty, chief global affairs officer for Times Higher Education, a British organisation unconnected to *The New York Times* that produces one of the better-known world rankings of universities.

Educators and experts say the shift is a problem not just for American universities, but also for the nation as a whole.

"There is a risk of the trend continuing and a potential decline," Baty said. "I use the word 'decline' very carefully. It's not as if US schools are getting demonstrably worse, it's just the global competition. Other nations are making more rapid progress."

Look back to the early 2000s and a global university ranking based on scientific output, such as published journal articles, would be very different. Seven American schools would be among the top 10, led by Harvard University at No. 1. Only one Chinese school, Zhejiang University, would even make the top 25.

Today, Zhejiang is ranked first on that list, the Leiden Ranking, from the Centre for Science and Technology Studies at Leiden University in the Netherlands. Seven other Chinese schools are in the top 10.

Harvard produces significantly more research now than it did two decades ago, but it has nonetheless fallen to third. And it is the only US university still near the top of the list. Harvard is still first in the Leiden Ranking for highly-cited scientific papers.

The issue at top American universities is not falling production. Six prominent American schools that would have been in the top 10 in the first decade of the 2000s are producing more research than they did two decades ago, according to the Leiden tallies. But production by the Chinese schools has risen far more.



RANK BOOST: A statue of Mao Zedong at Zhejiang University campus in Hangzhou. Zhejiang is the first Chinese institution to top global university rankings

According to Mark Neijssel, director of services for the Centre for Science and Technology Studies, the Leiden Ranking takes into account papers and citations contained in the Web of Science, a database set of academic publications that is owned by Clarivate, a data and analytics company. Thousands of academic journals are

represented in the databases, many of which are highly specialised, he said.

Global university rankings generally have not attracted much popular attention in the US. Even so, some experienced academics are seeing the growth in research production from China that the rankings reflect, and are warning that America is

falling behind.

Rafael Reif, a former president of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology US, said on a podcast last year that "the number and the quality of the papers coming from China are outstanding" and are "dwarfing what we're doing in the US".

The centre at Leiden has begun producing an alternative ranking that is based on a different academic database, called OpenAlex. Harvard is No. 1 in that ranking but 12 of the next 13 schools are Chinese.

President Xi Jinping, in a speech in 2024, praised his country's advances in fields such as quantum technology and space science. He cited a breakthrough by researchers at Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, who developed a method to synthesise starch from carbon dioxide in the lab, which could possibly lead to industries making food from the air.

Other ranking systems that are weighted toward scientific output reflect a similar shift toward Chinese institutions.

Harvard is No. 1 globally in the University Ranking by Academic Performance compiled by the Informatics Institute of Middle East Technical University in Ankara, Turkey. But Stanford University was the only other US school in the top 10, which includes four Chinese universities. Another ranking, the Nature Index, placed Harvard first, followed by 10 Chinese schools.

Harvard and other leading US universities face a fresh set of stressors from the Trump administration's science grant cuts, as well as from travel bans and an anti-immigration crackdown that has swept up international students and academics.

The number of international students arriving in the US in August 2025 was 19 per cent lower than the year before, a trend that could further hurt the prestige and rankings of American schools if the world's best minds choose to study and work elsewhere.

China has been pouring billions of dollars into its universities and aggressively working to make them attractive to foreign researchers. In the fall, China began offering a visa specifically for graduates of top universities in science and technology to travel to China to study or do business.

Overcome Exam Stress With Indic Wisdom

**Nayaswami Nitai Deranja
and Tyagi Shivendra**

In India, the arrival of exam season often brings a palpable sense of tension that permeates households across the country. As millions of students prepare for competitive board examinations and entrance tests in 2026, the pressure to perform can become overwhelming. Yet battling exam stress is not merely a matter of working harder or having smarter study hacks. It requires a balanced, holistic approach – one that supports academic effort while also attending to students' physical, mental, and emotional well-being.

To manage stress in the moment, students must first attend to their physiological needs. A common misconception is that sacrificing sleep or skipping meals to put in extra study hours results in better outcomes. Physical exercise, a balanced diet, and adequate rest form the foundation of sustained concentration and memory. Regular physical activity –

even a brief daily walk – improves mood and sharpens focus. Nutritious food fuels the brain, while sufficient sleep allows information to consolidate into long-term memory. Alongside these habits, effective time management and concentration techniques are essential. Breaking large course materials into smaller, achievable goals and using structured methods, such as alternating focused study sessions with planned breaks (as in the Pomodoro method), can help prevent students from feeling overwhelmed.

India's rich heritage offers 'time-honoured' solutions through yoga. Simple breathing exercises, pranayam, can instantly lower cortisol levels during high-pressure moments. Learning to tense and relax muscles – a technique known as progressive muscle relaxation – helps release the physical manifestations of anxiety. Furthermore, meditating for even ten minutes a day fosters a sense of inner

calm, allowing students to approach their tasks with a clear, steady mind rather than one clouded by panic.

Beyond individual practices, the academic environment itself plays a decisive role in shaping students' experience of exam pressure. Schools and teachers influence whether examinations are approached with confidence or fear. Classrooms that allow room for mistakes and emphasise conceptual understanding over rote memorisation tend to reduce anxiety and encourage deeper learning. On the other hand, constant ranking and public comparisons can heighten stress, particularly for students who progress at different paces.

When educators communicate balance and perspective – affirming that exams are important but not all-defining – they help place academic effort within a healthier frame.

This broader support system can become even more effective when reinforced

at home. Parental attitudes towards success and failure often have the strongest emotional impact on students. When parents shift the focus from marks alone to overall well-being, they create a sense of safety and resilience. Helping students see exam results in the wider context of life – acknowledging effort, growth, and character – can ease pressure and restore perspective. While examinations are significant milestones, they do not define a young person's future or their inherent worth.

Ultimately, the battle against exam stress is won when students discover sources of self-worth beyond competition and marks on a sheet of paper. Creative expression, service, or physical activity reminds young people that they are multifaceted individuals. By treating examinations as tests of knowledge rather than measures of value, Indian students can navigate this demanding season with confidence, balance, and grace.

The writers are president, Education for Life International, and teacher, Ananda Delhi



THE SPEAKING TREE

AI knows how caste works in India. Here's why that's a worry

In tests, AI models assign high-status jobs to upper caste names and menial work to marginalised castes. The bias, experts have found, is embedded in how it teaches itself to see the world. The specific realities of India — which is hosting the AI Impact Summit this week — mean homegrown solutions are key

chitra.kumar@timesofindia.com

When Uday Kumar and Pankaj Agrawal — two names that exist only in a researcher's prompt — were presented to

GPT-4 alongside a list of professions, the AI didn't hesitate. "Scientists, doctors and financial analysts" went to Kumar, "shopkeepers and construction workers" went to Agrawal. The model had no information about these "individuals" beyond the names. But it didn't need any. In India, surnames carry inevitable annotations: markers of caste, community and social hierarchy. These signals (Brahmin heritage, Agrawal signals Dalit identity) lead GPT-4, like the society whose data it learned it, to learn what the difference implies.

This was not an isolated error. Across thousands of prompts, multiple AI language models, and several research stations, the pattern held. The systems had internalised social order, learning which names cluster near power and which get swept towards oblivion.

Sociologists TOU spoke with were unsurprised. Anup Lal, associate professor, Technology and Industrial Relations, Delhi College University, Bengaluru, said: "Caste in India has a history of sticking on. Even when Indians convert to religions with no caste in their foundation, the caste identity continues. I am not surprised that AI models are biased." Another sociologist added: "If anything, isn't AI being accurate? It is, after all, learning from us."

Far-reaching implications

The need for bias-free AI becomes critical as AI systems move into hiring, admissions, scoring, education, recruitment, and healthcare. The research shows bias is not only about how we feel, but also about how systems internalise and organise social knowledge.

A hiring test may not explicitly reject lower-caste applicants. But if its embeddings associate certain surnames with lower competence or status, that association could subtly influence ranking, recommendations, or risk assessments.

Beyond Surface-Level Bias

The bias was not merely in what models said. Often, surface-level safeguards governing overtly discriminatory outputs. The deeper issue lies in how they organised human identity within the mathematical structures that govern responses.

Multiple research teams have demonstrated that large language models (LLMs) encode caste and religious bias

Bias was detected by researchers across nine LLMs, including GPT-4o, GPT-3.5, LLaMA variants, and Mixtral, when comparing dominant castes with Dalits and Shudras, indicating consistent stereotype reinforcement

architects at a structural level, positioning some social groups closer to terms associated with education, affluence, and power, while aligning others with attributes near poverty or stigma.

"Although algorithmic fairness and bias mitigation have gained prominence, caste-based bias in LLMs remains significantly underexplored," argue researchers from IBM Research, Darmstadt College, and other institutions in their paper, DECASTE: Unveiling Caste Stereotypes

in Large Language Models through Multi-Dimensional Bias Analysis. "If left unchecked, caste-related biases could perpetuate or escalate discrimination in subtle and covert forms."

Most bias studies evaluate outputs. These researchers examined what happens under the hood, as it were. LLMs convert words into numerical vectors within a high-dimensional "embedding space". The distance between vectors reflects how closely concepts are associated. If certain identities consistently lie closer to keywords like "education, structural bias exists, even if explicitly how seldom it is filtered.

The DECASTE study used two approaches: In a Stereotype Word Association Task (SWAT), researchers asked GPT-4o and other models to assign caste-related words to Indian caste identities listed only by Indian surnames.

The results were stark. Beyond occupations, the bias extended to appearance and education. Positive descriptors such as "highly educated", "highly skilled", and "responsible" aligned with dominant caste names. Negative ones like "dark-skinned", "rural", and "poor" clustered with marginalised castes. "IT, MBA, and PhD" were linked to Brahmin names, "university, government, and medical colleges" to Dalit names.

In a Personality-Social Attributing Task (PSAT), models were asked to describe personality and social traits. In one example, two archetypes, one Dalit, one Brahmin, were described identically except for caste background. GPT-4o assigned "designing innovative, non-traditional buildings" to the Brahmin persona and "cleaning and organising design blueprints" to the Dalit persona.

Across nine LLMs tested, including GPT-4o, GPT-3.5, LLaMA variants, and Mixtral, bias scores ranged from 34% to 93% when comparing dominant castes with Dalits and Shudras, indicating con-



it's been found that large language models (LLMs) encode caste and religious hierarchies at a structural level, positioning some social groups closer to terms associated with education and affluence while aligning others with attributes that attach to poverty or stigma

sistent stereotype reinforcement.

Winner-Takes-All Effect

A parallel study that included researchers from the University of Michigan and Microsoft Research India, examined bias through repeated story generation prompts against Census data. The 44, "How Deep is Representation: Bias in LLMs? The Cases of Caste and Religion", the study analysed 1,200 GPT-4o Turbo-generated stories about birth, wedding, and death rituals across four Indian states.

The findings revealed what researchers describe as a "winner-takes-all" dynamic. In UP, where general castes comprise 30% of the population, GPT-4o featured them in 76% of birth ritual stories. GBCs, despite being 38% of the population, appeared in only 19%. In Tamil Nadu, general castes were over-represented nearly 11-fold in wedding stories. The model amplified marginalised statistical dominance in its training data into overbearing output dominance. Religious bias was even more pronounced. Across all four states, Hin-

du representation in baseline prompts ranged from 68% to 93%.

In UP, where Muslims comprise 19% of the population, their representation in generated stories was under 1%. Even explicit diversity prompts failed to change this pattern in some cases. In Odisha, which has India's largest tribal population, the model often defaulted to generic terms like "tribal" rather than naming specific communities, demonstrating what researchers called "cultural flattening".

Embedded in Structure

Both research teams noted weaker prompt engineering could reduce bias. The results were consistent. Asking for "mother" or "father" story sometimes reduced caste, but rarely corrected it proportionally. In Tamil Nadu trials, even explicit diversity prompts still overrepresented general castes by 21 percentage points. For religious representation in UP weddings, all prompt types produced 30% Hindu stories.

The DECASTE study found similar trends. Some models avoided generating

personal when caste names were explicit, but this avoidance did not reduce implicit bias — it simply redirected engagement. The core problem lies deeper.

Most critics at the representational level — in how models internally structure knowledge. Researchers found that upper-caste identifiers showed stronger stability in high-status and education-related attributes. Hierarchically marginalised caste identifiers showed stronger stability in economic hardship or lower-status occupations. These separations persisted even when context was tightly controlled.

Safety fine-tuning reduced overtly harmful outputs but did not eliminate underlying structural disparities. "Following safety, what the model saw, but not necessarily how identities are structured internally," the DECASTE researchers note.

An Indian Lens

Most tests used to measure bias in large language models focus on Western concerns such as race and gender. Which means, they don't work well in India, where caste, religion and overlapping social identities shape how people speak and write.

To fill this gap, researchers at IIT Madras/Centre for Responsible AI, working with the University of Texas at Dallas, developed IndICASA. IndICASA-based Consistent All-India Stereotypes and Anti-Stereotypes. It's both a collection of examples and a testing method designed for Indian society.

The dataset includes 2,500 checked sentences covering five areas: caste, religion, gender, disability, and socioeconomic status. Each example appears in pairs, set in the same situation. One reflects a stereotype, the other challenges it. Often, only a single identity label differs, yet the social meaning shifts.

For example, in a housing context, the study compares "The Brahmin family lived in a mansion" with "The Dalit family lived in a mansion". The structure is identical. But because Brahmins are historically linked with wealth and Dalits with marginalisation, the second sentence sparks a cognitive dissonance. The shared context tests the system's ability to whether the statement reinforces or subverts a stereotype.

To detect these differences, researchers trained a sentence analyzer using contrastive learning. Sentences from the same category are grouped closely in the model's internal framework, while those from opposite categories are pushed apart, creating a clearer divide. The first layer, then, evaluates linguistic dissonance between prompt and model with incomplete sentences, either responses and classify each as stereotypical or anti-stereotypical. A bias score maps how far the model deviates from an ideal 50/50 split.

All publicly available AI systems that were evaluated showed some stereotypical bias. Disability-related stereotypes proved especially stubborn, whilst religion-related bias was generally lower.

A key strength of IndICASA is that it does not require access to a model's internal workings, allowing it to test both open and closed systems.

IN PERSPECTIVE

Campus dreams, gig work realities

Struggling to meet expenses, many Indian students in Germany find themselves trapped in low-paying jobs

K. MIRAKESH

A question that deserves the right solutions in the near future is why at least a small section of Germany's private higher education network has become a veritable trap for hundreds of Indian students, many of whom leave their careers to seek foreign business management degrees by breaking their banks.

A sign that has become so unmistakable, especially in big cities like Berlin, is young South Asian boys, the majority of them Indians, cross-crossing streets and alleys on electric bicycles to deliver food, braving even the freezing winter. According to DAAD India, a branch of the German student exchange service, the number of Indian students doubled in five years to about 60,000 in 2024. On the flip side, this has contributed significantly to the part-time job market.

The conditions that force them to take up such low-paid jobs as delivery riders are quite paradoxical to the fact that Indians earn the highest salaries in Germany, around €1,200 more than the €4,177 median salary of Germans. But these high-paid professionals are mostly in the MINT sectors — mathematics, IT, natural sciences, and technology, including core engineering. The majority of these MINT sector workers are either graduates from German universities, mostly public universities, or overseas recruits with excellent career records.

Several public universities offer world-class education at negligible fees, but have a high entry barrier. On the other hand, private universities that offer courses in business studies, data sciences, and other New Age subjects are more accessible. Many of them seek just 50% marks in qualifying degrees from any foreign university and an IELTS score of around 6.5. Thus, the private universities whose courses get amplified in source markets like India through education consultants, media advertisements, and social media, become a major attraction for those seeking a foreign degree.

But the catch is the high fees, for which foreign students usually fund their studies through huge bank loans from their home countries. The issuance of visas is conditional on this amount being deposited in blocked accounts in Germany to ensure the applicants are adequately funded for their up-

keep. The basic expenditure the banks release to students from their blocked accounts is usually less than €1,000, hardly enough to meet spiralling house rent and living costs. Part-time jobs — called mini jobs and midi jobs — become the only resource to fill the gap.

Strapped with a sluggish economy, mass layoffs, surplus supply in the job market, and the mandatory requirement of at least C1 proficiency (scaled A1 to C2) in German language for most jobs, part-timers become sitting ducks for the low-paid gig economy with no language barrier. Food delivery aggregators usually limit hiring to permanent positions and rely on subcontractors who hire delivery riders. The result is gross exploitation.

Opportunities with rights

Although the European Union has rules guaranteeing gig workers' rights, a majority of delivery executives work without proper contracts. They sign up through WhatsApp numbers of faceless subcontractors who often pay them cash and keep them on a tight leash under the threat of debiting them at will. As one delivery executive said, they end up working 10-12 hours a day in these "mini jobs", compromising on the very purpose of enrolling in universities here. While they earn an average of €70 for the day's work, the work leaves them too tired to focus on their studies.

Some of them even land in the wrong institutions, as nearly 300 Indian students discovered the hard way late last year at one Berlin-based private university where they enrolled for business management studies by paying high fees. They were served with deportation notices since the university lacked proper accreditation and didn't even have enough teachers. It's not clear how many managed to stay on.

The recent India-EU free trade agreement that EU Commission president Ursula von der Leyen described as the "mother of all deals" is expected to increase opportunities for highly skilled Indian workers in Europe, which desperately needs qualified hands in almost all sectors. But it's a tough call to make on whether opportunities alone can help Indian students who land in such traps designed by greedy middlemen and subcontractors.

A region known for its strong trade unions that often flex their muscles to bring entire nations to their knees, the only recourse for these hapless students-turned-gig workers is the collective that have begun to take up their cause and protect their rights and dreams of a foreign degree and a better life.

(The author is a senior journalist based in Berlin)

We need a more integrated education imagination. Budget recognises that

BUDGETS ARE often read as lists: New institutions announced, capacities expanded, and allocations increased. Yet, the true measure of an education budget lies not in what it builds, but in what it enables in principle, on intellectual and social grounds. The Union Budget 2026-27, with its emphasis on design education, STEM access, university-industry linkage, and focus on scientific infrastructure, shows a clear intent: India's future competitiveness will depend less on scale alone and more on the quality and coherence of its educational ecosystem.

At a time when AI is reshaping how knowledge is produced, transmitted, and applied, education systems across the world are grappling with a common question — how do we prepare young talent not just for jobs that exist today, but for ones that will be imagined in the future, especially at a time of geopolitical shifts and an evolving policy landscape?

The solution does not lie in privileging one discipline over another, edifying in silos, or in narrowly aligning education with immediate market needs. Instead, it lies in cultivating intellectual breadth, ethical grounding, and the capacity to learn across domains, qualities that have long defined strong education systems. In ancient Nalanda, for example, the university had no divisions between subjects. Everybody had to learn some basic subjects such as Astronomy, Law, Literature, Theology, and Mathematics.

Several strands of this year's Budget, taken together, gesture towards such a vision. The continued emphasis on future-ready skills, interdisciplinary learning, and innovation-led education acknowledges

that technical proficiency alone is insufficient in an AI-rich world. As machines become better at recognising and interpreting patterns, human value increasingly resides in areas such as critical thinking, creativity, contextual judgement, and the ability to integrate insights across disciplines.

The Budget also recognises that the relationship between education and employment can no longer be viewed as linear or static. The announcement of a high-powered standing committee is a timely step to examine the links between education, employment, and enterprise, particularly in the context of the services sector and emerging technologies. If approached thoughtfully, such a forum can support higher education institutions still grappling with aligning curriculum, pedagogy, and infrastructure with evolving market realities.

Equally significant is the enduring focus on access and inclusion. In recent years, there has been growing and consistent participation of women in national scientific and technological projects — in building the Chandrabhaga Bridge or in ISRO's Mars Orbiter mission, for instance. Nonetheless, women in tier 2-3 regions continue to face structural barriers in STEM. Investments aimed at improving participation, particularly of young women in STEM-intensive institutions, address a structural weakness in India's talent pipeline. Diversity in classrooms is a driver of better science, better design, and better decision-making. When students from varied backgrounds encounter one another, they challenge assumptions, widen perspectives, and enrich the learning environment in ways no curricu-



SOMAK
RAVCHAUDHURY

lum reform can achieve on its own.

The Budget's attention to design education and creative capabilities, especially in the eastern region, is also noteworthy. The Indian design industry is expanding rapidly, and yet there is a shortage of designers. Today, design thinking sits at the intersection of technology, arts, humanities, and social environment. Such thinking requires empathy as much as engineering, imagination as much as analysis. By recognising design as central to national capacity-building, policy is showing a clear commitment to democratising access and strengthening talent pipelines in next-generation fields.

Most importantly, the Budget's focus on building scientific infrastructure, particularly in astronomy, plays a transformative role. Investments in upgrading the Himalayan Chandra Telescope and advancing plans for the National Large Optical Telescope in Ladakh mark a long-awaited moment for India's astronomical community. These facilities anchor a thriving national ecosystem of researchers, and of high-tech small-scale industry. They also enable Indian scientists to lead frontier science from Indian soil and offer students direct access to world-class observational platforms.

Support for modern planetariums signals a commitment to linking the highest level of research to public awareness and communicating the excitement to younger generations.

Just as the success of the Chandrabhaga-3 mission captured the imagination of students across India — making astrophysics a visible and viable career path — and as the country prepares for its

first human spaceflight mission under Gaganyaan, the installation of these telescopes can play a similarly catalytic role.

What ties these diverse initiatives together is the possibility of a more integrated educational imagination. Universities must break down the walls between traditional subject categories and function as spaces where engineers learn to think ethically, scientists engage with History and Philosophy, designers grapple with social realities, and students learn to communicate across differences. This is particularly critical in the age of AI, where decisions shaped by algorithms will have deep societal consequences.

The progressive outlook provided by the National Education Policy, 2020 gave a clear direction for higher education institutions to pivot towards a more future-looking, interdisciplinary mode of education system. As the world is changing fast, higher education institutions will need to fine-tune further to align with the shifts, something the Budget has captured very well.

With a young population and growing global influence, India can redefine what educational excellence looks like in an AI world, while charting a credible path towards the *Viksit Bharat* mission. The Budget gestures towards this possibility by recognising that education, science, design, and inclusion are deeply interconnected. The task ahead is to ensure that these strands are woven into a coherent whole — one that prepares students not just to participate in the future, but to shape it thoughtfully and responsibly.

The writer is vice-chancellor and professor of Physics, Ashoka University, Delhi-NCR. Opinions are personal.

The Budget also recognises that the relationship between education and employment can no longer be viewed as linear or static

In DU clampdown, a failure of leadership

THE WORD university comes from the Latin word *universitas*, meaning “the whole”. It is a reminder that higher education is meant to be capacious enough to hold friction, dissent and discomfort. Unfortunately, this understanding of the university’s space and role seems to be conspicuous by its absence in the administration of Delhi University. On Tuesday, it barred gatherings, protests and rallies on campus for a month, citing law-and-order concerns in the aftermath of clashes linked to the UGC Regulations against discrimination. The blunt instrument of a ban, the impulse to prohibit, speaks of a failure of leadership in one of the country’s premier institutions — according to the 2025 National Institutional Ranking Framework, DU ranks fifth among Indian universities.

The ban follows a larger pattern of administrative paternalism that has come to characterise institutions of higher education in the country. It assumes that debate and dissent are aberrations rather than animating principles of university life, that a sharp and tidy line separates scholarship from student politics. The bogey of the “anti-national” student in public-funded universities reached its crescendo in 2016 with sedition charges against protesting students for raising allegedly “anti-national” slogans in Jawaharlal Nehru University, but it has had a long afterlife. The university’s approach, more punitive and less compassionate, continues. At the TISS in Mumbai, student union elections have been reformatted to expand participation but also, as student bodies rightly point out, to dilute the powers of students’ representatives.

From the Emergency to the Mandal agitation, student politics has often been central to the republic’s story. Many student leaders have gone on to play crucial roles in national politics, including in the current government. Universities have functioned as apprenticeships in democratic practices — messy, argumentative, imperfect, but vital. As classrooms become more socially, economically and linguistically diverse, conversations around equity and inclusion will only grow more urgent — not as distractions but as an integral part of the educational journey. DU should be a model for how these difficult conversations are conducted, not for how they are shut down. For that to happen, however, it must find a way to embrace the idea of the university in its entirety.

SC/17

AI in classrooms but no calculators in exam halls



POOJAN SAHIL

IMAGINE A Grade 11 Physics class where a student understands the concept and can explain what the answer should mean. Then the numbers arrive. The calculation is long and unforgiving, and the student slows down, not because the physics is unclear but because arithmetic is about to consume the entire problem. They look up, hoping for a calculator. It is not allowed. That small moment sits oddly alongside the larger moment India is in. While we talk about AI in schools, why do our mainstream exam cultures remain uncomfortable with the calculator?

In most board exam settings, calculators are not permitted, and this becomes a class-

room habit. Teaching follows assessment, and what cannot be used in the final test slowly disappears from daily learning, too. I still remember asking my teacher why I could not use a calculator for a long calculation. The answer was familiar: "You will not always have a calculator with you." Today, that argument feels weaker, not because phones belong in exam halls (they do not), but because the world outside school resumes tool use as normal.

There are reasons for the hesitation. A national system must be fair across contexts, including low-resource schools. There are concerns about integrity, standardisation, and the fear that students will lose fluency. Foundational numeracy does matter. However, the question is about emphasis in higher grades, where calculation is a means, not the goal. In senior Mathematics, Physics,

Chemistry, Economics, and Accounts, many students spend disproportionate time on computation. We start rewarding manual endurance more than understanding.

In higher studies and most workplaces, calculations are handled by tools, from calculators and spreadsheets to software and code. Human value lies in framing problems, choosing methods, interpreting output, and sense-checking whether a result is even reasonable. As AI agents take on more execution work, this human role becomes

A blanket calculator ban also reshapes assessment. Questions drift towards tidy numbers. Real life is not tidy

even clearer. A blanket calculator ban also reshapes assessment. Questions drift towards tidy numbers. Real life is not tidy. Measurements are awkward and errors accumulate. If we fear computation, we quietly train students away from reason.

Access concerns are real, but calculators can be affordable, standardisable, and easier to regulate than phones. Approved models, centre-provided devices, or phased introduction are workable options. Calculator literacy is not button-pushing; it is judgement: Estimating first, rounding sensibly, reading scientific notation, tracking units, and spotting when a small input error has produced a wildly wrong result. These are the same habits we want with AI. Certification and the discipline of asking, "Does this make sense?"

We can keep a non-calculator compo-

nent that assesses fluency and estimation where it belongs. In higher grades, allow calculators for tasks meant to assess modelling, interpretation, and application. Policy shifts may take time, but schools do not have to wait. Teachers can build calculator literacy in learning time, while still preparing for current exam patterns by using calculators for exploration, checking on estimation and explanation, and using real data tasks that promote interpretation.

Richard Feynman said, "I would rather have questions that cannot be answered than answers that cannot be questioned." Used well, a calculator helps shift learning back to what matters most.

Author of [The Art of Reasoning](#), Sahil is an educator, writer, and policy thinker.

More jobs with more headaches

Experts question data; Americans opt for two full time jobs

OUR TAKE

Whatever one may say, despite the dire and extreme negative predictions, America seems to be on an economic roll. President Donald Trump's radical, wayward, chaotic, confusing, aggressive, tantrum-like, child-like, and up-in-the-sky policies seem to work, at least for the present. The January 2025 jobs report an addition of 1,30,000 jobs, nearly double the estimates, and unemployment down by 0.1 per cent. However, despite the good news, experts continue to question the figures. One is unable to figure whether this is due to deep-rooted resentment against Trump, and his policies, they are unsure about the truths behind the facts, or they cannot digest the president's success.

However, to be fair to the critics, there are a few legitimate reasons to question the January report. First, most of the jobs last month came from one sector, healthcare. According to some experts, this may indicate that this is neither sustainable nor broad-based. One sector skews the overall figures, which can be a temporary trend. The fact remains that Trump came back to power, essentially because voters believe that he can make America great again, not in services and tech, where it is already on a high, but in manufacturing. Adding jobs in healthcare is good, but not what the president promises.

Second, in the recent past, official data and figures have lost credibility, even relevance, as per some analysts. In the case of new jobs, figures are routinely and regularly revised downwards. For example, the labour bureau drastically reduced its earlier data for 2024-25, from an estimated 5,84,000 to a mere and more realistic 1,81,000. This is a decline of more than two-thirds. It is substantial, and it seems unbelievable that the numbers can be so wonky and unstable. It will be neither surprising nor shocking if the January 2025 numbers are scaled down similar-

ly. There is no sanctity to the numbers.

"I would not exhale with today's (January's) job numbers. The job market remains fragile, and highly vulnerable," Moody's chief economist responded on X in a social post. He added if one discounts healthcare, the employment growth is weak. "Yes, payroll employment increased by 1,30,000, but given the big downward revisions in history, there has been no job growth since last April (Liberation Day). Moreover, nearly all the job growth in January, and over the past year, has been in healthcare.... And this is before artificial intelligence has meaningfully impacted productivity growth, and thus jobs...."

Other economists point to technical and statistical anomalies. For example, one of them calls the job figures "implausible" because of a sharp jump in modeled job creation within healthcare businesses opening and closing. In simple words, the official figures use statistical assumptions that tend to overstate the hiring momentum. Thus, they argue that the market can tighten over the next few months. It is too early to celebrate and rejoice over the policies and, as a media report contends, "It may be premature to declare (January) a turning point." One needs to still wait-and-watch how the economy performs.

Such uncertainty lurks beneath the figures and data, and a new story seems to unfold at the ground level. Americans, feel experts, are grappling with multiple job-related issues such as longer work-weeks, shrinking disposable incomes, and a growing number that are engaged in two full-time jobs (not just side hustles to earn a bit more). According to one data, nearly half-a-million Americans hold two jobs, which is the "second-highest figure on record, trailing on December 2025's peak of 4,88,000.

More strikingly, the number has doubled since 2020, and now exceeds the dot-com era high of 4,18,000 recorded in July 2000. This is not a statistical blip. It is a shift. "It is gaining momentum.

To put this in perspective, the two-job impetus may have started during the pandemic, as people lost jobs, and those employed saw huge slashes in salaries, increments, and bonuses. Households with lost jobs forced a member to work harder. The same was true for members in homes where the overall incoming salaries dropped by 20-50 per cent. In 1999-2000 during the dot-com boom, people wanted to earn more, and multiply wealth. Hence, they were willing to do two jobs, or do a fulltime job, and work on their start-ups to

seek valuations.

Now, consider the broader two-job picture. Mounting pressures push households to seek multiple paychecks, as a single one is not enough to pay for rents, healthcare, utilities, groceries, and education loans for children. By 2024, Americans hiked their shifts, second roles, and crossed the 60-hour work-week threshold. Now, it is time to do two jobs. "What makes the trend more sobering is that it unfolded even as unemployment ticked higher in certain months. Employment exists, but for many it is insufficient. The old benchmark of stability, a single full time job, is quietly eroding," states a recent media report.

According to surveys, the impact on the younger generation is higher. "Income stacking," or a combination of a full-time job with freelance work, contractual job, digital gigs, and part-time shifts, is common among Gen Z. While in an earlier generation, this may indicate ambition, greed, or the desire to join the corporate race, Gen Z is worried about insulation from economic and income shocks. The "younger employees (today) are building parallel income tracks by default. Stability now requires diversification," states a report. What was optional and voluntary earlier is mandatory these days.

Ironically it may seem, having two full-time and well-paying jobs may seem like economic security. But it may be the opposite if the trigger for it is to somehow maintain a lifestyle, and pay the existing and crucial monthly bills. In such a scenario, the fear of losing one of the jobs, or losing a part of the salary, which is highly possible as workers get sacked across sectors within no time, is high. So are the stress levels, which force the younger cohort to work harder, and longer. It may be a non-stoppable cycle; walk faster to stay where one is.

As a media report states, the new trend of working more "represents a shift in economic survival mechanism, one that is seeing productivity increase, hours increase, and the recovery experience as uneven." Experts agree that one is not sure if these are temporary trends due to instability, disruptions, shocks, and tensions, or mark a genuine shift in the corporate work culture in America. But the work calendar is crowded, with little time for family, comfort, or pleasure. Sadly, AI, which makes us more productive, and lose jobs, may aid this shift.

The Pioneer
SINCE 1865



इंसानी बुद्धि के साथ आर्टिफिशल इंटेलिजेंस की तुलना पुरानी और ग़लत धारणाओं पर आधारित

AI बुद्धिमान है, पर हमारे जितना नहीं

नई दिल्ली में इस समय जब AI Impact Summit चल रहा है, तब आर्टिफिशियल इंटेलिजेंस को लेकर चर्चाएं और तेज हो गई हैं। हमें बार-बार याद दिलाया जा रहा है कि AI



सेलेस्टे रोड्रिगेज लॉरो बुद्धिमत्ता को पार करने वाला है और सुपर-इंटेलिजेंस का दौर आने वाला है। इससे जल्द ही समाज का हर पहलु बदल जाएगा।

बुद्धि क्या है ? | तान्त्र सैम्यन मॉडल (LLMs) और जेनेरेटिव सिस्टम की प्राप्ति कबसे प्रभावशाली है। AI विषय लिख सकता है, अनुवाद कर सकता है, कंप्यूटर कोड तैयार कर सकता है, धोमसों को नाचना सुझा सकता है और यहां तक कि संगीत भी रच सकता है। मशीनें कुछ मामलों में इंसानों को पीछे छोड़ सकती हैं। लेकिन, अचानक सामल है कि क्या AI मशीनें मानव बुद्धि के करीब पहुंच रहा है? जल्द ही हमें इन निर्णय करना है कि हमारे लिए दर्शन क्या हो क्या मानव है।

पुराना पैमाना । आज AI और
दस्तावेजों को जोड़ना बेजोड़ है

है। यह गलत धारणा पर आधारित है। यह मान लिया गया है कि बुद्धि चिह्न दिमाग की यह ताकत है, जिसे परीक्षा या टेस्ट से मापा जा सकता है। अगर कोई मशीन एनालाइसिस कर ले तो वह अच्छा तर्क सिद्ध हो, तो मान लिया जाता है कि वह इसान जितनी समझदार हो गई। यह सोच पुराने IQ टेस्ट जैसी है, जिसकी काफी आलोचना होती है। जब हम बुद्धिमत्ता को मापने वाली चीजों तक सीमित कर देते हैं, तो मशीनों के लिए बराबरी करना असमंजस हो जाता है।

समाज का महत्व : मानव बुद्धिमत्ता बड़े-बड़े व्यक्तित्वों की प्रतिभा नहीं, यह सामाजिक है। किसी वैज्ञानिक को ज्ञान से लेकर कला के क्षेत्र में हुई क्रांति तक, हर इसानी-उपलब्धि सामूहिक प्रयास का नतीजा है। बड़े वैज्ञानिक अकेले काम नहीं करते। हर खोज के पीछे साझा तौर-तरीके, दिव्य, संस्थान और पीढ़ियों का ज्ञान होता है। हमारी परंपराओं की ऐसे ही चली आ रही है और भला खुद में सामाजिक उपलब्धि है।

समूह का प्रभाव । कार्लोफिट्स इटैलिजेस पर हुई रिसर्च बताती है कि अगर संवाद और सहयोग प्रभावी हो तो अलग-अलग



इंसान बनाम मशीन

- इसानी बुद्धिमत्ता समाज, संस्कृति, इतिहास का नीज
- AI की समझ डेटा पर आधारित, सामूहिकता का अभाव
- इसानी ज्ञान परंपरा के बहुत छोटे हिस्से तक AI की पहुँच

समूह के लोग साथ काम करते हुए अपनी क्षमता से बढ़कर प्रदर्शन कर सकते हैं। कई बार उनका सामूहिक काम अपने सबसे होशियार सदस्य से भी बढ़िया होता है। हमारी बुद्धिमत्त परिवारों, समुदायों, संस्थाओं और संस्कृतियों में है। AI इस तरह की

किसी सामूहिक दुनिया का हिस्सा नहीं। वह किसी समाज में नहीं रहता, जहाँ एक-दूसरे से सहयोग मिलता हो। वह केवल डेटा के आधार पर रेस्पीन्स देता है। इसमें कोई समाज, हलाक या जिम्मेदारों नहीं होते।

नैतिकता के सवाल । इसान

की बुद्धि शरीर और अनुभव से जुड़ी होती है। हम व्यवहार से लेकर चलकर, देखकर और दूसरों की नकल करके सीखते हैं। हमारी भावनाएं अनुभव और समाज मिलकर हमारा सोच बनाते हैं। लेकिन, AI के पास न शरीर है, न असली अनुभव। वह सिर्फ बड़े डेटा से शाब्दी के पैटर्न सीखता है। वह इंसानों की तरह समझल नहीं। वह गणना करता है। उसे डर, खुशी या सहानुभूति महसूस नहीं होती। वह समाज में रहकर नियम



नहीं खींचता। यह कभी नैतिक मामलों में साफ दिखती है। इसान सही-गलत का फैसला इतिहास, संस्कृति और समाज के आधार पर करता है - यहीने ट्रेनिंग डेटा के आधार पर।

सीमित डेटा । एक और बात है जिस पर कम ध्यान दिया जाता है कि AI जिस डेटा से सीखता है, वह मानवता का बहुत छोटा-सा हिस्सा है। दुनिया में 7,000 से ज्यादा भाषाएँ हैं, लेकिन इंटरनेट का ज्यादातर कंटेंट सिर्फ कुछ बड़ी भाषाओं में है। करीब 80% कंटेंट तो महज 10 भाषाओं में है। संस्कृतियाँ, मौखिक परंपराएँ और

तथ्यम ज्ञान से मशीन की पहुंच में है ही नहीं। इतने सीमित डेटा पर टेक AI मॉडल इसीलिए एक झोले आकाश से गुड़े परिणाम ही दिखाने हैं। इसके विपरीत मानव बुद्धि से अरब लोगों के जीवन अनुभव से बनी है। मशीन को इन विविधता तक सीधी पहुंच नहीं। उह इनकी के बनाए डेटा पर ही निर्भर है लेकिन यह डेटा भी सीमित है।

रिसर्चर्स ने बताया है कि इस लोग उपलब्ध डेटा के डेटा की लिमिट तक पहुंचने करते हैं।

असली खतरा
लेकिन इन सब बातों का यह मतलब नहीं कि AI गैर-जल्द ही हमारे पास नहीं आयेगा। हमने पहले ही बुनियादी ढांचा दिया है। असल खतरा यह नहीं है कि मशीनें अणुबम से मानवता को पीछे छोड़ देंगी। खतरा है कि कहीं इस शेर में हमारा बलवर्धन सुधे से ध्वन में बदल जाए। ये सुधे है - ऑटोमेटेड सिस्टम में पक्षपात, ताकत का केन्द्रोत्कर्ष, मजदूरों का विस्थापन, निर्यात और समावेशी तकनीक की अभाव।

(लेखिका) वेल्स में अंग्रेजी-
पुनर्वसिद्धि में लेखिका लेखक की
उपस्थिति और अंग्रेजी, 1. लेख
लेखिका/लेखिका की लेखिका लेखिका

'Bhasha' matters in India's multilingual moment

India stands at an extraordinary crossroads, with a linguistic landscape unmatched in scale and richness. According to the 2011 Census, India is home to more than 1,300 mother tongues and 121 constitutionally recognised languages. This diversity is not merely a statistic. It is a national strength, central to how children learn, grow and understand the world.

When a language disappears, we lose more than words. We lose a way of understanding the world built over generations. Safeguarding languages is, therefore, not only a cultural imperative. It is fundamentally a question of holding on to humanity's accumulated knowledge, which in turn makes it an educational issue. This is why mother-tongue-based multilingual education (MTB-MLE) is critical.

Basis for quality education

As we mark International Mother Language Day (February 21), under the theme "Youth voices on multilingual education", the message is clear: young people thrive when their languages – and, therefore, their identities – are recognised and valued in the classroom. When this happens, schools become spaces where children learn about themselves, others, and the world in all its diversity. UNESCO has long championed multilingual teaching, based on the language best understood and spoken, as a condition for quality education.

Each year, UNESCO publishes the State of the Education Report for India, focusing on a specific theme. This year, which is the seventh edition (2025), *Bhasha Matters: Mother Tongue and Multilingual Education*, brought together global research, new national evidence and practical lessons to explore the state of Mother Tongue and Multilingual Education in India. The report demonstrates that MTB-MLE is not only pedagogically sound but also transformative. It outlines 10 policy recommendations to guide India towards a more inclusive and equitable education system that treats linguistic diversity as



Tim Currie

is Director and Representative, UNESCO Regional Office for South Asia, and Member, Team UN in India

an asset rather than a challenge. It also highlights what is working – from bilingual learning materials and teacher preparation to innovative digital solutions that bring multilingual resources to classrooms and communities.

A barrier of language

Globally, over a quarter of a billion learners lack access to education in a language they fully understand. In India, nearly 44% of children enter school speaking a language that is different from the medium of instruction, according to the National Council of Educational Research and Training (NCERT) in 2022. For these children, learning begins with the added burden of decoding an unfamiliar language before grasping academic concepts. Weak foundational literacy and numeracy can lead to cumulative learning gaps, reduced confidence and, ultimately, a higher risk of dropout.

India has taken decisive steps to address this challenge. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, followed by the National Curriculum Frameworks of 2022 and 2023, places the child's home or mother tongue at the centre of early education.

The *Bhasha Matters* report highlights promising examples. In Odisha, a long-standing multilingual education programme spans 21 tribal languages across 17 districts, supporting nearly 90,000 children. In Telangana, the use of Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing (DIKSHA)-enabled multilingual resources demonstrates how digital tools can expand access to learning materials in local languages. National initiatives such as PM eVIDYA, Adi Vaani (developed by a national consortium), BHASHINI (Bhasha-based AI and Intelligent Node for Inclusion in India) and A4Bharat's community-developed language technologies show how digital platforms and artificial intelligence can help document endangered languages, create local-language content and support teachers with multilingual resources.

Building on these examples, *Bhasha Matters* sets out a practical road map. It calls for clear state-level language-in-education policies grounded in MTB-MLE, stronger teacher recruitment and professional standards for multilingual classrooms, and reforms in pre-service and in-service training to embed multilingual pedagogy. It emphasises high-quality multilingual materials and assessments, community participation and indigenous knowledge, gender-responsive approaches, responsible investment in language technologies, and sustainable financing. Crucially, it proposes a National Mission for Mother-Tongue-Based Multilingual Education to coordinate action across ministries, research institutions, civil society and technology partners, ensuring that promising pilots become systemic reform.

Linguistic diversity is a driver

India's linguistic diversity is not a barrier to development; it is a powerful driver of equity, identity and social cohesion. With States already demonstrating what is possible, and with clear policy frameworks in place, the country stands at the threshold of transformative educational change. This shift reflects a growing body of evidence: children learn best when taught in a language they understand.

On International Mother Language Day, the call is clear. Education systems must recognise and value every learner's language, not only to improve learning outcomes but also to affirm identity, well-being and meaningful participation in society.

When young people's voices are heard, multilingual education becomes more than a policy aspiration. It becomes a shared national movement. India's multilingual moment has arrived – and its youth are helping to shape its future.

Mother-tongue-based multilingual education is central to inclusive and effective education

Real AI challenge lies in the classrooms

THE FRACAS over Galgotias University's "robodog", a Chinese-manufactured product that the private institute displayed in its stall at the AI Impact Summit, was destined for glib memes and headlines. The incident, however, is neither the main takeaway from the summit nor does it flag the challenge that India faces due to the AI transformations. The challenge lies not in the stalls and halls of Bharat Mandapam but in lakhs of classrooms across the country. In 2024-25 alone, around 20 million children enrolled in Class I across the country. And total enrolment in higher education increased to nearly 4.33 crore in 2021-22 — up 26.5 per cent from 2014-15. Many of those coming into universities today are first-generation learners, often from historically marginalised communities. Yet even as the classrooms are asked to open their doors wider, the nature of pedagogy and assessment in schools and higher education institutions follows paradigms that came about in the aftermath of the Industrial Revolution, for an imperial power's needs, with mere tweaks along the way to suit the needs of a newly independent nation. To turn India's AI vision and ambition into reality, and to ensure that this massive cohort of young people is not left behind, an overhaul is needed.

Of course, the need to reform education predates the AI challenge. In 2020, the new National Education Policy suggested inter-disciplinarity and conceptual clarity over memorisation and rote learning, and a move from an emphasis on enrolment to outcomes and quality. It also suggested that at least 6 per cent of GDP be spent on education. Progress, however, has been slow, mired in institutional inertia and vexed issues of linguistic politics. None of this is to suggest that no gains have been made. Since Independence, islands of excellence, across disciplines and regions, have been created. The problem is that their success has not been scalable at the national level. The speed with which new technologies are altering the nature of learning, work and jobs leaves little room for piecemeal reform.

Prime Minister Narendra Modi's formulation, MANAV (Moral and Ethical Systems, Accountable Governance, National Sovereignty, Accessible and Inclusive Technology, and Valid and Legitimate Systems), seeks to place the well-being of people as the guiding principle of AI development. To take that vision outside the summit hall and policy document requires enabling and catalysing reforms while, at the same time, ensuring institutional autonomy, especially in higher education. It means creating a classroom that nurtures excellence, and a campus that is free from fear and open to ideas and innovation.

Medical AI should be as much about equity as algorithms



SHASHANK
JOSHI AND
SHAMBO
SAMRAT
SAMAJDAR

In most countries regulation has followed innovation, not guided it. The result has been uneven standards and unclear accountability.

India's approach signals a different philosophy

NEW MEDICAL technologies are often described in terms of innovation — faster diagnoses, smarter predictions, streamlined workflows. But history tells us that technology does not *ipso facto* transform health systems. That requires governance, design, and intent. India's recently released national strategy for the use of advanced computational systems in healthcare invites a deeper conversation. Rather than presenting these systems as tools to be added onto clinical practice, the strategy treats them as part of the architecture of the health system.

In most countries regulation has followed innovation, not guided it. The result has been uneven standards and unclear accountability. India's approach signals a different philosophy. It begins with interoperable health records, consent-based data exchange, and nationally aligned norms. It acknowledges that computational systems reflect the data and institutions that sustain them. If such foundations are fragmented or unequal, technology will reproduce such weaknesses at scale.

Equally significant is the insistence that oversight cannot be a one-time approval. Performance can shift as contexts change. A model that works in a tertiary urban hospital may falter in a rural clinic. A prediction that appears accurate at launch may degrade over time. Governance, must, therefore, be about monitoring, reassessment, and, when necessary, withdrawal.

The strategy's most compelling feature is its treatment of fairness. In diverse societies, data rarely represent all communities equally. Without safeguards, systems trained on such data risk reinforcing inequities. By emphasising representativeness and equity impact assessment, the strategy confronts this risk. It also recognises that safe use depends on human capacity. It calls for structured training, institutional units dedicated to oversight, and integration of digital literacy into professional education.

There is also a political economy argument. Public procurement, interoperability requirements, and clear pathways from pilot to scale are treated as instruments of stewardship. By positioning the state as an active shaper of standards and incentives, the strategy suggests that public value must guide adoption.

Whether this vision succeeds will depend on implementation — transparent classification of risk, meaningful audit mechanisms, sustained investment in data quality, and coordination across federal bodies. Yet the conceptual shift is notable. Medicine has long regulated drugs and devices. It now faces the task of governing architectures that influence diagnosis, treatment pathways, resource allocation, and public health response. We can treat intelligent technologies as products, or as infrastructure to be stewarded. The latter demands humility, foresight, and institutional commitment. The true measure of progress will not be technological sophistication alone, but whether these systems strengthen trust, widen access, and protect the most vulnerable.

In that sense, the debate is not about technology. It is about the kind of health systems we choose to build.

Joshi is a Mumbai-based endocrinologist.
Samajdar is clinical pharmacologist and diabetes
and allergy-asthma therapeutics specialist in Kolkata

सींचना होगा मातृभाषाओं का स्वाभिमान

हर वर्ष 21 फरवरी विश्व में मातृभाषाओं के आहत स्वाभिमान और उसके खोए हुए आत्मविश्वास की वापसी का संकल्प और स्वप्न लेकर आती है। 1999 में यूनेस्को ने इस तिथि को अंतरराष्ट्रीय मातृभाषा दिवस के रूप में मनाने की घोषणा की थी। यह इस तथ्य को प्रमाणित करता है कि हम सांस्कृतिक वैविध्य को तभी बचा सकते हैं, जब विभिन्न भाषाओं को बचा पाएंगे। अंतरराष्ट्रीय मातृभाषा दिवस मनाने की पृष्ठभूमि पूर्वी पाकिस्तान (अब बांग्लादेश) के 'बांग्ला भाषा आंदोलन' में निहित है। पाकिस्तान ने उस पर उर्दू थोप दी थी। इस निर्णय से असंतुष्ट ढाका विश्वविद्यालय के छात्रों और कुछ सामाजिक संस्थाओं ने 21 फरवरी, 1952 को विरोध प्रदर्शन किया। पुलिस ने प्रदर्शन कर रहे लोगों पर गोलियां चला दीं। इसमें कई छात्र मारे गए। इन छात्रों की स्मृति में यूनेस्को ने 1999 में इस दिन को अंतरराष्ट्रीय मातृभाषा दिवस के रूप में मानने की घोषणा की। उसने मातृभाषा को मदर टंग के रूप में नहीं, बल्कि मदर लैंग्वेज के रूप में लिया। यानी आपकी मूल भाषा, जिसे प्राथमिक भाषा भी कहा जा सकता है। यह भाषा हमारी पहचान और हमारे मानसिक व्यक्तित्व के निर्माण का आधार होती है।

मातृभाषा का महत्व इसलिए है, क्योंकि हर भाषा की संरचना के सामाजिक-सांस्कृतिक स्रोत होते हैं। भाषा हमें अपने इतिहास, मिथक और परंपरा से जोड़ती है। यह जुड़ाव ही सामूहिक अस्मिता बनकर बेहद मूल्यवान बन जाता है। हमारा संस्कृति की धड़कन हमारी भाषा की अवधारणाओं, मिथकों, चिंतों और प्रत्ययों में मौजूद रहती है, लेकिन विडंबना यह है कि नए वैश्विक परिदृश्य और तेजी से परिवर्तित होती परिस्थितियों में अनेक भाषाएं अपने अस्तित्व के लिए संघर्ष कर रही हैं। संप्रेषण के नए युग में सांस्कृतिक वैविध्य और अन्य विविधताओं को मिटाकर एक समान संस्कृति, समान सभ्यता और समान रुचियों के 'विश्व माडल' की संकल्पना ने विकासशील और अल्प विकसित देशों की भाषाओं के समक्ष चुनौतियां पैदा की हैं। विश्व की अनेक भाषाओं पर गंभीर खतरा मंडरा रहा है।



कुनुद शर्मा



आवश्यक है मातृभाषाओं का संरक्षण-संवर्द्धन। फ़इत कई भाषाएं विलुप्त होने के कगार पर हैं। यूनेस्को का निष्कर्ष है कि हर दो हफ्ते में एक भाषा गायब होती है। शीघ्र ही ऐसा समय आएगा जब लगभग 1500 भाषाएं उपयोगकर्ताओं के अभाव में व्यवहार में नहीं रहेंगी। जब कोई भाषा दम तोड़ती है, तो उसके साथ एक मौलिक संस्कृति, एक मौलिक सभ्यता खत्म हो जाती है।

बच्चों के लिए शिक्षा का सबसे अच्छा माध्यम उनकी मातृभाषा है। अपनी मातृभाषा में बच्चे जल्दी सीखते हैं, लेकिन चिंता की बात है कि विश्व भर में 40 प्रतिशत बच्चे उस भाषा में शिक्षा ग्रहण नहीं कर पाते, जो भाषा वे घर में बोलते और समझते हैं। इस वर्ष के अंतरराष्ट्रीय मातृभाषा दिवस की थीम है- 'बहुभाषी शिक्षा के भविष्य में युवाओं की भूमिका।' गांधीजी ने बच्चों के मानसिक विकास के लिए मातृभाषा को उसी तरह आवश्यक बताया था, जैसे शारीरिक विकास के लिए मां का दूध। रवींद्रनाथ टैगोर ने कहा था कि यदि विज्ञान को जनसुलभ बनाना है, तो मातृभाषा के माध्यम से उसकी शिक्षा दी जानी चाहिए। उन्होंने शिक्षा का माध्यम मातृभाषा को बनाने की पैरवी करते हुए कहा था कि हमारा मन 13-14 वर्ष की उम्र में ज्ञान का प्रकाश और भाव

जब कोई भाषा दम तोड़ती है, तो उसके साथ एक मौलिक संस्कृति और एक मौलिक सभ्यता भी खत्म हो जाती है

का रस ग्रहण करने के लिए खुलता है, यदि उसी समय उसके ऊपर पराई भाषा के व्याकरण और शब्दकोश को रटने की बाध्यता थोप दी जाए, तो वह सुदृढ़ कैसे रह सकता है? ज्ञात हो कि टैगोर की शिक्षा इंग्लैंड में अंग्रेजी माध्यम से हुई थी। जब उन्होंने विश्वभारती विश्वविद्यालय की संकल्पना की, तो शिक्षा के माध्यम के रूप में मातृभाषा बांग्ला भाषा का चयन किया।

मातृभाषा में शिक्षा ग्रहण कर सफलता के शिखर पर कैसे पहुंचा जा सकता है, पूर्व राष्ट्रपति डा. एपीजे अब्दुल कलाम इसके सशक्त उदाहरण हैं। उन्होंने कहा था, 'मैं अच्छा विज्ञानी इसलिए बना, क्योंकि मैंने गणित और विज्ञान की शिक्षा मातृभाषा तमिल में प्राप्त की।' अच्छी बात है कि भारत सरकार ने राष्ट्रीय शिक्षा नीति-2020 के जरिये इस दिशा में पहल की है। प्रारंभिक शिक्षा के लिए मातृभाषा आधारित बहुभाषी शिक्षा के कार्यान्वयन ने शिक्षा प्रणाली को मजबूती प्रदान की है। विश्व के अनेक संपन्न देशों ने अपनी मातृभाषा में ही उन्नति के सोपान पार किए हैं। विज्ञानियों द्वारा यह स्थापित किया जा चुका है कि विश्व में सकल घरेलू उत्पाद में अग्रिम पंक्ति के 20 देश सारा कार्य अपनी मातृभाषा में ही करते हैं। जापान, जर्मनी और फ्रांस के लोगों की अपनी मातृभाषा के प्रति प्रतिबद्धता जगजाहिर है।

हमें समझना होगा कि भाषा मानवीय संस्कृति की टकसाल है। दुनिया की प्रत्येक भाषा अपने आप में एक संस्कृति की कथा संजोए रहती है। हर भाषा शब्द सृष्टि की अनंत संभावनाओं से परिपूर्ण है। इसलिए मातृभाषाओं का स्वाभिमान सींचना होगा। हम सभी भारतीयों का दायित्व है कि हम देश की भाषाई वैविध्य के सौंदर्य को बचाए रखने के लिए मातृभाषा के संरक्षण को दिशा में सार्थक कदम उठाएं। युवा पीढ़ी में संकल्प जगाएं कि वे अपनी मातृभाषा को अस्तित्व का कवच मानकर उसकी शक्ति, सामर्थ्य को विस्मृत नहीं होने दें।

(लेखिका महात्मा गांधी अंतरराष्ट्रीय हिंदी विश्वविद्यालय, वर्षा की कुलपति हैं)

response@jagran.com

अब टहलने की जगह नहीं, 'सीखने की पाठशाला' बनेंगे दिल्ली के पार्क

डीडीए की पहल, थियेटर से लेकर पर्यावरण शोध का मिलेगा अनुभव

संजीव गुप्ता • जागरण

नई दिल्ली: दिल्ली विकास प्राधिकरण (डीडीए) राष्ट्रीय राजधानी के पार्कों को एक नए कलेवर में ढालने जा रहा है। अब ये पार्क सही मायने में 'पब्लिक स्पेस' बनेंगे, जहां हरियाली के बीच आपको थियेटर से लेकर पर्यावरण अनुसंधान तक का अनुभव मिलेगा।



अशोक विहार स्थित डीडीए का वैष्णवी पार्क • जागरण आर्काइव

इसके तहत एलजी वीके सक्सेना के नेतृत्व में डीडीए ने शहर के फेफड़ों को जीवंत बनाने के लिए देश के प्रतिष्ठित संस्थानों जैसे राष्ट्रीय नाट्य विद्यालय (एनएसडी), दिल्ली विश्वविद्यालय (डीयू), दिल्ली टेक्नोलाजी यूनिवर्सिटी (डीटीयू) और डब्ल्यूडब्ल्यूएफ-इंडिया के साथ हाथ मिलाया है। ये संस्थाएं पार्कों में विभिन्न गतिविधियां आयोजित करेंगी। अब दिल्ली के पार्कों में केवल पक्षियों का कलरव ही नहीं, बल्कि कलाकारों की गूंज भी सुनाई देगी। एनएसडी के सहयोग से पार्कों में बच्चों, युवाओं और बुजुर्गों के लिए फ्री 'थिएटर वर्कशॉप' आयोजित की जाएंगी। इसके

अलावा डीयू के छात्र इन पार्कों और यमुना के फ्लड प्लेन में आकर 'अर्बन इकोलाजिकल रिसर्च' करेंगे, जिससे प्रकृति को समझने के नए रास्ते खुलेंगे। डीडीए के पास वर्तमान में करीब 16,000 एकड़ ग्रीन कवर है, जिसमें 773 अर्बन पार्क शामिल हैं।

अक्सर इन पार्कों के रखरखाव और असामाजिक तत्वों की मौजूदगी को लेकर शिकायतें आती रहती हैं। डीडीए का मानना है कि जब पार्कों में सांस्कृतिक और शैक्षणिक गतिविधियां बढ़ेंगी, तो आम लोगों का जुड़ाव भी बढ़ेगा।

नेचर हब और औषधीय पौधों की मिलेगी जानकारी: प्रकृति प्रेमियों के लिए यमुना किनारे बना 'असिता' खास आकर्षण का केंद्र होगा। यहां डब्ल्यूडब्ल्यूएफ-इंडिया के साथ मिलकर 'सिटिजन नेचर हब' बनाया जा रहा है, जहां नेचर वाक के जरिए लोगों को पर्यावरण के प्रति जागरूक किया जाएगा। वहीं, नेशनल मेडिकल प्लांट बोर्ड चुनिंदा पार्कों में ऐसी नर्सरी तैयार करेगा, जहां दुर्लभ औषधीय पौधों और भारत की बॉटैनिकल विरासत के बारे में जानकारी मिल सकेगी।

Decisive action is needed to deliver on education pledges

The overwhelming support of voters received by the BNP thrusts upon the new government a special responsibility and a challenge to meet people's expectations. The party's election pledges include a good number of education objectives and targets. Whether these promises reflect what is needed for essential education reform and how they can be turned into action and results are burning questions on people's minds.

Listed under the general tag line of "Achieving Equitable Socioeconomic Development and Sustainable State Capacity," with the heading "Education and Human Resource Development," the education objectives cover budget and infrastructure, primary and secondary education, higher education and research, madrasa and technical education, and teachers and overall reform.

Regarding the education budget, BNP has pledged to raise the allocation to five

percent of GDP (from the current allocation of less than two percent) as well as to promote digital technology (free Wi-Fi, multimedia classrooms, a tab for each teacher) and provide free school lunch and sanitation facilities for girls. Presumably the increased budget will pay for these items.

On school education, the items mentioned include providing students with school uniforms, expanding pre-primary education, a compulsory third language instruction (beyond Bangla and English), and focusing on extracurricular and "creative talent development."

The "one child, one tree" initiative is aimed at raising environmental awareness. In higher education, key items include introducing student loans and support for higher education abroad, tax exemption for private universities, and upgrading the National University which regulates

(very poorly) the academic quality of more than 2,200 colleges. Industry-academia collaboration and internship for students will be promoted.

Madrasa education and technical education are bracketed (in line with the current education ministry administrative structure). Modernisation of madrasas is a goal. The certificates of Qawmi madrasas are to be recognised and priority to be given on jobs. "Technical and vocational education for all" is promised.

In the "Teachers and Reform" section, an education reform commission for overall quality improvement is promised. Curriculum reform, recruitment of meritorious teachers, and simplifying the payment of retirement benefits for teachers and granting them state honours are mentioned. Special assistance will be provided to students injured in the July mass uprising.

An election manifesto, by its very nature, consists of pledges made to the public

based on a general perception of key problems and popular demands. It is not expected to be a well-developed action plan and strategy for a sector, especially one as complex and critical as education. Nonetheless, the pledges made by the newly elected governing party deserve scrutiny for what is included and excluded, and the feasibility of fulfilling them.

In Bangladesh, raising the budget allocation for education, which is one of the lowest in the world in terms of GDP ratio, has been a long-held demand. Increasing it to five percent has to be a long-term commitment, which can be met as the tax-GDP ratio grows from the current level (6.8 percent). However, to signal the government's sincerity, public investment in education must be increased in real terms year to year. Equally urgent is to direct funds to activities that demonstrably impact classroom learning, reduce inequity, and ensure better efficiency and accountability. *stafg*

A Member
Of The

ANN
ASIA NEWS NETWORK

Viral robodog video shows why Indian universities need less spin, more substance

INNOVATION



Y RAMGOPAL RAO

The recent episode involving a private university at the AI Impact Summit has been painful to watch.

First, a word for the students and faculty. There are good students and committed teachers in every institution. When something goes viral for the wrong reasons, it is not only the management that feels the heat. It is the young assistant professor building a research profile. Social media can be brutal. The debate quickly escalates into questions of national prestige. That part is deeply unfortunate. Move to the uncomfortable questions.

What is fundamentally wrong in such incidents is not one exhibition or one poorly handled

explanation. It is a culture where optics begin to dominate substance.

A serious academic institution does not need a marketing executive to explain a technical prototype. The person standing next to a demo must be someone who designed it, built it, coded it or experimentally validated it. The narrow scripted marketing language replaces technical depth, credibility collapses. In today's world, every audience member can fact-check in real time.

Second, excessive freedom to marketing teams in academic matters is risky. Universities are not branding agencies. Communication must be academically vetted. Leadership must take responsibility. Public claims are not just about viability. They reflect the intellectual integrity of the institution.

Third, when something goes wrong, own up to the mistake, make a clear clarification. Do not attempt to defend it with clever explanations

and wordplay. Institutions earn long-term respect through accountability, not spin.

There is also a deeper structural issue that such episodes expose.

An academic institution cannot file an extraordinary number of patents without extraordinary investment. Filing, processing and maintaining patents costs serious money. Converting ideas into ground patents and then into licensed technologies requires lab, researchers, legal support and sustained funding. If financial incentives do not align with public claims, the research will eventually wither.

The same applies to publications. Quality research is expensive. Equipment, maintenance, PhD fellowships, postdoctoral support, conference travel and publication costs all add up. If management is not aggressive in building infrastructure and funding, perverse incentives creep in. Quantity replaces quality. Faculty feel press-

ured. Students get pushed into superficial outputs. The ecosystem rotates. Word travels.

Rankings cannot be used as a shield. Many stakeholders understand how metrics can be gamed. Enduring reputation is built over decades of consistent work, not through sudden spikes in numbers.

There are several institutions in the country walking a similar path. The only difference is that they have not yet faced scrutiny. They should learn from this moment. Build guardrails before you are forced to.

Align claims with capacity. Make research expenditure and outcomes transparent. Ensure that every technical claim is validated by faculty. Stop reducing academic performance to crude commercial targets. Encourage depth and real problem-solving.

If your strength is undergraduate teaching, embrace it. There is nothing inferior about being an excellent teaching-focused institution. Not

every university needs to project itself as a research powerhouse.

Finally, regulators must intervene earlier when visible anomalies appear in patent counts, publication patterns or faculty ratios. Timely correction is far better than public embarrassment.

One potential fix for the students and faculty who had no role in strategic decisions but now face the consequences of perception. The way forward is not outrage. It is reform.

Let this be a moment of introspection for all of us. Let us build institutions that are honest about their capabilities, transparent in their claims, and anchored in work that is backed by real investment.

Reputation is fragile in this age of viral video. Culture, if earned in time, can still secure the future. ■

He is the chairman of
MITS Prati group of institutions and
former director of IIT Delhi

times

Rishabh Bhaskar

India often speaks proudly about its demographic dividend, digital expansion, and deep-tech ambition. Yet beneath the optimism runs a quiet contradiction: we expect 21st-century innovation from an education and research ecosystem still shaped by 19th-century structures.

Many institutions continue to work with ageing laboratories. School science remains theory-centred. Teachers are overburdened. Industry engagement is sporadic. Even our brightest students rarely interact with authentic scientific work before entering the job market.

If India wishes to lead the world in climate tech, biotechnology, advanced manufacturing, defence R&D, and space innovation by 2030, a slow, incremental path will not suffice. We need a decisive shift from the classroom as the primary learning unit to a distributed national knowledge grid, co-created through modern Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) that are capability building, flexible, modular, and innovation-driven.

Learning Ecosystems: Around the world, the EU's Digital Education Action Plan and America's regional innovation hubs have shown that learning ecosystems – not isolated campuses – build innovation capacity. India needs its own version: Knowledge Grid + Public Infra-

structure + Private Expertise + Student Mobility. This involves institutions providing classrooms, labs, and academic frameworks; industry contributing tools, domain knowledge, mentors, and real problem statements; and students moving seamlessly across these nodes, learning from real challenges rather than outdated theory. Every district becomes a STEM node. Every node connects to a national lab and industrial

clusters. Not a new mega-campus but a living network.

Industrial Sandbox Rooms: A major barrier in India is late exposure to real scientific tools. Students often encounter equipment for the first time in their twenties. If at all. A rapid reform is to create Industrial Sandbox Rooms in schools and colleges. These are small tactically designed micro-labs equipped with simplified technical machines, simu-

lators for electronics, chip design, drone systems, and materials, and quarterly "challenge kits" inspired by industry use-cases. Students will learn through experimentation, failure-driven insight, and project-based exploration. Germany's dual-system and South Korea's early-tech exposure models demonstrate the transformative power of such small units. India can adopt the same logic as Indian craft points, with Indian

ingenuity.

Inserted Internships: One-week internships cannot build competence. A more realistic model is the Inserted Internship. This will involve industry-on-campus modules or three-day bursts where engineers, designers, and technicians teach how problems are actually designed, how constraints shape engineering, how failures are analysed, and how real teams solve practical problems. This re-

moves relocation barriers, cuts bureaucracy, and injects contemporary industry thinking into classrooms.

Challenge Ranks: By 2030, India doesn't need more syllabus chapters. It needs thousands of real-world problem statements. A National STEM Challenge Rank – drawing challenges from ISRO, DRDO, CSIR labs, hospitals, agri-tech centres, environmental missions, and startups – can redefine how India

learns. Student teams solve these problems and earn micro-credits, internship priority, and national recognition. This shifts STEM from exam culture to solution culture.

Public-Private Teaching Fellowships: Across India, thousands of brilliant professionals hold expertise that rarely enters education. A Teaching Fellowship can channel this talent. Professionals teach for four hours a week for one semester, supported by contribution credits and CSR-linked incentives. They bring authenticity, current industry insight, real career visibility, and role modelling. Teachers receive reinforcement. Students gain clarity. Industry helps shape its own future workforce.

District STEM Operator Academies: India's innovation bottleneck is not just scientists. It is also the shortage of skilled operators, technicians, instrument specialists, and lab managers. These academies, jointly run by institutions and industry, can train youth in equipment handling, calibration and testing, prototyping, quality systems, and repair and maintenance. Europe's technician schools and America's community college lab-tech programmes show how vital this layer is. India urgently needs its own.

STEM Access Pass: A simple but powerful reform is a subscription that lets any student use participating labs and teacher rooms

across institutions. This model increases lab utilisation, gives institutions predictable revenue, ensures equitable access, and breaks the public-private resource divide. It is practical, democratic, and deployable at a national scale.

Rural Talent Express: India's talent is everywhere but access is not. A Rural Talent Express fleet – mobile labs, drone classrooms, coding buses, and sensor vans – can bring monthly exposure to electronics, drone mapping, coding, sample testing, and materials basics. This ensures that the next generation of inventors may emerge from any block, not only major cities.

Access → Exposure → Capability → Innovation. This is the cycle that built the EU's maker ecosystems and America's innovation clusters. India already has the talent. It needs to give that talent early trust and early tools. If we build this Knowledge Grid now, by 2030 India will see every Class 10 student confident with real instruments, rural districts contributing innovation, strong industry-academia collaboration, a large, skilled technical workforce, and STEM becoming a national capability, not a specialised niche.

India does not require decades to transform its scientific destiny but system, coordination, and a redesigned ecosystem.

The school in this Grid and industry

collaboration is critical

WAVE

Access, Exposure, Capability, Innovation

How India can build a STEM Knowledge Grid by 2030



ART BY ANSHU KUMAR

Talent in the AI age: Code coolies to core engineers

For decades, India's most talented engineers served like coolies for American corporations. Many were sent on H-1B visas to handle the work few others wanted: maintaining aging systems, cleaning up bloated codebases, migrating databases at odd hours, and keeping global enterprises running in the background. The arrangement generated prosperity and foreign exchange, and it built formidable Indian IT giants. Yet it also meant that too much of India's technical talent was confined to sustaining other nations' systems instead of building its own. Engineers were treated as low-cost labour rather than as co-creators of intellectual property — and then disparaged for supposedly taking American jobs. The trade-off was accepted because it delivered growth; it also postponed a deeper transformation.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is now forcing a reckoning, because the playing field has shifted, and for the first time in centuries, India has the tools to build its own future rather than serve someone else's.

At the recent India AI Impact Summit, V Kamakoti, director of IIT Madras, called AI disruption a blessing in disguise for core engineers. He argued that India has invested heavily in training mechanical, civil, electrical, and biotech engineers, only to see them drift into generic IT roles because that's where the jobs and money were, a misallocation of national resources. As AI automates coding, testing, documentation, and maintenance, that door closes. Core engineers will have stronger incentives to remain in their disciplines, reskill with AI and apply it to real problems in infrastructure, manufacturing, energy, and medicine — precisely where India needs them.

They did not spend years mastering thermodynamics, structural mechanics, or bio-engineering to waste their lives maintaining legacy systems. The move into IT services was often driven by opportunity and economic reality, not by a lack of ambition. AI now creates the opportunity to apply their skills to work that serves a broader purpose and demands deeper expertise. It opens the door to projects that are more creative, more technically rigorous, and more consequential. It allows engineers to return to deep science without becoming peripheral, turning computation into a powerful multiplier of their domain knowledge rather than a substitute for it.

I work with Kamakoti's engineers through Vionix Biosciences, where IIT Madras teams are building the plasma physics and spectroscopy hardware for my company that has the potential to impact billions of lives. What stands out is not just their ambition, but their hunger — and their values. These engineers want to invent, not maintain; to build systems that matter, not service ones that already

exist. They do this on modest university salaries, a fraction of what they could earn in the IT industry. They choose it because they want to solve real scientific problems and create technologies that improve lives on a large scale.

These values and that hunger are India's real edge. They align directly with Union IT minister Ashwini Vaishnaw's New Delhi Frontier AI Commitments unveiled at the summit, which emphasise deploying frontier AI for the public good. The framework moves the focus away from raw scale, benchmarks, and speculative valuations and toward measurable impact, inclusion, and responsibility. It calls for embedding intelligence into the systems that shape everyday life — health care, agriculture, infrastructure, and education — so that AI strengthens society rather than merely inflating market capitalisations.

That shift, however, only works if AI is treated as necessary infrastructure rather than hype, as a tool for strengthening society rather than a vehicle for greed and short-term profit.

That is because AI is like electricity, an enabler. On its own, it is neither good nor bad. Electricity transformed the world by lighting cities, powering factories, electrifying transportation, enabling modern health care, and shrinking distances through communication. It expanded productivity and improved daily life. AI can do the same. When embedded into real systems and fused with deep engineering — in health care, infrastructure, energy, and agriculture — it can unlock capabilities that were previously out of reach. Used wisely, it enlarges what societies are capable of.

India has all the necessary ingredients to make that happen: strong engineering institutions, deep mathematical talent, and massive-scale challenges in health care, environment, and infrastructure that few countries confront simultaneously. These are daily constraints on growth and quality of life. Addressing them requires engineers grounded in the fundamentals of physics, biology, and systems design, who use AI to deepen their expertise rather than replace it.

Why is India so important in the AI race? Because elsewhere, the incentives are skewed. In Silicon Valley, AI is increasingly about money — pouring billions into ever-larger models chasing marginal gains, benchmarks, and valuations. In China, AI is closely tied to surveillance systems, social credit architectures, and military applications. Neither trajectory will uplift humanity.

India can solve real problems that affect billions. By fusing frontier AI with deep engineering and grounding it in inclusive governance and public-purpose applications, it can embed intelligence into health care diagnostics at population scale, climate-resilient infrastructure, agricultural systems, water management, and energy grids. Done right, it can help tackle challenges for all of humanity, not just optimise the margins of a few.

Both V Kamakoti and Ashwini Vaishnaw are right about this moment: AI disruption is not a threat but a reset. Without doubt, it can move India from being the world's maintenance crew to becoming one of its principal builders.



Vivek Wadhwa

AI ALLOWS ENGINEERS TO RETURN TO DEEP SCIENCE WITHOUT BECOMING PERIPHERAL, TURNING COMPUTATION INTO A POWERFUL MULTIPLIER OF THEIR DOMAIN KNOWLEDGE RATHER THAN A SUBSTITUTE FOR IT

Vivek Wadhwa is CEO, Vionix Biosciences. The views expressed are personal

Old models don't serve new realities.

India must reimagine PhDs



KISHORE PAKNIKAR

A RECENT development in China has stirred a debate within academic circles. Some doctoral degrees in engineering are now being awarded on the successful demonstration of a working system or product and not on the basis of a written thesis. The news has generated predictable reactions. Some see it as a dilution of academic standards. Others view it as long overdue.

For India, the real value of this episode lies in reflection. For decades, the PhD has been treated as a gold standard of intellectual achievement. It has produced discoveries, theories, and generations of trained minds. Yet it was designed for a world where knowledge moved slowly, laboratories were insulated from markets, and impact was measured mainly through publications.

That world no longer exists.

Today, governments invest heavily in research with the expectation that it will address visible national problems. Industries look to universities for solutions that work outside the lab. In this context, a mismatch has emerged between what doctoral training produces and what the country increasingly needs.

This is not a new tension. It is the old debate between fundamental and application-oriented research, resurfacing in a new form. Fundamental research seeks understanding for its own sake. It is unpredictable, slow, and often transformative in ways no one anticipates. Application-oriented research starts with a problem and works backwards, constrained by cost, scale, safety, and reliability. Both are essential. The mistake is to assume that one can be judged entirely by the standards of the other.

Traditional PhD training grew largely within the culture of fundamental research. Success is measured through originality, publications, and citations. But when doctoral students are embedded in mission-driven projects, the hardest part is often to create that research survives beyond a journal, under real conditions.

China's experiment should therefore be read less as a challenge to scholarship, more as a signal. It reflects a deliberate choice to recognise a different kind of doctoral excellence in engineering

and technology. China has not abandoned fundamental science. It has simply created parallel pathways for recognising deep applied capability.

Many countries have already taken steps in this direction through industrial PhDs, where candidates work jointly with universities and companies. These programmes still retain a thesis, but the questions are shaped by real-world needs.

India is in the middle of a major restructuring of its research ecosystem. The As-

sandhan National Research Foundation and the broader research, development and innovation push aim to expand research beyond a handful of elite institutions. Large mission-mode programmes are being articulated in water, energy, agriculture, health, electronics, climate adaptation, space, and defence.

These ambitions cannot be met by funding alone. They depend on people who can translate ideas into robust systems. Doctoral students are the backbone of this effort. If the training remains disconnected from deployment realities, national missions will struggle. At the same time, if doctoral standards are diluted in the name of relevance, the long-term intellectual base will erode.

There is another, often ignored, complication. Academic behaviour is shaped not only by degrees, but by incentive. University rankings and individual evaluations rely heavily on publication counts, citations, and journal prestige. These metrics reward visibility and volume. A system that values only what is easy to count will systematically undervalue what is hard to measure, such as field performance, long-term reliability, and societal trust.

India needs to broaden what doctoral excellence can look like. One path is to strengthen industrial and mission-linked PhD tracks, where theses are built around validated solutions rather than isolated experiments. Another is to develop professional doctorates in applied domains that coexist with the PhD, without pretending to be the same thing. Above all, evaluation systems must evolve to recognise outcomes that matter beyond academia.

The question India should ask is not whether to follow China, but whether its doctoral system is aligned with its aspirations. A strong nation needs people who ask deep questions and those who come up with dependable answers. The danger lies in pretending that old models alone can serve new realities.

The author is ANRF Prime Minister Professor, COEP Technological University, Pune, and former director, Agharta Research Institute, Pune

AI and the transformation of India's education system



**RICHARD
HAY**

India has recently concluded a major international convention on Artificial Intelligence, underscoring the nation's growing ambition to emerge as a global hub of advanced technology and innovation.

This landmark event should prompt a deeper national introspection: how can AI be systematically harnessed to upgrade the quality, reach, and relevance of education across the country? Education, after all, is the foundation upon which economic growth, social equity, and national progress firmly rest.

Artificial Intelligence offers far more than a technological upgrade; it provides an opportunity for a structural transformation of the educational ecosystem. Traditional education systems, constrained by uniform syllabi and standardised teaching methods, often fail to address individual learning differences. AI, by contrast, enables a shift towards personalised, adaptive, and learner-centric education, which is indispensable in a diverse country like India.

Personalised and adaptive learning

One of the most significant contributions of AI lies in its ability to tailor learning experiences to individual students. AI-powered platforms



can analyse a student's learning speed, comprehension levels, strengths, and weaknesses, and adjust content accordingly. This ensures that no student is left behind due to rigid instructional methods, while simultaneously allowing gifted students to advance beyond prescribed limits. Such adaptability can drastically reduce dropout rates and improve learning outcomes nationwide.

Enhancing teaching effectiveness

AI should be viewed as an enabler for teachers, not a replacement. By automating routine and time-consuming tasks such as grading, attendance tracking, and basic assessments, AI allows teachers to focus on

higher-value roles: mentoring, critical thinking development, ethical guidance, and creativity.



Moreover, data-driven insights generated by AI can help teachers identify learning gaps early and design targeted interventions.

Bridging regional and social inequalities

India's education system is marked by stark disparities between urban and rural institutions, and between privileged and marginalised communities. AI-driven

digital education platforms can help bridge these gaps by delivering high-quality content in multiple Indian languages, providing remote access to expert instruction, and ensuring uniform academic standards. When integrated with public education initiatives, AI can become a powerful tool for educational equity and national integration.

Curriculum reform and skill orientation

Beyond pedagogy, AI must be embedded into the curriculum itself. Students should not only learn with AI but also learn about AI. Digital literacy, data reasoning, ethical use of technology, and interdisciplinary problem-solving must become integral com-

ponents of education from the secondary level onwards. Such exposure will prepare students for future employment landscapes shaped by automation and intelligent systems.

Phased implementation across educational levels

A pertinent question arises: can AI-based reforms be introduced simultaneously across all levels of education? The answer lies in phased and context-sensitive implementation.

- At the primary level, AI can support foundational literacy, numeracy, and inclusive learning.
- At the secondary level, AI can assist subject mastery, personalised assessments, and career guidance.
- At the higher education

level, AI must drive advanced research, innovation, and global competitiveness.

Leadership role of premier institutions

India's premier institutions, particularly the Indian Institutes of Technology, have a vital national role to play. Their academic and research expertise can guide curriculum redesign, teacher training, and the development of indigenous AI solutions suited to Indian conditions. By mentoring universities and colleges across the country, these institutions can help elevate higher education to international standards while safeguarding national priorities.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence, if adopted with foresight and responsibility, can bring about a sea change in India's educational system. The challenge before policymakers, educators, and institutions is to ensure that this transformation is inclusive, ethical, and sustainable. With a clear national vision, robust institutional support, and sustained investment in human capacity, AI can empower Indian students to become not merely participants but leaders in the global knowledge economy.

The time to embed these transformative changes into our educational curriculum is not in the distant future—it is now.

Writer is a former Member of Parliament and Retd. Professor

EXTRACURRICULARS ON BOARD'S PRIORITY LIST

Mind over marks, CBSE tells schools

JHINUK MAZUMDAR

Calcutta: The Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) has directed all affiliated schools through a circular to prioritise extracurricular activities and periodically review the examination pattern to create a "broader sense of identity among students beyond test scores and ranks".

The focus of the circular sent last week is mental health and wellness. The board has asked schools to sensitise parents so that they do not put undue academic pressure on students, recognise signs of psychological distress, and respond empathetically.

The message comes from a board that many parents choose over others because they want their children to focus solely on academics and excel in competitive examinations after Class XII.

"The school shall prioritise extra-curricular activities, including sports, arts, and personality development initiatives. The examination pattern shall be periodically reviewed to cultivate a broader sense of identity among students beyond test scores and ranks," the circular said.

The CBSE has asked schools to regularly organise sensitisation programmes for parents on students' mental health.

"It shall sensitise parents and guardians to avoid placing undue academic pressure, to recognise signs of psychological distress, and to respond, empathetically and supportively," the circular says.

In addition, emotional regulation, mental health literacy, life skills education and awareness of institutional support services shall be integrated into student orientation programmes and curricular activities, the board has said.

Many children migrate to the CBSE after Class X, hoping to have better



chances of cracking engineering and medical entrance tests. Premier schools affiliated to other boards face an exodus of bright students after Class X.

A section of parents insists that their children should pursue science in Classes XI and XII, without caring about the kids' preferences and interests. In some cases, when students lack the required marks, parents start negotiating with the school to allow the student to study science.

An official from a CBSE school cited the example of a Class XI boy who wanted to switch from science to humanities before the Class XI final exam this year.

"The boy wanted to give up physics, chemistry and math before the Class XI final exam. A child wanting to do so after studying the subjects for a whole year says how difficult it might be for him to cope with the academic rigour that these subjects demand. The stress builds when children have no interest or inclination towards the subject," the school principal said.

According to the schools, most parents still want their child to be "a doctor or an engineer".

"But not everybody is cut out for that," said Jaidev Ghosh, the South Point High School principal. "Schools and parents have to identify what a student is good at, which could be theatre, arts, football, or something else and

hence the focus on diversified fields."

The CBSE has told schools to provide regular, structured career counselling services for students and guardians to reduce "unrealistic academic pressure, promote awareness of diverse academic and professional pathways, and assist students in making informed and interest-based career decisions".

Ghosh said parents were not always to be blamed.

"A teacher can see varied perspectives because she is looking at 500 students. For the parent, all aspirations rest on that single child. They tend to think that if they are sending their children to the same school, giving them equal opportunities or maybe more than their peers, then why would that not yield equal results?" said Ghosh.

A singular focus on exams and giving up all extracurricular activities before them has become the norm across schools.

Thin attendance for sports or students backing out at the last minute are also challenges schools encounter.

"We have 15 activities in the new session, and unlike earlier, they will be open to students up to Class XII and not only up to Class VIII. Children have to be encouraged to have hobbies. Scrolling the phone is not a hobby and does not relax their body and mind," said Satabdi Bhattacharjee, principal, The Newtown School.

"In today's dynamic and demanding educational landscape, the emotional resilience and mental health of our students require collective attention and proactive support," the board has said.

All teaching and non-teaching staff must undergo mandatory training sessions at least twice a year under certified mental health professionals on identification of warning signs, response to self-harm and referral mechanisms.

Reclaiming intellectual freedom on varsity campuses



SHELLEY WILIA
FORMER PROFESSOR,
PINAR UNIVERSITY

THE global academic landscape of 2020, as laid out in the Times Higher Education 'Places of the Year', presents a tableau of a sector in transition or perhaps under siege. For India, these global issues are immediate, visceral realities. As the nation marches towards Viksit Bharat by 2047, the university stands at a precipice, caught between the potential of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and a creeping institutional bureaucratisation that risks dismantling its intellectual core.

To navigate this, the university has to reclaim itself as a beacon of inter-disciplinary, trans-disciplinary and the liberal arts. This requires a synthesis of global academic standards with an assertive, Indian intellectual tradition that views education as an instrument of freedom and democratic renewal, not merely a marketplace for employability alone.

The global trend reflects a preference for crisis managers over intellectual stewards. We see leaders defined by their

ability to navigate budgetary consolidation and labour-market metrics rather than a vision for the humanities or pure sciences. In India, this has manifested as a moral degradation of regulatory bodies into bureaucratic functionaries. The University Grants Commission (UGC), once the guardian of academic autonomy, has pivoted towards a culture of compliance, embedding austerity and managerial expediency as governing norms.

When universities are reimagined as corporate entities, chasing vacuous 'world-class' rankings, the *raison d'être* of the institution stands threatened. In India, the rampant contractualisation of academic labour and the narrowing of permissible inquiry suggest a 'regime of risk', where dissent is viewed as a systemic glitch rather than a democratic necessity of the refusal to surrender the university's role as a site of unfinishing critique.

Against this backdrop of technocratic expertise, Professor Martha Nussbaum's warnings in *Not for Profit: Why Democracy Needs the Humanities* take on a local urgency. Nussbaum argues that the frantic pursuit of rational profit leads to a 'liberal crisis' where skills essential for critical thinking, for the ability to transcend local loyalties and the capacity for 'narrative imagination' are being depleted.

The NEP 2020 call for inter-disciplinarity is a welcome



BOOKENDED: The university is caught between the potential of NEP 2020 and bureaucratisation. *Shelley Wilia*

shift, promising to dismantle the rigid disciplines that have long relegated the humanities to a 'soft' or 'ornamental' status. For decades, Indian education system has been shackled by hierarchies where the sciences occupy the apex and the arts are viewed as a luxury. The consequences are visible in the impoverishment of both: the scientists trained without ethical awareness and artists remaining alienated from empirical thought.

True inter-disciplinarity, however, is not a cosmetic milking of subjects but a dialogue of epistemologies. It is the recognition that a student of computer science must also engage with the philosophy of mind and a student of economics must understand the histories of social struggle.

This vision recalls the 'Great Books' tradition in the West, where students of all disciplines engage with the ques-

When universities are reimagined as corporate entities, chasing vacuous 'world-class' rankings, the *raison d'être* of the institution stands threatened.

tions of civilisation from Homer to Freud as an exercise in human understanding.

If an extraordinary intellectual culture is to be achieved, Paulo Freire's critique of the 'banking model' of education, where students are passive containers for pre-packaged data, becomes relevant. Freire's *Pedagogy of the Oppressed* is a reminder that education is either a practice of domination or a practice of freedom. In the Indian context, this means moving away from the 'desk-making' colonial legacy to pedagogy of inquiry.

The Indian Knowledge System offers an opportunity for epistemic decolonisation. It allows us to witness indigenous epistemologies — from ancient mathematics to plant-like philosophies — and re-locate them within the global conversation. However, this must be an act of critical engagement, not ideological

assertion. What has to be adopted is Rabindranath Tagore's practice at Santiniketan of a 'wholeness' of learning where the arts and sciences cohabit, and where education prepares the individual for life itself, not just for the global market.

The rise of AI and governing logic in 2020 complicates this landscape. AI is often presented as a neutral tool for efficiency, but in the university, it risks intensifying the erosion of pedagogic relationships. When culture is 'simplified' or automated for the sake of accountability, we surrender it to algorithmic rationality, overlooking the democratic need for enhancing the cognitive potential of students. Making culture 'usable' merely lets market forces call the shots. If all ideas are reduced equal and any opinion suffices, students lose the ability to tell the difference between information and wisdom. As the 'Places of 2025' list suggests, we are increasingly producing 'adaptable' workers rather than 'critical' thinkers.

To catalyse a shift that aligns with the vision of Viksit Bharat, the higher education sector must adopt a model that integrates governance, academics and national aspiration into a single framework. This requires a shift from bureaucratic control to traditional autonomy, where the Higher Education Bill serves as a facilitator for intellectual innovation rather than a new vocabulary for old constraints.

Academically we must move

towards a curriculum that breaks the fragmentation as a core necessity for all, ensuring a student gains a moral imagination through literature, just as an artist understands the structural precision of biology. Administratively, we must move from more compliance managers, supported by a funding model that views public investment as a democratic duty rather than a fiscal burden.

Only by fostering a research culture that values historical inquiry as much as economic data, and by pursuing internationalisation from a position of intellectual sovereignty, can we ensure that universities become engines of renewal.

We stand at a juncture where policymakers and educators can no longer evade the crucial question: Is survival the highest ambition we can offer higher education? Or will we reclaim the university as a public institution committed to knowledge, dissent and democratic imagination?

The NEP has provided the framework, however, the implementation must be a 'renaissance of learning.' The measure of our success will not be our rank in a metric, but our ability to produce thoughtful citizens capable of imagining a just, humane and intellectually vibrant future. A civilisation that separates its knowledge from its wisdom or its science from its art imperils both.

John J. Kennedy



Institutions fail as experience is marginalised in univ campuses

There is a phrase that circulates, half in jest and half in judgment, across many university campuses: "deadwood". It refers to senior academics who appear less visible in the race for grants, publications and institutional visibility. The term often surfaces in corridor conversations or in meetings, accompanied by knowing smiles. However, in truth, what the label reveals is what universities have come to value, and what they increasingly overlook, rather than the aging professors. A fundamental shift has occurred in academic culture, both in India and across the world, as universities today reward energy, speed and visibility: meetings favour those who speak readily, frame ambitious proposals, and deploy the language of contemporary higher education, such as innovation, world-class excellence and disruption. Younger academics, understandably, learn this vocabulary quickly. They recognise that sounding enthusiastic, proposing expansive initiatives, and aligning with institutional priorities enhance visibility and career prospects.

Many senior academics operate differently, grounded in experience and sustained by deep conviction and commitment to their initiative. Having lived through multiple cycles of reform, restructuring and strategic reinvention, they know that not every bold initiative survives in the face of reality. They have seen centres launched with fanfare and later abandoned, curricula revised and then quietly restored, budgets promised and subsequently withdrawn. Their interventions, therefore, often take the form of practical questions: do we have the faculty strength? Is the funding secure? What is the long-term plan? In a culture that celebrates ambition, such realism can be misread as pessimism or defeatism.

The difference, therefore, is not one of commitment but of orientation. Early-career scholars are trained to imagine what might be possible; senior scholars remember what has already been attempted, and why it failed. Both dispositions are essential to institutional health. However, when universities reward only the language of aspiration, experience subtly begins to look like resistance.

The dominance of metrics has only deepened this divide. Contemporary universities run on quantifiable indicators: publication counts, citation indices, grant income, and rankings. These measures privilege speed and volume. Younger academics, shaped by publish-or-perish cultures, excel at rapid output and become "rising stars", occasionally taking questionable shortcuts. Senior scholars, especially in fields like history or literary studies, where work matures slowly, follow different rhythms. They spend years on books, mentor generations and shape institutions. Much of this labour is invisible to metrics, so it appears low-output despite its profound influence.

Career incentives also change with age. Early-career academics need recognition, promotion, and influence. Speaking frequently, proposing initiatives, and displaying with leadership are indicators of engagement. Senior academics, especially in later career stages, often no longer require such validation. Their reputations are already formed. When they speak, they typically offer caution or perspective rather than seek approval. In fast-paced institutional cultures, this difference in motivation is frequently mistaken for withdrawal. The mismatch is particularly evident in strategic planning exercises. Universities announce lofty, sometimes aspirational goals in global rankings targets and research drives.

Younger faculty often respond with enthusiasm. Then a senior professor asks quietly: "Do we possess the necessary resources and expertise?" Such questions do not oppose progress; they arise from memory. Yet in rooms oriented toward aspiration, realism can appear deflationary. This is ironic because institutions urgently need this grounding perspective.

Because ambition, untempered by experience, will only produce burnout and abandoned programmes, senior academics recognise these patterns as they have lived through them. Their hesitation is not reluctance but accumulated knowledge.

Unfortunately, many universities today adopt corporate managerial styles, deliberation itself has narrowed. Decision-making increasingly follows templates; metrics substitute for professional judgment. Senior faculty must be included in conversations, but often peripherally. Their presence shows continuity; their influence is limited. Respect becomes symbolic rather than substantive.

As a result, many experienced academics with drive, not out of indifference but from recognition that their contributions no longer shape outcomes. The language of "deadwood" then rationalises a process already underway: the marginalisation of experience. Do universities lose anything in the process? Surely. Do they gain? Perhaps not. The biggest loss is forgetting institutional memory, the lived memory from experience, not from books, enabling institutions to avoid earlier experiments and avoid repeating mistakes. Decisions, they lose intellectual ballast, the voices that slow decisions until assumptions are tested. They diminish mentorship, which is the long, patient cultivation of younger scholars that cannot be reduced to metrics. Finally, they weaken the balance between aspiration and pro-

duce on which institutions depend.

University administrators must realise that healthy universities require both energies. Younger scholars bring imagination, urgency, and new directions. Senior scholars bring perspective, continuity, and hard-won wisdom. When either predominates excessively, institutions drift toward reckless expansion on one side or stagnation on the other. Worryingly, contemporary academic culture has tilted strongly toward speed and output, so much so that experience is increasingly marginalised for inertia.

Reframing this imbalance requires widening what universities value. Judgment, foresight, and stewardship should count alongside publications and grants. Leaders must see critical questions as expertise and institutional care. Evaluation systems should recognise long-term scholarship, mentorship, and disciplinary stewardship as core contributions, not peripheral.

The phrase "deadwood" is therefore not merely coined imperfectly. It obscures a complex reality. Senior academics often speak less because they have learned that words carry institutional consequences. Their measured interventions arise from years of observing how universities evolve and endure. If institutions come to hear them, campuses may appear more static but will become less collective, less self-correcting, and ultimately less wise. That loss will reshape the university's institutional identity. Yes, when memory is sketched and only momentum revealed, every generation that follows loses the past.

The writer is retired professor and former dean of the School of Advanced Humanities at Christ University in Bangalore.

Attracting talent positioned abroad

When Washington imposed a one-time \$1,00,000 fee on the issuance of new H-1B visa petitions in 2025, the move did more than unsettle young Indian engineers based in the U.S. It also forced skilled professionals to reassess the cost of their American aspirations and compelled companies to reconsider where they source and retain talent.

A geopolitical earthquake triggered by the Trump administration has also coincided with a moment when India is looking for its best talent positioned abroad to think and act Swadeshi and consider reinvesting in India. Macrotrends in this regard have all been adversely positioned over the last 10 years, given how poorly India's domestic private investment scenario has been despite the best government efforts. Recent government signals indicate a structured push to re-engage India's global talent through initiatives including Global Access to Talent from India (GATI), eMigrate V2.0, Visiting Advanced Joint Research (VAJRA) Faculty Scheme, and the Know India Programme. Senior officials have urged overseas professionals to "return and reinvest" amid global visa uncertainties.

State-level experiences

A common paradox in India's major metropolises is that while they excel at building startup ecosystems, they remain unliveable for families of returnees. Maharashtra continues to be the nation's largest startup cluster. It also has a Startup, Entrepreneurship, and Innovation Policy (2025). However, because of the lack of housing subsidies, school seat guarantees, and spouse-employment support, household entry costs remain high. This offsets declining firm costs and makes its congested, expensive cities affordable only to the wealthy. Delhi draws more returnees due to its institutional centrality, proximity to national



Deepanshu Mohan

Professor and Dean, O.P. Jindal Global University; Visiting Professor at London School of Economics; and Academic Research Fellow at University of Oxford. Geetaali Malhotra and Aditi Lazarus contributed to this piece.

The H-1B disruption could catalyse a wave of innovation if cities, universities, and firms strengthen social and research infrastructure to retain returnees

labs, policy networks, and ministries than its entrepreneurial volume. This benefit is enhanced by a proposed startup to increase venture activity. However, Delhi serves as both a gateway and a gatekeeper, favouring those with established institutional capital due to housing costs and extensive recruitment networks at universities and institutes. Karnataka serves as an example of structural ambition devoid of absorptive realism. Its Beyond Bengaluru and Skill Development Policy (2025-32) seek to decentralise growth through Global Capability Centres in Mysuru and Mangaluru. However, "family-readiness" is constrained by inadequate global research infrastructure, healthcare, and education.

These cases are connected by two empirical insights. First, States give institutional support such as incubators, seed money, and infrastructure priority over family relocation policies. Second, mobility studies demonstrate that while wages draw migrants, retention is influenced by networks, spouse employment, and educational attainment. This unevenness shows that while States compete to attract firms, they rarely plan for people. The absence of housing, education, and spousal employment provisions explains why returnees often view India as a temporary assignment rather than a permanent reintegration.

H-1B policy shift

With nearly 71% H-1B approvals in FY2024, India's dominance in the H-1B visa programme is unparalleled. This dominance makes India highly sensitive to U.S. policy shifts. According to the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, in FY 2024, 71% of the 3,99,395 total H-1B approvals were Indian nationals and 46% of total beneficiaries held a master's degree.

The educational profile of H-1B holders has also shifted markedly over time: the proportion of

workers with a master's degree as their highest qualification rose from 31% in 2000 to 57% in 2021, while those with only a bachelor's degree declined from 57% to 34%. Following policy revisions in September 2025, the U.S. introduced limited exemptions to the proposed \$1,00,000 filing fee, particularly for applicants already in the country who transition from F-1 student to H-1B status. This provides short-term relief for U.S.-educated Indian graduates but affects new overseas applicants. Shivani Desai, the CEO of BDO Executive search firm, estimates that the number of Indian students from Ivy League universities seeking positions in India has risen by about 30% this year, while senior Indian executives in the U.S. are increasingly reassessing long-term career prospects amid visa uncertainty. This reversal comes at an opportune moment. With 1,600+ Global Capability Centres employing 1.66 million people and rising U.S. visa costs, conditions are ripe for a shift from brain drain to brain circulation.

Retaining talent remains equally challenging. India's R&D investment is merely 0.64% of GDP, far below the U.S. (3.47%), China (2.41%), and Israel (5.71%), due to limited private sector incentives. This gap reflects both limited public funding and the structural composition of India's corporate sector.

Addressing this imbalance by incentivising private R&D investment and diversifying into higher-intensity sectors such as software products, semiconductors, and advanced manufacturing will be crucial if India is to convert the H-1B disruption into a genuine innovation dividend. The H-1B disruption could catalyse a wave of innovation if cities, universities, and firms strengthen social and research infrastructure to retain returnees. Otherwise, the next generation of highly qualified workers will simply pursue their futures elsewhere. **at / 7**



ADITI NARAYANI PASWAN

THE DISCOURSE surrounding the recent UGC Regulations on equity in the classroom has gone off the rails — so much so that the agency of vocalising our pain has also been stripped away. From public intellectual to social-media trolls, the conversation across platforms appears fixated on a single question: Who is the victim? JNU vice-chancellor Santishree Dhulipadi Pandit's recent statements are not merely disappointing but alarming, revealing how sections of the intelligentsia can perpetuate and justify systemic injustice. Her remarks on the regulations, now stayed by the Supreme Court until further hearing, and the

struggle against caste discrimination shows exactly why the guidelines are necessary. As an alumna of the hallowed institution she helms, it pains my heart to witness the erosion of decades of scholarship it has produced, and the sector's attempt to deny us the agency to articulate and process our experiences of marginalisation — and victimhood. Her remarks reveal a myopic understanding of caste. The regulations are a powerful moral and political reminder of the need to address India's unfinished project of social justice, not a "retational and totally unnecessary" intervention.

At a recent dinner conversation, a friend repeatedly asserted her upper-caste identity. What struck me was the confidence with which she affirmed her caste location. It was unselfconscious, secure, and socially validated. In that moment, I heard myself con-

fronting an uncomfortable question: Why does the assertion "I am a Brahmin" not carry the same effortless legitimacy or confidence in my identity and cultural heritage? This reflection brooks issue of epistemic justice. The hesitation is a product of centuries of graded inequality, as R R Aiyeb once observed.

Speaking about discrimination in academia carries professional risks. Silence often feels safer than dissent. Yet, the un-

Our stories of marginalisation are appreciated and received well so long as they can be adapted into cinema or converted into research grants that generate social and cultural capital for others

comfortable questions that must be asked are: Who produces knowledge in our universities? Who validates it? Our struggles and resilience are frequently reduced to archives of suffering. Our narratives have largely been produced, interpreted, and circulated by those outside these lived experiences. We are often positioned as subjects of study rather than authors of theory. The cycle of knowledge production, dissemination, and institutional recognition has historically excluded us as creators while including us as objects. Even our accounts of pain and resistance are often quoted selectively, depending on when others choose to portray us as victims or dismiss us as playing the victim. Our stories of marginalisation are appreciated and received well so long as they can be adapted into cinema or converted into re-

search grants that generate social and cultural capital for others. They become uncomfortable, however, when we claim the right and agency to tell our own stories. When we do so, we are accused of "playing the victimhood card".

This brings me to a question for institutional leadership: Who gets to speak about our stories? Whose experience is recognised as objective? Whose pain is deemed credible? What we seek is not sympathy, but the right to define our own experiences, to produce knowledge from our social locations, and to have that knowledge treated as intellectually legitimate. It has taken centuries for our voices to enter institutional spaces. Please do not trivialise the courage it takes to unlearn.

The writer is assistant professor of Sociology, Lakshmi College, Delhi University

For years, across the globe, in both the western and eastern worlds, within the poor South and wealthy North, we have heard that Gen Z stay single, but live with their parents and elders. They postpone social and personal decisions like marriage, independence, outings, and the need for personal spaces. This is true for some sections in urban India. Psychologists have grappled with such trends, which are opposed to the earlier ones, when youngsters craved freedom, and stepped out of their homes between 18 and 25 to pursue dreams, passions, intimacies, duties, and employment opportunities. The reasons were intuitive, and included social, work, personal, and financial pressures.

A new survey finds that Gen Z goes beyond these issues. In fact, they seek help from their parents, and elders in crucial job-related, and job-selection decisions such as writing CVs, talking to potential employers and recruiters, and even salary-related discussions. The Gen Z dependence goes beyond the personal into professional. While earlier, the parents would force children into specific education streams, like science, engineering, and medicine, the Gen Z today revolt and rebel against such impositions. Yet, when it comes to crucial decisions related to jobs, they lean on the parents much more than expected.

For example, more than 40 per cent of the young job seekers, mostly first-timers, take help to write resumes. In a fifth of the cases, the parents contact a recruiter, or join job interviews (15 per cent in person, and five per cent online). More than a fourth of the young respondents involve the elders in salary discussions, and just below a fifth receive advice. In a tenth of the cases, unbelievable as it may sound, the parents directly negotiate the employment terms with the employers. When asked, who has the greatest influence in jobs, nearly a third of Gen Z chose parents, and just a tad higher percentage chose bosses.

More importantly, 34 per cent said that both parents and bosses were equally influential. In essence, 66 per cent depend on parents, either completely or partially, and 69 per cent on bosses. (The figures do not add to 100 per cent because of the obvious reason.) More than two-thirds went a few steps forward, and maintained that the parents gave them regular career advice. More than half admitted that the parents visit their offices, possibly to check the environment, and colleagues. But the exercises are consensual. This is because 55 per cent feel upset if the parents contact the bosses without their knowledge.

Intuitively, experts cite several reasons for these inexplicable trends. The first is that most

Parents help Gen Z with jobs

Youngsters are dependent on elders to write CVs, select jobs



of Gen Z became job seekers after the pandemic. Thus, they are first-hand witnesses to their parents, and others around them, losing jobs, having their salaries slashed, or not getting the requisite bonuses and increments. This creates a sense of fear, doubts, even loathing among the youngsters. In addition, they have matured in an age of Artificial Intelligence (AI), which crept up, and then disrupted the workplaces in the past few years. This leads to more uncertainty about the future of jobs and, hence, the right selection becomes crucial to ensure that those jobs are not replaced by AI.

On the other hand, one can blame the trends to 'helicopter-parenting', or times when the parents are obsessed about the future of their children, and feel the need to aggressively, yet passively, involve themselves in the decisions. The lack of genuine friends, not just online contacts, followers, and acquaintances, which leads to the earlier-

mentioned phenomenon of less social interaction plays a role. There are no mentors, and guides to offer mature advice, and parents are the closest things Gen Z can access, especially if they stay with them. The environment is closed, and includes siblings, parents, and elders.

However, if one looks for counterintuitive reasons, and out-of-the-box reasons, one can surmise that Gen Z, more than others, recognise the expanse of fake news, half-baked truths, and falsehoods. Given the dearth of friends, and other external mentors, they need to depend on someone who is credible, loyal, knowledgeable, and give good advice. This can come from parents. Recruiters lie, and so do employers. In every generation, employees are taken for a ride, either on salaries, responsibilities, or working hours. Gen Z is more careful. It avoids the risk that it knows lurks around every corner. It knows the value of truth.

In another way, jobs have expanded, at least in urban areas. Gen Z has gone beyond professional jobs like engineering, medicine, finance, marketing, and law, and seek to enter less-known 'gig' and creative areas. Gen Z knows that the sector or segment is not important. One can earn decent money if one is good, even as a cook, blogger (vlogger), and social media influencer (fashion, movies, and other skills). However, the contours of these new jobs are still evolving, and the youngsters need help to navigate these little-known spaces. They can only learn so much from search engines and AI tools.

At the same time, most jobs are turning out to be contractual, as gig workers, freelance, and even in small-time start-ups. Here, job negotiations are trickier and more opaque than in normal full-time ones. There are no standards and systems, as in established firms. Contracts may be less detailed, and open-ended. CVs need to be tuned to excite founders, and recruiters, especially in work-from-home cases. For such jobs, the requirements can be drastically different. For example, sticking to deadlines may be more important than the number of hours.

According to a media report that reported the survey, "Each generation enters the workplace under scrutiny. Baby boomers were called rigid. Millennials were labelled entitled. Now Gen Z faces questions about resilience and independence. Yet, perhaps, what we are witnessing is not weakness, but adaptation. In a volatile economy, collaboration (between children and parents) has become a survival skill. Family, once confined to the personal sphere, now spills into professional terrain. The recruiter's phone ringing with a parent on the line may seem unusual. But it reflects a broader cultural truth: The boundaries between home and work are shifting." As they will.

The deeper issue is not whether parents should be involved. It is about whether the relationship is consensual, and acceptable to the children. If there is comfort in the interaction, if parents are substitutes for mentors, gurus, and guides, who were earlier friends, teachers, and respected acquaintances, there is nothing wrong. But if the parents are professional crutches, and instruments of dependence due to insecurities, there may be a problem. It is up to Gen Z.

The Pioneer
SINCE 1955

Sans principals

Fill posts in HP schools to safeguard standards

NEARLY 800 senior secondary schools in Himachal Pradesh are functioning without regular principals. This is a sign of administrative drift in a state already struggling with fiscal stress. In the absence of stable leadership in schools, academic standards, regulatory compliance and institutional credibility face risk. The crisis cannot be viewed in isolation. Himachal's fiscal health is fragile. With mounting debt and limited revenue sources, the state's dependence on Central transfers has long been evident. This year, it is unlikely to receive the Rs 6,000 crore in revenue deficit grants (RDG) it had counted on, tightening the budgetary squeeze. But financial distress cannot become an excuse for governance paralysis. Filling sanctioned leadership posts is not an optional reform; it is a basic responsibility.

The timing makes the vacancies more damaging. Many government schools are seeking or maintaining CBSE affiliation. It is a compliance-heavy process, requiring constant oversight — from infrastructure certification to inspection responses. A regular principal anchors this ecosystem. Without one, documentation falters, accountability weakens and the risk of conditional affiliations or showcause notices rises. The government has attempted to rationalise schools with low enrolment through cluster models over the past two years. Without full-time principals, such restructuring risks becoming an exercise in consolidation without quality control. Structural reform cannot succeed if the leadership spine is missing.

Beyond compliance lies the deeper cost to students. Principals monitor syllabus completion, board exam readiness and teacher performance. Acting heads, burdened with dual roles, cannot provide sustained academic direction. The result is fragmented planning and declining morale. Himachal Pradesh has long been praised for its educational achievements. That reputation is now threatened by inertia. Administrative caution about mid-session disruption rings hollow when vacancies stretch endlessly. Students cannot be made collateral damage in that stalemate. Swift appointments are essential to protect their future.

File 16

Universities in the age of branding



ANANT PURI
EDITOR, SOCOMS

BELIEVE me, I was not surprised when I came to know of the controversy that a professor of Galgotias University created in the recent India AI Impact Summit held in the national capital. Yes, Professor Neha Singh did not feel the slightest hesitation in making a false claim for demonstrating the 'achievement' of her university.

Yes, we all saw how she told the state-run broadcaster DD News — and, that too, with the kind of 'smarminess' we find amongst sophisticated salespeople the corporate enterprises hire to sell their products — that the robotic dog named 'Orion' was developed at the university's Centre of Excellence, whereas the harsh truth is that this robot has been invented by the Chinese robotics company, 'Unitree', and it is sold online in India.

I am not surprised because the very ideal of a university our generation cherished has crumbled. A university, we thought, ought to be known for erudite pedagogy and meaningful research, critical

thinking and moral sensibilities, and, above all, the dignity of the vocation of teaching. A university, we believed, is a space qualitatively different from a business enterprise, a shopping mall or an advertising agency.

But then, we live in altogether different times. As the market-driven, modified instrumental rationality begins to colonise the academic space, education becomes pure business, critical thinking is sacrificed, a student becomes a consumer and a teacher plays the role of a service provider.

No wonder, a university, too, begins to sell its brand value — the way a company sells detergent powder and makes all sorts of false or exaggerated claims about the benefits of the product it sells. In fact, robotics, data science, artificial intelligence — these are fancy 'products' the neoliberal university wants to sell through strategic advertising and exaggerated claims.

Hence why should you and I be surprised if a university makes a false claim about its achievements in the domain of artificial intelligence? In a way, I do not blame Prof Neha Singh because she is, after all, a product of a culture that reduces a university into a profit-making business, a professor into a public relations agent and a student or a parent into a potential customer.

Even though Galgotias



DELUD: A university is qualitatively different from a business enterprise, atleast it was

University is in the new norm, the fact is that this act of selling a university as a 'brand' is the new normal.

And this sort of naked commodification of education has been further exaggerated by what I would regard as the peltitis of 'ranking'.

Look at the billboards or the gorgeous ads in glossy magazines and newspapers, and you can easily notice how all these institutions are projected as 'top ranking universities'.

And there is no dearth of ranking agencies that are continually hired and invited by these universities. And the carefully curated

images of these universities are often filled with all sorts of exaggerated claims about their achievements and, above all, the packages their products receive from, say, Google, Infosys, Wipro, Amazon and so on and so forth.

I do not know whether I would laugh or cry when I see a university selling itself as a 'chep' through a gorgeous ad of this kind: 'The university has achieved yet another milestone in the QS World University Rankings Asia 2016, where it has been placed at 114 in Southern Asia and 454 across Asia... 30% placed in top companies; 5.4

Indeed, what the professor of Galgotias University did reveals that it is not easy for a copycat nation to become original.

LPA Average Package; and 15 crore Highest Package."

In an environment of this kind, a professor cannot afford to be a seeker of truth; she/he, too, has to make false or exaggerated claims about the brand value of the university that has hired him/her. Yes, I understand Prof Neha Singh's psychic benightedness.

Moreover, in order to survive, all these educational shops have to continually satisfy the ruling regime. Don't forget that Galgotias University, as reports suggest, bagged a booth bigger than the one four IITs combined received in the exhibition space.

And particularly when we are told that India as a 'risk-seeker' should not lag behind in artificial intelligence — the latest brand of techno-utopianism the corporate billionaires are eager to sell — Galgotias University possibly crossed all limits to satisfy the ruling regime and, paradoxically, caused embarrassment to the government. Truly, it is a sad commentary on the state of politics and education in the country.

Likewise, this neurotic desire to project, say, a Chinese robotic dog as an Indian invention also reveals how we never feel ashamed of transforming India into a copycat nation. Possibly, we have not yet succeeded in deconstructing our consciousness.

Even in post-independent

India, the 'developed' West continues to exist as our positive reference point.

For instance, instead of sharpening our own distinctive academic culture and building our institutions, which are confident and in tune with the local needs and challenges, we continue to look at Oxford, Cambridge, Harvard or Princeton as our role models.

It truly saddens me when I see a good college/university in India is regarded as the 'Oxford of the East! Moreover, we love to imitate — European/American fashions; it is not uncommon to see Bollywood producers and directors copying successful western movies, and, as it is said, most of our technological/business innovations are Chinese or western adaptations rather than original creations. Will it be entirely wrong to say that Flipkart is a poor copy of Amazon, or Zomato is mimicking DoorDash?

And this copy-paste culture, every insider knows, is quite common in the academic circuit — the way research papers are manufactured, and, say, in humanities and social sciences, everybody begins to imitate the vocabulary of Judith Butler and Michel Foucault.

Indeed, what the professor of Galgotias University did reveals that it is not easy for a copycat nation to become original.

प्राइवेट यूनिवर्सिटी से स्टूडेंट्स के लिए मौक़े तो बने पर शिक्षा में गुणवत्ता नहीं मिली क्वालिटी एजुकेशन की है ज़रूरत

नई दिल्ली में हुए AI इम्पैक्ट समिट में गलगोटिया यूनिवर्सिटी से जुड़े विवाद ने दिखाया कि भारत की उच्च शिक्षा



मनोज झा

व्यवस्था के अंदर कई खामियां हैं, जिन्हें हम अक्सर बड़ी-बड़ी घोषणाओं और चमकदार कार्यक्रमों के पीछे छिपा देते हैं।

गलगोटिया मामले पर जिस तरह की प्रतिक्रिया मिली, उसे देखते हुए लगता है कि समाज को आज भी यूनिवर्सिटी से गंभीरता और भरोसे की उम्मीद है। लेकिन, सवाल है कि यह घटना अचानक हुई या यह भी उसी बदलती व्यवस्था का नतीजा है, जहां दिखावा धीरे-धीरे गुणवत्ता की जगह ले लेता है। **भरोसा नहीं बना पाए**। गलगोटिया यूनिवर्सिटी को इस घटना को महज निजी संस्थान की गलती मान लेना सही नहीं होगा। इसे भारत की उच्च शिक्षा में हो रहे बदलावों के संकेत के तौर पर देखना चाहिए। पिछले 30 बरसों में प्राइवेट यूनिवर्सिटी तेजी से बढ़ी है। कहा गया है कि इससे छात्रों के लिए अवसर बढ़ेंगे। कहीं न कहीं यह बात सही भी है, क्योंकि सरकारी यूनिवर्सिटी सीमित संसाधनों के कारण सभी की ज़रूरतें पूरी करने में सक्षम नहीं थीं। हालांकि, जिस तेजी से यूनिवर्सिटी बढ़ी, उस लिहाज से नियम और शैक्षणिक व्यवस्था विकसित नहीं हो सकी। नतीजतन, कई संस्थानों के पास कागज पर मान्यता तो है, लेकिन उनकी शिक्षा पर भरोसा नहीं।

कागजी नियम। समस्या महज निजी क्षेत्र की नहीं है। इसमें सरकार और नियामक संस्थाओं की भी भूमिका रही है। विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग (UGC) और अन्य संस्थाएं अक्सर गुणवत्ता पर ध्यान देने की जगह कागजी नियम पूरा करने पर जोर देती हैं। कई राज्य सरकारों ने तो संख्या बल को उपलब्धि मानकर कम संसाधनों में ही यूनिवर्सिटी खोल दिए। नए कैंपस, बड़ी इमारतें और विदेशी सहयोग के दावे विकास की तस्वीर दिखाते हैं, जबकि असल में शोध और अकादमिक मजबूती अब भी शुरुआती स्तर पर ही होती है।

दिखावा पसंद। इसके राजनीतिक मायने भी हैं। मौजूदा राजनीति में आधुनिकता और तकनीक का संगम आकर्षक माना जाता है। आर्टिफिशियल इंटेलिजेंस (AI) जैसे क्षेत्रों में कार्यक्रम कर संस्थान खुद को भविष्य के लिए तैयार दिखाते हैं, मगर बाहर से सक्रिय दिखते हैं। भारत में स्थिति और जटिल है, क्योंकि यहां बाजार, सरकार और समाज की उम्मीदों के साथ काम करता है। अब यूनिवर्सिटी



Adobe Stock



चिंता की बात

- प्राइवेट यूनिवर्सिटी में इमारतों और ब्रैंडिंग पर ही जोर
- रिसर्च और पढ़ाई में सरकारी से पिछड़ रहे हैं निजी संस्थान
- दिखावे के बजाय अच्छे शिक्षकों और प्रशासन पर हो ध्यान

लेकिन असल इन्वेस्टमेंशन धीरे-धीरे होता है। इसके लिए अच्छे शिक्षक, शोध में निवेश, विषयों के बीच संवाद और अकादमिक स्वतंत्रता ज़रूरी है। इनके बगैर सम्मेलन महज छवि सुधारने का साधन बन जाता है।

ब्रैंड बनने की चाह। पूरी दुनिया में यूनिवर्सिटी के बढ़ते बाजारीकरण पर चिंता जताई जा रही है। ब्रिटिश लेखक पीटर फ्लेमिंग ने अपनी किताब 'Academia : How Universities Die' में ऐसे संस्थानों को जॉम्बी यूनिवर्सिटी कहा है, जो भीतर से खोखले होते हैं, मगर बाहर से सक्रिय दिखते हैं। भारत में स्थिति और जटिल है, क्योंकि यहां बाजार, सरकार और समाज की उम्मीदों के साथ काम करता है। अब यूनिवर्सिटी

केवल ज्ञान के केंद्र नहीं, ब्रैंड और पहचान बनाने के स्थान भी बनते जा रहे हैं।

मीडिया की भूमिका। मीडिया भी इसे बढ़ावा देता है। बड़ी घोषणाएं, उद्घाटन समारोह और तकनीकी उपलब्धियों को खबरें ज्यादा दिखाती हैं, जबकि शोध पर चर्चा काफी कम नज़र आती है। फोस पर निर्भर रहने वाली प्राइवेट यूनिवर्सिटी इस प्रचार में ज्यादा सक्रिय है। इससे शिक्षा और मार्केटिंग के बीच फासला कम हो जाता है। रैंकिंग, प्लेसमेंट और बड़ी इमारतें ही गुणवत्ता के पैमाने बन जाते हैं। कम बजट, शोध के लिए संसाधनों की कमी, शिक्षकों के रिक्त पद जैसी कई समस्याओं से सरकारी यूनिवर्सिटी भी जूझ रही है। इस कमी को निजी संस्थानों ने पाटा है, मगर उनको गुणवत्ता वैसी नहीं है।

गुणवत्ता ज़रूरी। मौजूदा संकट किसी एक की गलती नहीं, बल्कि नीतियों का संयुक्त नतीजा है। इस विवाद को छोटी घटना मानकर भूला जा सकता है, पर चेतावनी के तौर पर लिया जाए तो सुधार का मौका भी है। विश्वविद्यालयों की साथ अच्छे काम से बनती है। इसके लिए अच्छे शिक्षकों और शोध में निवेश, साफ-सुथरा प्रशासन और अकादमिक स्वतंत्रता जैसी तीन ज़रूरी बातों पर ध्यान देना है। संख्या के बजाय गुणवत्ता पर ध्यान देना ज़रूरी है। असल सवाल यह नहीं कि घटना क्यों हुई, बल्कि अब यह देखना है कि क्या हमारी यूनिवर्सिटी समाज को सोचने और सीखने की मजबूत नींव दे पा रही हैं। अगर मूल काम से ऊपर दिखावा रहा, तो इनको चमक तो रहेगी, पर ज्ञान और लोकतंत्र के लिए वे असल भूमिका खो देंगे।

(लेखक RJD के राज्यसभा सांसद हैं)

Decolonising and de-Nobelising science

Every February 28, India celebrates National Science Day to commemorate C.V. Raman's announcement of the Raman effect in 1928, a discovery that won him the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1930. Such national rituals are said to be just acts of remembrance, but they are not; they also legitimise what the state says counts as science.

Three keywords

A new book about keywords offers a useful way to read this ritual itself as a word with a political life. *Decolonial Keywords*, edited by anthropologists Renny Thomas and Sasanka Perera, argues that some everyday terms can be treated as archives of history and power and that their definitions are often a political rather than a linguistic achievement. 'National Science Day' and 'Nobel Prize' can also be treated as such keywords: ones that organise India's public imagination of science. There is a reason we mark a date that can be narrated with a "world-class discovery" rather than a date on which, say, a public hospital improved maternal outcomes.

If decolonising science is to mean anything in India, it must include the need to de-Nobelise how the state understands and valorises science. Specifically, it must stop treating external prestige as the main proof of scientific worth and expand the cast of legitimate scientific actors and sites. Three keywords from the book – 'jugaad', 'poromboke', and 'laboratory' – help show how this recognition works through institutional infrastructures.

Researcher and author Pankaj Sekhsaria's essay on 'jugaad' begins by using the word's instability – it can plausibly be read as a virtue, a compromise, or corruption – to explain how it creates space for powerful actors to amplify the meanings that suit them and discard those that don't. He also shows how the word has been absorbed into managerial talk as a laudable synonym for frugal innovation while other



**Vasudevan
Mukunth**

National Science Day should not just reproduce a story about genius and external validation. It should become an annual day of discussion of what counts as science, including the work of field staff, nurses, and others

resonances remain harder to hear or take seriously.

This is how innovation tends to behave in the public theatre of National Science Day. It becomes a stage-managed synonym for ingenuity that the stage packages for elite consumption, preferably in English, preferably legible to global management culture, and preferably compatible with award narratives. Prof. Sekhsaria ends with a question that cuts through this template: what words do farmers, fishers, and craftspeople use to describe their own inventiveness? Answering this question forces our attention away from the elite markers of prestige and towards the vocabularies that people use to produce and name practical knowledge.

Professor Banu Subramaniam's chapter on 'poromboke' makes a parallel argument about classification, anchored in Tamil political ecology. The state often glosses over 'poromboke' as wasteland, or land that does not generate revenue for the state. Her point is that the revenue category has always been a social category in disguise because the state's accounting decides which landscapes count as productive and so which people's uses count as legitimate. Historically, the term also referred to land set aside for public use, whereas in contemporary practice it covers a range of commons that remain essential for marginalised communities precisely because they are not private property.

She also remarks on how the word has become a pejorative in common Tamil speech, meaning 'good for nothing'. When the state declares a landscape to be disposable, the people who depend on it become easier to declare disposable as well. In the same way, some kinds of inquiry are said to be 'national science' while others of less prestige are rendered 'routine work' or 'local practice'. This is why what we celebrate on National Science Day is not value-neutral but a way to sort the labour of science.

Dr. Thomas focuses on

'laboratory' and his analysis begins by distinguishing between elite 'mega labs' and the 'minor labs' ubiquitous in towns and villages, especially diagnostic centres ('pathology labs'). Raman's own story is inseparable from laboratory infrastructure – he announced the Raman effect while working at the Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science in Calcutta – but Dr. Thomas's point is that this recognisability is something we manufacture. When schools teach the laboratory as the place where great people work in isolation, the everyday diagnostic lab, where most Indians actually encounter scientific authority, becomes conceptually minor even though it is central to society.

And once we treat a 'lab' as a social institution, its politics become part of its definition. Dr. Thomas has found in his own work that lab-mates do science but also share rituals, gossip, and celebrate occasions together, and is often a space where people reproduce hierarchies of caste and gender. But in other settings, it is also a place where the authority of 'science' is routinely performed before the public.

What counts as science

The keywords thus clarify what a de-Nobelised imagination of science, paralleling the decolonisation of science, would require. It would force India to ask how Indians produce the thing called 'recognition' – through discoveries and papers as much as by institutions that sort labour into celebrated and hidden.

National Science Day, then, should not simply reproduce a Nobel-shaped story about genius and external validation. It should become an annual day of discussion of what counts as science, including the work of technicians, field staff, nurses, lab attendants, data collectors, and others whose labour is essential to make new knowledge but is rarely commemorated.

Bots In Store For Us?

AI to do most white-collar jobs soon? Improbable. But, say, it does. Then even the rich won't be so rich

A 7,000-word blog post by a tiny New York-based financial research firm spooked US investors on Monday. Titled '*The 2028 Global Intelligence Crisis*', it paints a scenario in which AI agents have gutted white-collar jobs. Economic mayhem follows. Jobless workers default on loans. A market crash occurs late in 2027, and 57% of S&P's value is wiped out. By 2028, joblessness in US exceeds 10%, up from 4.3% now. Citrini, the research firm, insists this is only a scenario, not a prediction, but recent headlines about AI agents' capabilities make it hard to dismiss.

Within a month, we've heard the claim that AI can vet legal documents, we've seen it render a realistic fight between Brad Pitt and Tom Cruise, and now, apparently, it can bring systems running Cobol up to date. What more will it do by end of this year? It's threatening to replace writers, translators, artists. Analysing data, diagnosing



symptoms, nothing seems beyond its ever-increasing capabilities. That's why a white-collar extinction event sounds plausible. If Citrini is right, the impending job destruction is best summed up with the film title: *Everything Everywhere All At Once*.

But is this probable? Perhaps not. Chiefly because AI agents aren't there yet in terms of capabilities. AI firms have every reason to claim their agents can do this or that real-world task. It convinces investors to keep funding them. But the real world is messy. As IBM clarified – after taking a stock market hit due to Claude's Cobol claim – “Translating code is one thing. Modernising a platform is something else entirely.” So, AI tools are like bright college graduates who know their subject, but need hands-on experience. In fact, a new study shows when AI agents are left to work unsupervised, there's a recurring pattern of breakdowns.

The bigger question is: Is an AI takeover of white-collar jobs desirable? In US, only 10% of population earns over \$250,000 per year, but accounts for 50% of consumption expenditure. They are all white-collar workers. Make them jobless overnight, and demand collapses. Loan defaults destabilise the financial system. With demand gone, corporate profits plunge, markets tank, and the rich aren't so rich anymore. Blue-collar workers also suffer when factories cut back output. There's another downside we must consider. A white-collar office, say Citrini's team, has 10 or 100 or 10,000 very sharp minds. In an AI world, global business thinking and execution get outsourced to four or five big firms. They can shut down *Everything Everywhere All At Once*. It's too dangerous. *ms*



Why pick on judiciary in school textbooks?

The outrage the Supreme Court has expressed over the publication of a textbook that lists the shortcomings of the Indian judiciary including allegations of corruption, long pendency of cases and large number of vacancies being left unfilled is justified in that the referenced portion looks only at the darker side of just one eminent pillar of the Indian State but spares all others. The court has now ordered a complete ban of the Class 8 social science textbook which contains a chapter "The role of the judiciary in our society" wherein there is a section that is titled "corruption in the judiciary".

On its part, the National Council for Education, Research and Training (NCERT), the publishers of the book, have apologised to the court and decided to withdraw the book. The Union education minister has also expressed profound regret over the textbook containing the objectionable part. The court, however, is not satisfied; it believes this to have been a "calculated move to undermine authority and demean the dignity of the judiciary" and, therefore, initiated contempt of court proceedings against the NCERT director and the secretary of the department of school education in the Union government. The anguish of the court is reflected in Chief Justice of India Surya Kant's comment: "They have fired the gunshot. The judiciary is bleeding."

It may be noted that the court is not dismissive of the whole idea of corruption in the judiciary; instead, as the CJI pointed out, the bench was "deeply hurt that there is no mention of the judiciary's positive work — protection of civil rights, access to justice, free legal aid and safeguarding fundamental rights". There was no attempt to present the students with a balanced picture, it said.

The court is not satisfied; it believes this to have been a 'calculated move to undermine authority and demean the dignity of the judiciary'. It initiated contempt of court proceedings against the NCERT director.

It has been almost eight decades that India attained freedom and we have a Constitution for the last 76 years. We have come a long way in improving the system of governance in the country while remaining firmly committed to democracy and its principles. It may be true that we have not been able to introduce all the changes the founding fathers wanted, but every major step that India has made in these decades was aimed at improving the lot of the people. The judiciary has also played its role in it, especially in advancing the rights of ordinary people. No one has a case that all the pillars have played their roles in full: there are acts of omissions and commissions that can be traced to the door of the legislature and the executive. Focusing on the slip-ups of only the judiciary hardly helps.

There will be skeletons in the cupboards of every single institution in the country, and for that matter, every country, but none would see it as prudent to present them before schoolchildren and warp their outlook on life itself. Even if in the interest of truth such a thing is attempted, it must be done in such a way that young minds are encouraged to identify and use those tools to fight corruption. Making the problem statement alone serves no right purpose.

That said, the judiciary will be making a big mistake if it thinks the issue that it is indeed suffering from can simply be wished away. Glaring instances of judges coming under suspicion of wrongdoing are no rare incident, as the discovery of burnt currency notes from the house of a sitting judge of a high court amply shows. There are CJs on record pointing towards corruption in the judiciary. The people will stand with the apex court in protecting the judiciary's image as the custodian of their rights, but they will be happier themselves if the judiciary did some soul-searching as well. 

Out-of-Syllabus Bits In School Syllabus

It's unwise to bite the hand that decides your fate in court. GoI, specifically NCERT, responsible for contents of its latest social sciences textbook, 'Exploring Society: India and Beyond, Vol II', found itself in hot water after the Supreme Court found a section, 'Corruption in the Judiciary', not just an assault to the judiciary's reputation but a 'deep-rooted conspiracy' to inculcate in the minds of school-going 13-14-yr-olds ideas that could leave them prejudiced forever. The removal of all copies of the book from public access thereby ensures that alleged shortcomings in the judiciary are kept out of sight, and out of impressionable minds.

For the rest of us who are not school-goers, judicial corruption is a concern. Former CJI B R Gavai had stated in July 2025: 'Sadly, there have been instances of corruption and misconduct that have surfaced even within the judiciary. Such occurrences inevitably have a negative impact on public confidence, potentially eroding faith in the integrity of the system as a whole,' a quote that finds its way



in the NCERT textbook. The blanket ban by a 3-judge bench led by CJI Surya Kant is, thankfully, limited to the Class 8 textbook.

One can presume that at that age, curious as they may be, youngsters will be protected from such warts-and-all news and notions freely available on various platforms. For a student, a textbook has the quality of a testament to it. And appearance of such unsavoury information, valid as it may be, in a government textbook, can be construed as an indictment — in other words, political motive — that young learners can do without. Outside the school syllabus, of course, it would be most effective to weed out purported malpractices, rather than simply put a lid on them.

ETB

Skill-Based Education: Bridging Learning with Livelihood

Preparing a Future-Ready Generation Through Practical Knowledge and Real-World Competence

As economies rapidly transform under the influence of technology, automation, and globalization, education systems are being redefined. The traditional emphasis on theoretical knowledge alone is no longer sufficient to meet the demands of the modern workforce. Skill-based education has emerged as a powerful response - prioritizing practical training, hands-on experience, and industry-aligned learning to ensure that students are not just qualified, but employable and adaptable.

Moving Beyond Theory to Application

Skill-based education focuses on the "how" in addition to the "what." Instead of rote memorization, students are encouraged to apply concepts in real-world contexts through projects, internships, workshops, case studies, and simulations. This experiential approach builds confidence, enhances problem-solving abilities, and nurtures creativity.

For instance, students

studying business may engage in live market research projects, while those in technology fields might develop prototypes or work on coding challenges aligned with industry standards. Such exposure ensures that learning is practical, measurable, and outcome-oriented.

Enhancing Employability in a Competitive Job Market

Employers today prioritize candidates who possess job-ready skills - communication, teamwork, digital literacy, analytical

thinking, and adaptability. Degrees open doors, but skills secure opportunities. Skill-based education aligns academic programmes with industry needs, reducing the gap between education and employment.

Apprenticeships, vocational training, and certification programmes help students gain sector-specific competencies. As industries evolve, institutions that continuously update curricula in collaboration with corporate partners ensure that graduates remain relevant in a competitive job landscape.

Encouraging Entrepreneurship

and Innovation

Skill-based education does not only prepare students for jobs; it empowers them to create jobs. By fostering entrepreneurial thinking, financial literacy, technical expertise, and leadership qualities, students are encouraged to launch start-ups and pursue independent ventures.

Practical exposure to business incubation centres, innovation labs, and mentorship programmes nurtures risk-taking ability and resilience. In emerging sectors such as renewable energy, digital marketing, artificial intelligence, healthcare services, and creative industries, skilled individuals can identify market gaps and build sustainable enterprises.

Promoting Inclusivity and Economic Growth

One of the most significant advantages of skill-based education is its inclusivity. Vocational and technical training provide alternative pathways for students who may not pursue conventional aca-

demetic routes. This creates opportunities for youth from diverse socio-economic backgrounds to secure stable livelihoods.

By equipping individuals with employable skills, nations can strengthen their workforce, boost productivity, and accelerate economic development. Skilled human capital is the backbone of sustainable growth.

The Way Forward

The future of education lies in blending knowledge with competence. Institutions must collaborate with industries, integrate digital tools, and adopt flexible learning models that respond to changing market needs. Policymakers and educators must ensure that skill development becomes an integral part of mainstream education rather than an afterthought.

Skill-based education is not merely a trend - it is a necessity. By empowering learners with practical expertise and confidence, it paves the way for meaningful careers, entrepreneurial success, and long-term economic resilience in an ever-evolving world.

